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The introduction of microsurgical techniques heralded a new era of options for the reconstructive surgeon. Improved outcomes in oncologic and trauma surgery were facilitated through the increased range of donor sites and range of tissues able to be used in the reconstructive process. However, the last 20 years have seen significant refinements in these techniques, with the aim of further improvements in outcome. In particular, a trend away from use of muscle flaps has aimed to reduce donor site morbidity associated with these operations, further aided by the development of perforator-based fasciocutaneous free flaps. This surgery, while achieving this goal, introduced a new degree of complexity and increasingly arduous dissections. The anatomical variability and size of these vessels has led to longer operating times and a potential increase in morbidity associated with long anesthetic times. As such, technological aids to this surgery have aimed to improve dissection times, anastomotic times, and overall outcomes. Despite these aims, the evidence for such techniques has not universally been explored.

We present a review of the literature investigating various technological aids and adjuncts in microsurgery. A focus is made on technologies which improve preoperative planning, make for faster, safer micro-anastomoses, and allow earlier, reliable detection of postoperative complications leading to higher salvage rates. The level of evidence for each technique is quantified and areas where evidence is deficient are offered as potential areas for future research.

**METHODS**

A thorough review of Medline and PubMed databases was undertaken using keywords “preoperative planning microsurgery,” “CTA free flap,” “imaging microsurgery,” “anastomotic coupler,” “microsurgical clips,” “microsurgery robot,” “free flap monitoring,” “glue microsurgery,” “microsurgery training,” “head mounted microscope,” “virtual reality microsurgery.” Both English and non-English language papers were included in the review. For more established technologies (such as preoperative imaging and anastomotic couplers), only papers reporting series of cases or particularly interesting technologies were included. Case reports were excluded. Recent papers were referenced over historical papers, while for recent technologies such as robotics and head-mounted microscopes, all reports were included including case reports.

Based on results of the above database searches evidence for each technology was assessed and graded based on the widely accepted Oxford University Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine criteria (see the freely available website, CEBM.net). This system attributes levels of evidence to the quality of clinical trials published in the literature; ranging from Level 1 which comprises a systematic review of well-matched randomized control trials, through
to Level 5 which comprises only the expert opinion of an eminent individual in the field. The level of evidence for each technology was thus assigned a grade.

RESULTS

The search yielded 3,865 results, with the most pertinent according to search criteria being selected for inclusion. Currently, evidence exists for the use of the following technologies: CTA for preoperative planning of complex microsurgical procedures, the use of an intraoperative mechanical anastomotic coupling aide to facilitate faster anastomoses, and use of clinical monitoring with or without an implantable Doppler monitoring probe for the early detection of postoperative complications. Nonetheless, other technologies such as MRA, stereotaxy, robotic surgery, virtual reality planning and training, on-table angiography, head mounted microscopes, orthogonal polarized light, and laser speckle imaging are all nascent technologies with very little reported data. The existing data, while not representing a high level of evidence, is encouraging for such technologies, and there is notably no negative evidence for these technologies. These technologies thus represent areas of future research in the field.

Preoperative Planning

With increasing refinements in the vascular anatomy of free flaps, particularly with the increasing use of perforator flaps (vessels >0.8 mm in diameter) and with supermicrosurgery (vessels <0.8 mm in diameter), preoperative planning has been sought as a tool to improving outcomes. Such techniques have the potential to identify individual vessels, map their entire course and dimensions, identify variations in individual anatomy, and plan flap design.

Doppler ultrasound has been the mainstay of preoperative imaging since the advent of the free flap, with the handheld Doppler probe introduced into widespread use for perforator mapping in 1990. The hand-held unidirectional Doppler probe is cheap, easily applied by the surgeon, and can be used adjunctively with other imaging techniques. Despite its benefits, there is significant time associated with perforator mapping with the Doppler probe, and it has shown to have low accuracy and high interobserver variability compared to other techniques. The use of two-dimensional color as an addition to Doppler ultrasound has been an improvement on unidirectional Doppler. This technique has improved sensitivity and specificity for identifying perforators, and is highly accurate at differentiating between arteries and veins. The major limitation of ultrasound is the dependence on operator reporting, with angiographic techniques sought to minimize interobserver variability. Catheter angiography is an invasive technique, but has been shown to improve operative safety, particularly for the lower limb donor site. Newer, noninvasive imaging techniques have been able to eliminate the interobserver variability and long scanning times associated with ultrasound, without the need for the invasiveness of catheter angiography. These advanced imaging technologies include computed tomography angiography (CTA) and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA).

Of the advanced imaging techniques able to perform angiographic analysis without intraarterial injection, only CTA has been shown to improve operative outcomes when compared to other imaging techniques (see Table 1). CTA has been used as a noninvasive and effective tool for mapping the vascular supply to various body regions, including the head and lower limb, and when compared to Duplex ultrasound in the mapping of abdominal wall perforators, CTA has been similarly shown to be a useful and superior technique. CTA has increasingly become considered as the gold standard in preoperative imaging for DIEP flaps. It is readily available, is extremely fast, and has a low interobserver variability. A limitation of CTA is its association with radiation exposure, however studies of the radiation dosage have shown that the radiation dose is less than 5 mSV, which is considerably less than a standard abdominal CT scan. Both cadaveric and clinical studies have assessed the accuracy of CTA, demonstrating a sensitivity and positive predictive value of well over 99%, and outcome studies in matched cohort studies have shown an improvement in operating times, flap-related complications, and donor site morbidity.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), without intravenous contrast, has been attempted in the past but with inadequate results for perforator mapping. More recent results have suggested that there may be an improved utility of this technique with protocol adjustments. With the use of contrast for better vessel visualization, MRA has been sought in this role. There are no studies showing any improvement in outcomes with the use of MRI or MRA, however some studies have suggested a role for

### Table 1. Modern Techniques for the Preoperative Imaging of Microvascular Free Flaps and their Reported Level of Evidence According to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine (CEBM) Levels of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative imaging technique</th>
<th>CEBM level of evidence for efficacy of technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doppler probe</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex (Eco-color Doppler) ultrasound</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter angiography</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed tomographic angiography (CTA)</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image-Guided Stereotactic Navigation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MRA in perforator mapping, while others have found no benefit over other modalities. The main benefit of MRA is its lack of ionizing radiation, and while there is certainly less interobserver variability, expense and availability are limiting factors, as is the current resolution able to be achieved when compared to CTA.

A new technique, known as image-guided stereotactic navigation, can use preoperative scan data to map cutaneous vessels on a patient in real-time, both preoperatively and intraoperatively (see Fig. 1). Demonstrated in a range of body regions, patients imaged with either CTA or MRA can undergo stereotactic mapping, providing a potentially improved accuracy to CTA or MRA alone. These techniques, although in their infancy, have the potential to guide surgical approach intraoperatively using “virtual surgery,” to minimize surgical morbidity. Newer techniques have the potential to map vasculature without the use of any imaging, with thermal cameras for example able to map vessels through the detection of thermal heat alone, although such devices have not yet reached reporting in the literature.

**Microanastomotic Aides**

The last 20 years have seen the continued development of various devices designed to make surgical anastomoses easier and achieve reliably higher patency rates. In addition to overall flap survival, improvements in flap design have been sought. While preoperative imaging has aided the selection of the optimal vessels in supply to the flap, intraoperative techniques for assessing flap perfusion have been developed.

The use of devices to replace traditional suturing have been developed, with Zdolsek et al. reporting a study of novice microsurgeons performing microvascular anastomoses on rats using three different techniques—traditional hand suturing, the Unilink/3M Microvascular Anastomotic Coupler, and the Vessel Closure System (VCS) Clip applicator. They showed a significant learning curve in terms of operative ease and speed in the suture group but no such steep learning curve in the other groups, prompting the conclusion that mechanical anastomotic techniques are easier to learn than traditional suturing. Despite their introduction into clinical practice many years ago, uptake of these technologies has been slow and sporadic with only a handful of sizeable series reported in the literature and limited evidence supporting their more widespread use (see Table 2).

Anastomotic couplers such as the Unilink/3M Microvascular Anastomotic Coupler have been in use since the 1960s. While originally thought to be suitable for
venous anastomoses only, their use now includes arterial anastomoses.\textsuperscript{36–40} Some series have also demonstrated the suitability of the coupler for use in end to end anastomoses.\textsuperscript{40,41} Ring couplers have been shown to significantly reduce average anastomotic times and technical difficulty, effectively homogenizing results between senior and junior members of surgical teams,\textsuperscript{34,36–39} and patency rates are generally reported as equal or superior to traditionally sutured anastomoses.\textsuperscript{36–39} These studies have included well-matched controlled trials, achieving level 2b evidence. Early reports questioned outcomes from ring couplers in irradiated fields, however more recent clinical\textsuperscript{42} and animal\textsuperscript{41} studies support its use in this setting.

Autosuture\textsuperscript{TM} Titanium Vessel Closure System\textsuperscript{R} (VCS) clips were developed in 1995 as a nonpenetrating microvascular anastomotic tool. The applicator places titanium clips onto everted anastomotic cuffs, resulting in the absence of intraluminal foreign material. The VCS\textsuperscript{R} clip applicator has been used for both end-to-end and end-to-side anastomoses.\textsuperscript{33–47} Various series have demonstrated that the VCS\textsuperscript{R} clip applicator is easy to use and faster than traditional sutured anastomoses.\textsuperscript{38,43–45} In addition, patency rates have been shown to be equal and histological evidence of endothelial healing has been demonstrably swifter in clipped anastomoses. Although some early small series such as Yamamoto et al.\textsuperscript{38} reported good patency rates, much of the data in the literature regarding clipped anastomoses results from the work of Zeebregts including several review articles.\textsuperscript{38,46,47} Another large series has been published by Cope et al.\textsuperscript{44}

There has been an increasing use of adhesives in surgery in recent times. There are two main groups of surgical adhesives: cyanoacrylates and thrombin-based “fibrin glues.” Cyanoacrylate glues have been used in surgery for many years, particularly in minor sutureless skin surgery (e.g., hystoacryl\textsuperscript{R}, Dermabond\textsuperscript{R}). Various other uses have been reported in the literature, including roles in microvascular reconstructive surgery. More recently, fibrin glues (e.g., Tisseel\textsuperscript{R}, Tissucol\textsuperscript{R}) and thrombin-containing hemostatic products (e.g., Floseal\textsuperscript{R}) have been investigated for potential roles. While these substances each contain thrombin, Floseal relies on the presence of endogenous fibrinogen from the bleeding surgical field for activation, whereas Tisseel\textsuperscript{R} is a two-part glue containing thrombin and fibrinogen. While these newer generation thrombin-based adhesives have potential roles in microsurgery, there has always been concern about the risk of inciting occlusive thrombosis in microvascular anastomoses. One large series ($n = 349$) demonstrated the use of Tisseel\textsuperscript{R} as a pedicle stabilizing agent to facilitate microanastomosis during DIEP flap breast reconstruction.\textsuperscript{49} This study suggested no increase in rates of anastomotic thrombotic complications, however benefits were poorly quantified. Other series confirm the safety of such techniques.\textsuperscript{50–52}

Recently another clipping system has become available—the Medtronic\textsuperscript{R} U-Clip\textsuperscript{R}. This combines suturing with a clip, using a needle and thread with a clipping mechanism instead of the need to tie knots. There has been some limited reporting of this clipping system in coronary artery bypass surgery but very little in reconstructive microsurgery. A case report\textsuperscript{53} and a series of three cases have reported generally rapid anastomoses with a reduced learning curve over other mechanical anastomotic aids.\textsuperscript{54}

**Robotics**

The use of robots in surgery has become an area of popular interest, with wide use in urology and cardiac surgery. The robot allows human factors such as hand tremor to be eliminated from the surgical process, potentiating safer, reproducible results—although these have yet to be convincingly demonstrated. To date, to our knowledge only two reports of the use of robots (the da Vinci Surgical System\textsuperscript{R}) in performing microsurgery exists. Katz et al. successfully used the system to perform a free flap in a pig in 2005, and while demonstrating feasibility, they concluded that the applications were limited pending the development of true microsurgical instruments for the robot.\textsuperscript{55} The following year Karamanoukian et al.\textsuperscript{56} demonstrated the feasibility of robotic assisted anastomoses in a series in piglets. While not microsurgery per se, the robot has been shown to be useful in dissection of internal mammary arteries both for use as cardiac conduits and as recipient vessels in reconstructive breast surgery.\textsuperscript{57} Robotics in surgery has evolved fast in other areas of surgery and we identify this area as one needing further research in the field of microsurgery.

**Leica\textsuperscript{R} Head Mounted Microscope**

The Leica\textsuperscript{R} Headmounted microscope HM500 (formerly the Varioscope\textsuperscript{R} M5) is a head mounted personal...
microscope introduced by Leica® capable of magnifications in the range of 2× to 9×. The HM500® has been used for various procedures requiring magnification including free flap reconstructions by a single unit in Italy58,59 and neurosurgery.60 It may be of considerable use in the future allowing unrestricted movement of the surgeon, more options for operating position, and possibly expanding the scope of microsurgery to nontertiary institutions.

Training Simulators

The role of training models and simulators has been established and well vindicated in other surgical specialties such as laparoscopic general surgery. Traditionally, in microsurgery, training has taken the form of performing anastomoses on rats in animal laboratories. However, increasing refinements in computer simulations and the ever mounting pressure to reduce the use of animal testing in science has led to development of various training modules for microsurgical skills in neurosurgery,61 ophthalmology,62 and reconstructive surgery.63 These simulators are yet to be adopted universally but may well represent the future of skills development in microsurgery. Systems such as the Dextroscope® Volumetric Interactive 3D system which incorporates MRI or CT data into a computer-generated virtual reality model, allowing the surgeon to train for an operation taking into account the anatomical data of a specific patient. This system has been used in neurosurgery, and other virtual reality simulators currently in use include the EyeSi® Ophthalmological simulator, but its application to nonophthalmic microsurgery is unclear.

Intraoperative Assessment of Flap Perfusion

The ability to assess flap perfusion intraoperatively has long been a topic of interest, with flap design able to be modified based on such findings, and partial flap necrosis rates minimized. Traditionally, clinical assessment of the flap periphery has been the mainstay of such assessment, and has formed the basis for evaluating the flap zones to be excised (such as the excision of the distal “zone 4” in a transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap). Sequential clamping of pedicles prior to division has also been used to ensure total perfusion of the flap on selected perforators. Historically, techniques such as direct measurement of flap perfusion pressure and real-time X-ray angiography have been used to confirm and quantify the perfusion of free flaps intraoperatively. While these have been useful, they are often invasive or associated with inaccuracies. A new technique for assessing the on-table perfusion of free flaps has emerged in recent time: near infrared angiography with indocyanine green dye. Indocyanine green absorbs light in the near infrared spectrum and emits fluorescence at a wavelength which penetrates human tissues (~835 nm). After injection, this fluorescence is recorded to provide information regarding the perfusion of a given area of tissue. This technique has gained popularity as a tool for assessing the on-table perfusion of a flap once raised on a given vessel and for determining which zones of a flap should be removed to ensure reliable perfusion to the periphery.64–66 Recent studies with the technique have shown that by using this technique, perfusion can be shown to be different between individuals, and that in-vivo assessment may be different to long-held anatomical concepts; indeed, the Hartrampf zones of perfusion in TRAM flaps were shown to likely not be correct and should be reconsidered to be zones I and II ipsilateral and zones III and IV contralateral.67 In addition, the technique has potential uses for assessing the perfusion of a free flap following microanastomoses and as a postoperative flap monitoring tool. Despite the promise of this technique as a valuable aide to flap design and monitoring, as well as to developing an overall understanding of perforator flap territories, the current level of evidence is restricted to small case series.

Early Detection of Postoperative Complications

Success rates for free tissue transfer have increased steadily and significantly since their introduction in the 1970s. Nonetheless, abrupt circulatory failure resulting in compromised tissue perfusion and flap necrosis continues to occur at a rate of ~5–20%.68,69 Any attempt at salvage requires urgent surgical reexploration to restore circulation and thus oxygen and nutrient supply to the flap. As flap salvage rates appear to be inversely proportional to the time delay between occlusion of vessels and reexploration,68,70–73 methods of accurate and early detection of circulatory compromise are desirable. Over the last two decades many devices and techniques have been described in an effort to provide reliable early detection of compromised flaps. Indeed, the sheer volume of different monitoring techniques and the absence of any consensus apart from the use of basic clinical monitoring74–76 suggest that none is absolutely reliable.

As discussed by Creech and Miller,77 the ideal monitoring solution needs to be easy to interpret, reliable, and afford early detection of vascular compromise allowing less experienced or junior members of staff to safely look after free flaps in the post operative period with no ambiguity or subjectivity. In addition, the system would ideally detect flap threatening circulatory problems prior to closure during the primary surgery.78

Flap monitoring has traditionally been performed with the use of clinical parameters such as surface temperature, color, capillary refill, and tactility. Handheld Doppler monitoring of the anastomosis has been in use almost since the inception of the free flap, and is close to ubiqui-
uitous in microsurgical units in the UK.74–76 These techniques have met with moderate success in units which employ these processes rigorously, with large series reporting up to 80% salvage rates of compromised flaps.69 While clinical monitoring is still the mainstay in the postoperative care of free flaps, new techniques to monitor flaps in an attempt to improve flap salvage rates have been developed. Given the disastrous consequences for a patient in the setting of even a single flap failure, significant research into new devices has been undertaken (see Table 3).

Recent techniques reported for postoperative monitoring include pulse oximetry,79–91 perfusion photoplethysmography,92,93 surface temperature measurement,94,95 fluorometry,95–102 microdialysis,103–106 ultrasound,79,107–109 implanted (Cook-Swartz) Doppler probes (see Fig. 2),110–119 laser Doppler flowmetry,120–126 impedance plethysmography,127–129 confocal microscopy,130 nuclear medicine,131–133 subcutaneous pH measurement,134–136 hydrogen clearance,137,138 externalization of part of a buried flap,139 and white light spectrometry.123

One of the main problems with research involving monitoring techniques is the difficulty in objectively assessing the usefulness of a monitoring technique. Most articles written about monitoring techniques are noncomparative case series which do not allow for objective assessment of the success or otherwise of the particular technique being discussed. As the main objective of any monitoring technique is to allow for a greater rate of flap salvage, the most obvious measure of monitoring success is whether the use of that particular method can improve the flap salvage rate. Secondary measures include ease of use and the false positive rate, a measure which is important as it reduces the rate of needless return to theater if a monitoring technique is heavily relied upon. To date only quantitative fluorometry, the implanted Doppler probe, and laser Doppler flowmetry have demonstrated the ability to improve flap salvage rates.102,116,126,140

Most of the techniques named above are still the subjects of further research in microsurgery, and it remains possible that any one of these techniques could in future become a mainstay of flap monitoring. There are also some new techniques that may be able to directly visualize the flap vasculature in an attempt to more accurately determine the causes of flap compromise. These techniques include, but are not limited to, laser speckle imaging and orthogonal polarized light.141–144 The concept of being able to directly visualize flap microcirculation could be an exciting addition to microsurgery in years to come.

DISCUSSION

The last 20 years has seen a period of consistent evolution and amelioration of microsurgical techniques. Many surgeons have used available technologies in novel ways, or undertaken learning curves to become proficient with new technologies. Despite the advent of such technologies, the benefits of many of these have remained poorly quantified and their uptake often remained very institution specific. While there are no multicenter randomized control trials to support any of the innovations described, there is a wealth of published data series

Table 3. Modern Techniques for the Postoperative Monitoring of Microvascular Free Flaps and their Reported Level of Evidence According to the Oxford Centre for Evidence Based Medicine (CEBM) Levels of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Postoperative monitoring technique</th>
<th>CEBM level of evidence for efficacy of technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implanted temperature</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluometry</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pO₂/NIRS</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implanted pO₂</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser doppler</td>
<td>2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handheld doppler</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast ultrasound</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implanted Doppler ultrasound (Cook-Swartz probe)</td>
<td>2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microdialysis</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impedance plethysmography</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confocal microscopy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear medicine</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Application of the Cook-Swartz implantable Doppler probe, demonstrating a silicone cuff (arrow) distal to the venous anastomosis, with microclips used to secure the cuff around the vessel adventitia. Image reproduced with permission from: Rozen WM, Enajat M, Whitaker IS, Smit JM, Audolfsson T, Acosta R. Postoperative monitoring of lower limb free flaps with the Cook-Swartz implantable doppler probe: A clinical trial. Microsurgery. 2009 Dec 4; [Epub ahead of print]. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
to support some of the benefits, and to demonstrate safety in most cases.

The potential benefits of many of these techniques include: more accurate preoperative planning, quicker and easier micro-anastomoses, and the reliable early detection of postoperative complications resulting in higher salvage rates of compromised flaps. These benefits can result in tangible improvements in outcome: shorter operative times, reduced operative complications, and improved flap survival rates. While the evidence for some of these techniques is not universally high, the evidence presented can provide reasonable suggestions regarding current gold standards. Current evidence-based suggestions include the use of CTA for the preoperative planning of perforator flaps, the intraoperative use of a mechanical anastomotic coupling aide (particularly the Unilink(anastomotic coupler), and postoperative flap monitoring with strict protocols using clinical bedside monitoring and/or the implantable Doppler probe.

CONCLUSION

With much of this research published within the last 12 months, it is evident that research in this field is ongoing, and that such research will greatly enhance the uptake of these technologies. Specifically, the need for high quality multicenter randomized control trials is identified, and the need for international consensus meetings (such as the “Navarra” meeting for preoperative imaging in 2008), will promote open discussion and allow surgeons to shape the future of microsurgery.
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