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Abstract

A transient finite element thermal model is formulated valid for surface coatings on any substrate material and based on the continuum conduction equations with solar loading as a heat source. The model allows cooling to be applied at outer surfaces of the body, by natural convection and accounts for ambient radiative heat loss. Hemispherical spectral reflectivities are obtained for various polymer-based coatings on a steel substrate using spectrophotometers in the 0.1µm to 25µm wavelengths. A time-dependent solar irradiation energy source (black-body equivalent) is applied to an object with spectrally diffuse outer surfaces, and the incoming heat flux is split by a band approximation into reflected and absorbed energy and finally integrated over the complete spectrum to provide thermal source terms for the finite element model.

Results show that cyclic diurnal thermal build-up of temperature can be predicted for a body with different spectrally selective coatings. While the model exhibits the classic relationship for thermal build-up with colour, i.e. dark colours absorb more heat and
lighter colours remain cooler, it also shows that colours which appear similar can have very different thermal build-ups, depending on the infra-red reflectivity of the coating.

The general suitability of the finite element method to describe geometrically complex bodies coupled with additional parameters such as latitude, longitude and a variable ambient temperature can be used to simulate a variety of scenarios for a diverse number of applications.
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**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Area of surfaces (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>Specific heat capacity for metal or air (kJ/kg.K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Solar declination (degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_0$</td>
<td>Solar constant (1.395 kW/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{\omega-\lambda T}$</td>
<td>Black body energy fraction (temperature $T$, wavelength $\lambda$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_r$</td>
<td>Local hour angle of sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity for metal or air (W/m.K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>Latitude at Earth’s surface (degrees)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{conv}$</td>
<td>Energy lost by natural convection from surface (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{rad}$</td>
<td>Energy lost by radiation from surface (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{sol}$</td>
<td>Temperature of blackbody equivalent to radiation received from sun (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_f$</td>
<td>Temperature in the thin film close to the surface (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>Zentith angle subtended by sun at object on Earth’s surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Volume coefficient of expansion, 1/K (Air)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>Wavelength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Density air or metal (kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Stefan-Boltzmann constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_T$</td>
<td>Total (low temperature) emissivity of surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Dynamic viscosity air (kg/ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\omega-\lambda}$</td>
<td>Average hemispherical spectral reflectivity between wavelengths $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>Kinematic viscosity of air (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_\lambda(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Hemispherical spectral reflectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Characteristic length, taken as 1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr$</td>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gr$</td>
<td>Grashof number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra$</td>
<td>Rayleigh number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu$</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_\lambda(\lambda)$</td>
<td>Hemispherical spectral emissivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>Heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Pr = \frac{C_p \mu}{k}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Gr = \frac{g \beta (T_s - T_\infty) L^3}{\nu^2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ra = Gr Pr$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Nu = \frac{hL}{k}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Introduction**

Thermal modelling of solar loading in conjunction with convective and conductive heat transfer aspects continues to be of interest in a variety of applications ranging from buildings [1, 2], solar panels and collectors [3-6], environmental modelling [7, 8], to solar gains in oil pipelines [9] and military vehicles and objects [10].

Until recently, there were only a limited amount of possibilities that were available to the thermal engineer in terms of formulated paint coatings. With the new generation of spectrally selective paints and coatings, [11], specifically formulated to provide particular thermal characteristics which contradict intuitive associations of colour and temperature: dark colours no longer necessarily have to have the greatest thermal build-up. It may be that, in this sense, nature has already pre-empted man in achieving spectrally selective colouring. Chlorophyll accounts for the dark green colouring of plants, and even in direct sunlight on hot days, it will still feel cool. Although some of this coolness may be attributed to internal cooling systems, a look at the spectral distribution of reflectance of grass shows that it is highly reflecting in the infrared wavelengths.

The visible wavelength range accounts for 47% of the incident extraterrestrial solar radiation, and Ultra-Violet (UV) accounts for 7%. Colour is a property of the material manifested in the visible wavelength range, thus, there will always be a strong correlation...
between colour and temperature. However, 46% of the incident radiation is in the Infra-
Red (IR) range. This explains why it is possible to have materials with a high infrared
reflectance and a dark colour (low visible reflectance) such as chlorophyll, which remain
relatively cooler than other materials of the same visible colouring. In some applications,
the inverse situation may be required, e.g. a light colour with maximum solar absorption.

The purpose of this work is to provide a mathematical framework for the thermal
ingineer connecting a standard finite element thermal model with spectral radiative heat
transfer and a solar heat source at earth ground level. This is done in a practical manner to
the extent that surface reflectance data for the material/coating can be directly imported
from a spectrophotometer to give an idea of thermal build-up for a variety of
environmental surroundings. These include the possibility of taking natural convection
heat loss, forced convective wind cooling and a variety of locations on the Earth’s
surface. The general nature of the model formulation effectively means that the coatings
in question can also encompass composite coatings with reflective substrates coated with
a black spectrally selective paint, for which all the input data that is needed is the
reflective spectrum of the coating and knowledge of basic material properties of the
composite coating such as density, thermal conductivity and specific heat capacity.

1 THE GOVERNING EQUATIONS

1.1 Incident Solar Radiation

Solar incident radiation received by Earth at the outer atmosphere can be approximated to
be the equivalent received from a black body emitter (the sun) at a temperature of
Tsol=5800K, correct except in the lower ultraviolet (UV) range. This black-body
approximation can easily be extended to get equations which connect latitude and time of year, as shown in Figure 1, however, and as shown in Figure 2, the approximation does not capture the losses in the radiative flux intensity due to the various gas and water absorption bands in the atmosphere.

The total solar radiation incident on an imaginary surface just outside on the Earth’s atmosphere is given by $G_0 = 1.395 \text{ kW/m}^2$, and is known as the solar constant. The direct solar irradiation for an object at the Earth’s surface is less than the solar constant and can be expressed as a fraction of it, by:

$$I_{\text{sol}} = G_0 \cos(z) t_a \sec(z)$$

Eq 1

Where $t_a$ is a transmission coefficient for unit air mass (0.81 clear day, 0.62 cloudy), and $z$ is the zenith angle, given by, [12, 13]:

$$\cos(z) = \sin(l) \sin(d) + \cos(l) \cos(d) \cos(h_r)$$

Eq 2

Here, $l$ is the latitude, $d$ is the declination and $h_r$ is the local hour angle. Diffuse sky radiation could also be taken into account to get a global incident solar radiation in Eq 1, but as this is generally substantially smaller than the direct radiation, it has temporarily ignored.

Declination lies in the range ±23.4° and can quite readily be obtained accurately to within 1% from the usual equation used in most textbooks [13, 14]:

$$d = 23.45 \sin(\frac{360}{365(284 + n)})$$

Eq 3

Here $n$ is the nth day of the year (Jan 1st is $n=1$). However, in this work, we have used the slightly more accurate version of this equation suggested by [15].
1.2 Spectral Energy Bands

Plank’s equation for the emissive power of a black body is:

\[
E_{ab}(\lambda, T) = \frac{2\pi C_1}{\lambda^5 \left( e^{C_2/\lambda T} - 1 \right)}
\]

Eq 4

T is temperature; \(\lambda\) is the wavelength and \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) are constants, which can be found in [3], [4]. This equation can be integrated numerically to obtain the blackbody energy fraction in a particular wavelength band:

\[
F_{0-\lambda T} = \frac{2\pi C_1}{\sigma T^4} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^5 \left( e^{C_2/\lambda T} - 1 \right)}
\]

Eq 5

Thermal energy is transmitted in the 0.1-100\(\mu\)m range. The reflectivity of some paints in the 0.3-2.5\(\mu\)m wavelength region is shown in Figure 3. The spectrum is made discrete by averaging over 0.1 intervals in the 0.1-1.0\(\mu\)m regions, 0.5 intervals in the 1.0-2.5\(\mu\)m region, and over the single 2.5-100\(\mu\)m regions. The total absorbed and reflected solar energy can now be obtained in terms of the flux per square metre by summing over all the wavelengths bands:

\[
E_{\text{reflected}} = \frac{q_{\text{ref}}}{A} = \sum_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2=1}^{nr} \left( \frac{\rho_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}}{100} \right) \times I_{\text{sol}} \times F_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}
\]

Eq 6

\[
E_{\text{absorbed}} = \frac{q_{\text{abs}}}{A} = \sum_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2=1}^{nr} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}}{100} \right) \times I_{\text{sol}} \times F_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}
\]

Eq 7

In equations 6 and 7, \(nr\) is the number of band regions. Using the black body spectral distribution for solar irradiation represents the maximum radiation possible. In actual fact, transmittance factors such as molecular scattering, aerosol attenuation, water vapour absorption, ozone absorption, and uniformly mixed gas absorption should be accounted
for, and there exist columnar-based approximation models which can actually take into account these absorption bands, [16], and would provide better solar irradiation values. However, these factors all act to reduce the irradiation, thus the blackbody irradiation used in the current model remains as the worst- or best-case scenario depending on the application. Some of these transmittance factors can be lumped into the transmission coefficient, as described in the previous section.

For the purpose of clarity, the thermal electromagnetic spectrum will henceforth be classified into the Ultraviolet (UV) spectrum 0.28-0.38µm, visible spectrum 0.38-0.74µm, Near Infrared (IR) spectrum 0.74-1.4µm, Medium IR spectrum 1.4-15µm, and Far IR spectrum 15-100µm.

1.3 Natural Convective Energy Loss

To describe the natural convection, a skin temperature needs to be defines as being the film temperature next to the surface that is loosing heat to convection. Then a suitable approximation is that the skin temperature is given by:

\[ T_j = \frac{T_\infty + T_s}{2} \]

Eq 8

Where \( T_\infty \) is the ambient temperature and \( T_s \) is the temperature of the surface at which the convection is taking place. For air at atmospheric temperature, values of the volume coefficient of expansion, \( \beta \), can be obtained from the ideal gas law, as \( \beta = 1/T_f \). and other properties such as the kinematic viscosity, \( \nu \), the thermal conductivity, \( k \), and the Prandtl number, \( Pr \), can be obtained from Table 1.
Once the properties have been established for a surface at a given temperature, the Rayleigh number, $Ra$, is calculated from:

$$Ra = \frac{g \beta (T_s - T_\infty) * L^3 * Pr}{\nu^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 9}$$

Where, in this case, the characteristic length is taken as one metre, i.e. $L=1$. The Rayleigh number can then be used to obtain the Nusselt number, and the form of this equation is different depending on whether the surface is vertical or horizontal:

**Vertical surfaces** [17] $Nu = 0.825 + \frac{(0.387 * Ra^{0.1666})}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{0.492}{Pr}\right)^{0.5625}\right)^{0.2963}}$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(a)} \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 10}$

**Horizontal surfaces** [18] $Nu = C_\lambda (Ra)^{C_B}$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(b)}$

The constants $C_\lambda$ and $C_B$ are determined by the Raleigh number, i.e. if $10^5 < Ra < 2 \times 10^7$, then $C_\lambda = 0.54$ and $C_B = 0.25$, else if $2 \times 10^7 < Ra < 3 \times 10^{10}$, then $C_\lambda = 0.14$ and $C_B = 0.33$. For all of the cases looked at in this work, the Raleigh number fell within the latter range.

Finally, the heat transfer coefficient for the system, $h$ (W/m$^2$K) can be obtained from:

$$h = \frac{kNu}{L}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 11}$

Where, a length scale of $L=1$m has been used. Using this heat transfer coefficient, the energy flux loss can then be obtained from:

$$q^{conv}_A = h(T_\infty - T_s)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 12}$

For natural convection from vertical surfaces with temperatures up to a 150°C higher than ambient, this coefficient is of the order of 5 to 25 W/m$^2$, see [13, 17]. It should be
noted that the ambient temperature itself is also described by a modified periodic
equation with respect to the daily local hour that accommodates maximum and minimum
daily temperatures. Typical variations of the ambient temperature are given in the
text examples in the results section.

1.4 Radiative Energy Loss

At the same time that the surface is being heated up, it is also re-radiating to the
surroundings, and this energy relationship uses the Stefan-Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{Q_{\text{rad}}}{A} = \varepsilon \sigma (T_A^4 - T_S^4)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 13}

Where, $\varepsilon$, is the total hemispherical low temperature emissivity, and $\sigma$ is the Stefan-
Boltzmann constant. For temperatures under 150°C, less than 0.1% of the total radiation
leaving the body is in the 0 to 2.5µm wavelength range, thus, epsilon also represents the
total average emissivity in the mid and far IR range. According to [17] and [19], values in
the order of 0.9-0.95 are appropriate.

2 GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The two-dimensional time-dependent heat transfer due to conduction with radiative flux
and convective boundary conditions can be written as:

$$\rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - k \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) = Q$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq 14}

Where $T$ is temperature, $t$ is time, $\rho$ is the density, $c_p$ is the specific heat capacity and $k$
is the thermal conductivity. The term $Q$ is the net heat flux at the boundaries from
convection and radiation and is given by $Q = q_{\text{conv}} + q_{\text{rad}}$, where $q_{\text{conv}}$ is given by equation 12 and $q_{\text{rad}}$ is given by equation 13. Equation 14 is subject to the initial conditions:

$$T(x, y, t) = T_{\infty} \text{ at } t = 0 \quad \text{Eq 15}$$

Radiated, convected and absorbed energy flux contributions on the right hand side of the energy equations can be applied as surface boundary conditions in the finite element method.

### 2.1 Finite Element Solution

The Galerkin Finite element method, [20], with four nodded quadrilateral elements was used on equation 14, which results in a system of matrix equations of the form:

$$\frac{M}{\Delta t} \left( \frac{T^{n+1} - T^n}{\Delta t} \right) + KT^{n+1} = \int_{\Gamma} q_{\text{rad}}^r (T^n) d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma} q_{\text{conv}} d\Gamma$$  \quad (a)

$$q_{\text{rad}}^r = \sigma \varepsilon T \left( \frac{T^n}{n} \right) T_r$$

$$T_{r+1} = \lambda T_r + (1 - \lambda) T_{n+1}$$

$$q_{\text{rad}}^r = \lambda q_{\text{rad}}^{r-1} + (1 - \lambda) q_{\text{rad}}^{r-1}$$  \quad (b) \quad \quad \text{Eq 16}

The coefficients of the mass, $M$, and stiffness, $K$, matrices in equation 16 (a) can be found in [20]. An implicit time stepping scheme is used which is unconditionally stable, thus there were no problems in obtaining convergence for the time step of 3600 s (1 hour) and a spatial division of $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.0166\ m$ as used in the test case problems examined.

Prior to running cases with radiation, convection and solar loading boundary conditions, the finite element solution of the thermal conductivity equation was tested for a single
material with fixed temperatures at the left and right boundaries, and insulated top and bottom boundaries. The results were compared to the analytical solution, and only small deviations were found to occur from the analytical results, namely in terms of spatial and temporal convergence.

It should be noted that the radiative surface boundary condition introduces a non-linear aspect into the equations which has been linearised and treated iteratively at each time step using a relaxation parameter $\lambda = 0.5$. Typically about 10 non-linear iterations were required per time step so that the radiative flux converged to a tolerance of $10^{-2}$. In equation 16(b), the $\left(\hat{T} - s\right)^3$ term represents a linearization of the Stefan-Boltzmann equation for radiative heat loss.

3 PAINT REFLECTIVITY DATA

Spectral reflectivity was obtained for 13 samples provided by CORUS of an industrially applied polymer using a Perkin-Elmer UV/VIS/NIR Lambda 9 and FT-IR Spectrum-1 spectrophotometer, by integrating over all angles of the hemisphere. Each sample was cut to 2.5cmx3.8cm, and the average coating was 220µm thick based on a substrate of 0.6 mm steel.

The samples were labelled according to their colour as: dark Blue (01), dark red (02), grey (03), green (04), light red (05), light blue (06), grey blue (07), beige-cream (08), ochre (09), white (10), yellow (11), brown (12), and black (13), and spectral reflectivity (%) data on the Lambda 9 in the visible range is shown in Figure 3. Note that peaks of reflectivity correspond with our perception of colours, as would be expected, i.e. dark colours have a lower reflectivity, and dark blue, for example, peaks in the blue region of
the spectrum (i.e. between 0.43 and 0.5µm), thus giving it the blue colour. Figure 4 shows the same data for the complete ultra-violet (UV), visible (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) ranges as obtained with the Lambda 9.

Spectral reflectivity data obtained using the FT-IR for the Far Infra-red spectrum is shown in Figure 5. The data measured on this machine was highly prone to the positioning of the sample in the cup, and an average of approximately four readings per sample was used. However, in this range, the mid- to far- IR range, there was no longer any clear correlation between the reflectivity and the colour. In fact, white was found to be less reflective.

For the sampled coloured paints, various high absorption bands were seen to occur at similar locations in the spectrum in the FT-IR data, and are thought to be naturally occurring overtone bands (CH/CC/Polyester/Carbons/etc), and there is a particularly distinctive absorption band which can be seen in the 1.7µm range.

All spectral reflectivity data for the coatings were lumped into 13 distinct bands in the 0.3µm to 22µm ranges for use in the model via equations 6 and 7. The number of bands used was simply a way of reducing the data to a manageable size, and could easily be increased if greater accuracy was required.

The spectral reflectivity for nickel black 01/82, also generally known as 3M black paint, was obtained from [21], as it matched the spectral range being considered here. The constitutive base and substrate for this black is different to those of the other colours, as this colour is not supplied for this particular product range. While the high absorption of black coatings can be strongly driven by chemical composition, this may be seen as an
unfair comparison, however most black paints have reflectivity values significantly lower than 10% and do vary greatly over the range of spectra being considered here.

Finally, the reflectivity spectra for an ideal reflecting black pigment and a green leaf, as suggested by [11].

4 RESULTS

The geometry that was considered is shown in Figure 6 (not to scale) consists of a 1mx1m metal container coated with a selected paint and enclosing an air pocket. The paint was taken to have a small thickness (0.1 microns) with respect to the thickness of the metal (0.3 m). The simulation results presented here do not intend to accurately reproduce the environmental conditions at a given time and location, but rather to demonstrate the validity of the trends being predicted by the model, however it is possible to obtain data for solar irradiation, wind and ambient temperature at specific locations, and this will form the basis of future work. Thermo-physical temperature dependent properties for the air outside the container are listed at various temperatures in Table 1. The properties for the air inside the container, as well as for the steel walls were not allowed to vary with temperature, and are given in Table 2. The boundary conditions used are summarised in Table 3.

4.1 Case 1 – summer in Cairo, Egypt

The model parameters for this case were taken as: Latitude 30°N; Start analysis date 16 July at 00:00; End analysis date 19 July at 23:00; Minimum daily temperature 21.7°C at 06:00; Maximum daily temperature 34.4°C at 13:00 (Data obtained from the World Meteorological Organisation). The transmission coefficient for unit air mass was taken as
0.81 (a clear day), and diurnal variations of incident solar energy, absorbed energy, energy losses by convection and radiation are shown in figure 7. The maximum solar flux for this location and date was 1110.38 (W/m²). The thermal build-up (maximum temperature of the paint/metal) for all paints during the three-day cycle is shown in figure 8, together with the ambient temperature. These are also shown in the 24 hour cycle in figure 9. The thermal build-up of a coating with equivalent spectral reflectance of green-leaf is compared to that for black, white and green, as well as using reflectance spectra for two hypothetical coatings: a) a black with ideal IR-reflectance and b) a green leaf, as obtained from [11].

As can be seen from figure 9, the thermal build-up of an ideal IR-reflector is 27% lower than that of black, and as there is no absorption in the IR range, this means that almost all the 13°C is due to the IR absorption bands. The thermal leaf-green is also 7% lower than the green paint, suggesting that there may be some potential of reducing the thermal build-up from both these paints by some amount. These should all be compared to the beige-cream paint which is a relatively light looking colour, but which has a relatively large thermal build-up when compared to green. Thus, within a small margin, it is possible to have darker colours which have a lower thermal build-up than visibly light colours.

The effects of varying the low temperature total emissivity parameter $\varepsilon_r$ for the radiative loss boundary condition is shown as a function of maximum temperature in Figure 11, and as a function of the total energy lost by convection and radiation in Figure 12. Although the radiated heat lost to the environment is substantial, the effect of the emissivity parameter in the allowable ranges (0.7 to 0.99) was found to be small.
4.2 Case 2 – winter in Swansea, United Kingdom

The following parameters for this case: Latitude 52°N; Start analysis date 12 March at 00:00; End analysis date 16 March at 23:00; Minimum daily temperature 3°C at 06:00; Maximum daily temperature 9.7°C at 13:00 (Data obtained from the World Meteorological Organisation). The transmission coefficient for unit air mass was again taken as 0.81 (a clear day). The maximum solar flux for this location and date was 552.10 (W/m²). The predicted thermal build-up for black and white paints for this case are compared to those in the previous case (Cairo) in figure 13.

5 FUTURE WORK

In the current work, solar loading is provided by treating the sun as a point source, thus not requiring direct calculation of any view factors, however, this could be taken a step further as in [24], where a disk is used to model the solar source. Indeed, work has already started in including the solar modelling described in this paper into an existing three-dimensional heat transfer model, [25-28], which uses Monte-Carlo and Hemi-cube algorithms to calculate view factors for surface-to-surface radiative heat transfer. This model can also include internal heat sources (such as engines) and be coupled to results emanating from CFD, and has a variety of applications to fixed and rotary wing aircraft in flight, as well as when stationary on the runway.

6 CONCLUSIONS

A solar loading, transient finite element thermal model has been presented which is valid for spectrally diffuse surface coatings on any substrate material and based on the continuum conduction equations.
Results have shown that cyclic diurnal thermal build-ups of temperature can be predicted for a body with different spectrally selective coatings. The model exhibits the classic relationship for thermal build-up with colour, i.e. dark colours absorb more heat and lighter colours remain cooler, but it also shows that colours which appear similar in the visible spectrum can have substantially different thermal build-up, depending on the reflectivity spectra in the infra-red range.

The general suitability of the finite element method to describe geometrically complex bodies coupled with additional parameters such as latitude, longitude and a variable ambient temperature can be used to simulate a variety of scenarios for a diverse number of applications.
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### Table 1 – Thermally dependent properties of air at atmospheric pressure, from [17]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature K</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>ν</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>Pr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/ K</td>
<td>m²/s x 10⁶</td>
<td>W/mK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>0.02227</td>
<td>0.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>0.02624</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>20.76</td>
<td>0.03003</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>0.03365</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 – Non-thermally dependent material properties used for the steel and air, from [17]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Cp (kJ/kg.K)</th>
<th>ρ (kg/m³)</th>
<th>k (W/mK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steel (Carbon)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (200-400K)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 – Boundary conditions used for test problem (see Figure 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boundary condition</th>
<th>Top Wall</th>
<th>Bottom Wall</th>
<th>Left Wall</th>
<th>Right Wall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solar radiation</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Insulated</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convective heat loss</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 10 b)</td>
<td>Insulated</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 10 a)</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 10 a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative heat loss</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 13)</td>
<td>Insulated</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 13)</td>
<td>Y (Eq. 13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 – Incident solar radiation (direct solar irradiation) as a function of latitude and month of the year given by equations 1-3
Figure 2 – Solar irradiation for a black-body at T=5800K and compared to data from [13]
Figure 3 – Spectral reflectivity for paint samples in the visible spectrum
Figure 4 – Spectral reflectivity for the paint samples in UV-Visible and Near-IR spectrum
Figure 5 – Spectral reflectivity for the paint samples in the mid- to far IR spectrum
Figure 6 – Geometry of region of interest, metal walls are 6 mm thick and coating is 200µm.
Figure 7 – Total incident and absorbed solar energy, together with convective and radiative heat loss in (W). The total emissivity $\varepsilon_{\tau}$ used for radiative loss (referred to as $E$ in graphs) is varied through 0.6 to 0.99.
Figure 8 – Maximum diurnal temperatures for the metal with various paint coatings ranging from white to black.
Figure 9 – 24 hour diurnal cycle of maximum temperatures of the metal/paint with all paints ranging from white to black
Figure 10 – 24 hour diurnal cycle of maximum temperatures of the metal/paint for selected paints (black, white, green) and compared to an ideal IR reflector and green leaf.
Figure 11 – Effect of the average low temperature emissivity on the surface temperature for black and white paints
Figure 12 – Diurnal energy exchange in the body, in particular note the effect of the low temperature emissivity parameter on the radiative energy lost to the environment
Figure 13 – Comparisons of maximum predicted diurnal temperatures for Cairo, Egypt compared to Swansea, UK