



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository This is an author produced version of a paper published in: Classical Journal Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa23368

This article is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Authors are personally responsible for adhering to publisher restrictions or conditions. When uploading content they are required to comply with their publisher agreement and the SHERPA RoMEO database to judge whether or not it is copyright safe to add this version of the paper to this repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

spectacular Dragon Master pendant. John Boardman explores Tillya Tepe's "Echoes of Greece and China," emphasizing the importance of the region as a cultural crossroads; and Denise Patry Leidy raises a number of questions about the Chinese-inspired goods found in this Greco-Roman outpost, whose art combines motifs from both Greco-Roman and Chinese art.

This collection of essays sheds valuable light on an under-documented subject (especially in English; most of the literature on these sites is in French). It is high time that scholarly attention has been drawn to the ancient outpost of Bactria, at the intersection of many cultures along the Silk Road. Overall the essays in this collection are successful in promoting interest in a part of the world known mainly from news of the current conflict. While this symposium investigates some esoteric areas of art history, it is always careful to return to the theme of crosscurrents of culture. This volume will no doubt be suitable for a wide cross-section of people; scholars, students and enthusiasts will find it readily accessible.

RACHAEL B. GOLDMAN

Graduate Center-City University of New York, RGoldman@gc.cuny.edu

* * *

Anatomizing Civil War: Studies in Lucan's Epic Technique. By Martin T. Dinter. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 2012. Pp. viii + 186. Hardcover, \$65.00. ISBN 978-0-472-11850-2.

Scholarship has often regarded Lucan's *Bellum Civile* as a fragmented, episodic work. Dinter's monograph sets out to combat this idea by tracing the recurrent images, motifs, and stylistic techniques that serve to unify the epic. The book comprises four chapters, which examine respectively Lucan's body imagery, the role of *fama*, the narrative and thematic function of *sententiae*, and poetic patterns of repetition. Throughout, Dinter argues that the *Bellum Civile* achieves coherence precisely via its most disjointed elements, which bind the epic at the same time as they represent the disintegration caused by civil war. Though promising, this premise fails in the execution and Dinter's book suffers from its own lack of unity, cutting from topic to topic without engaging in the sort of prolonged analysis that would establish clear links between its various arguments.

The first chapter is by far Dinter's strongest. Lightly reworked from two previously published essays,¹ it examines the central theme of bodies and body metaphors in Lucan's epic. Dinter presents a useful overview of how corporeality pervades the Bellum Civile at the various levels of cosmos, state, army, human individual, and text. His analysis of heads (19–21) and hands (22–7) is particularly thought-provoking, yet also too superficial to be fully satisfying. An unfortunate result of Dinter's overall approach is that he avoids close readings and too often cites a dizzying sequence of passages without pausing to discuss all their implications. A brief example: Dinter uses BC 5.252 ([Caesar] *tot raptis truncus manibus*) to illustrate the metaphor of army as body (22) but does not examine how this image of Caesar recalls that of Pompey at BC 1.139–40 in a manner that links the military corpus with the cosmic one.

Similar problems hinder the treatment of *Fama* in Chapter 2. After listing numerous instances of fame, repute, and rumor in the *Bellum Civile*, Dinter concludes with the bland statement that in this work, "fame is the main concern" (62). Curiously for one analyzing the fame conferred by epic, Dinter never refers to Homeric *kleos*. Nor does he resolve his contradictory proposition that Lucan's epic perpetuates *fama* at the same time as it leaves the majority of soldiers nameless (80–1 acknowledges the issue, but skirts it by talking about *gloria* instead—hardly the same thing as *fama*). That said, there are some strong sections in this chapter, such as when Dinter compares Lucan's Erictho to the personified *Fama* of Ovid and Vergil (68–75) and when he examines Lucan's epitaphic language (82–7).

The second half of Dinter's monograph shifts focus from content to style. Chapter 3 strips back the Bellum Civile to a skeleton of epigrammatic statements and shows how these *sententiae* articulate the paradoxes inherent in civil war. Lucan's rhetoric of guilt and forgiveness is a particularly interesting topic, which Dinter summarizes well (103–5) despite omitting any reference to Matthew Roller, whose 1996 article on Lucan deals with very similar material. The section on the "Antiproverb" (111–14) likewise constitutes one of this chapter's

¹ M. T. Dinter, "Lucan's Epic Body," in C. Walde, ed., Lucan im 21. Jahrhundert (Leipzig, 2005) 295–312; id., "... und es bewegt sich doch! Der Automatismus des abgehackten Gliedes," in N. Hömke and C. Reitz, eds., *Lucan's Bellum Civile: Between Epic Tradition and Aesthetic Innovation* (Berlin, 2010) 175–90.

² M. Roller, "Ethical Contradiction and the Fractured Community in Lucan's *Bellum Civile*," CA 15 (1996) 319–47.

highlights. Once again, however, Dinter moves through Lucan's text too rapidly and relegates almost all Latin quotations to the footnotes (page 108 has a footnote for every sentence).

The fourth and final chapter examines verbal repetition as Lucan's way of evoking cosmic and historical cycles and poetic recycling. Dinter argues convincingly that Lucan's repetitive language reflects the endless, cyclical nature of civil war in the *Bellum Civile*. Less convincing, though, is the chapter's final section, where Dinter quotes at length Mediaeval and Renaissance sources that supplement the abrupt ending of Lucan's epic. Connections between this section and the rest of the chapter are tenuous at best and, in the absence of any formal conclusion, these last ten pages leave Dinter's own analysis feeling somewhat "open-ended." Such disunity sadly characterizes Dinter's monograph overall; it is difficult to see how the topic of each individual chapter relates to any other, not least because Dinter links his ideas at a metaphorical rather than literal level, which occasionally results in him placing undue weight on the concept of "anatomy."

The book also contains some typographical errors, of which I list the most glaring: "I am" for *iam* (146); "vigils" for *vigiles* (71 n. 90); repeated translation in nn. 90 and 91 (71); "in" for "is" (99 n. 56); a translation that exceeds the quotation from Caesar *Civ.*1.7.1 (135). The presence of such errors is a great pity because, alongside the disjointed argument, they mar a study that displays strong potential and could, ultimately, have had a lot to offer.

ERICA BEXLEY

The Australian National University, erica.bexley@anu.edu.au

* * *

Introduction to Latin. Second Edition. By SUSAN C. SHELMERDINE. Newburyport, MA: Focus Publishing/R. Pullins Company, 2013. Pp. xvi + 376. Paper, \$34.95. ISBN 978-1-58510-390-4.

The many users of Shelmerdine's introductory Latin textbook will welcome this new edition. It retains the good qualities of the first and revised editions, and also introduces some improvements.

Copyright of Classical Journal is the property of Classical Association of the Middle West & South Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.