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Abstract

Objectives: African Americans are characterized by higher heart rate variability (HRV), a finding ostensibly associated with beneficial health outcomes. However, these findings are at odds with other evidence that ‘Blacks’ present with worse cardiovascular outcomes. Here we examine associations in a large cohort from the ELSA-Brasil study and determined whether these effects are mediated by discrimination.

Methods: Three groups were compared based on self-declared race: ‘Black’ (n=2,020), ‘Brown’ (n=3,502), and ‘Whites’ (n=6,467). Perceived discrimination was measured using a modified version of the Everyday Discrimination Scale. Resting-state HRV was extracted from 10-minute resting-state electrocardiograms. Racial differences in HRV were determined by regression analyses weighted by propensity scores, which controlled for potentially confounding variables including age, sex, education, and other health-related information. Non-linear mediation analysis quantified the average total effect, comprising direct (race – HRV) and indirect (race – discrimination – HRV) pathways.

Results: ‘Blacks’ displayed higher HRV relative to ‘Browns’ (Cohen’s $d = 0.20$) and ‘Whites’ (Cohen’s $d = 0.31$). ‘Browns’ also displayed a small, but significantly higher HRV relative to ‘Whites’ (Cohen’s $d = 0.14$). Discrimination indirectly contributed to the effects of race on HRV.

Conclusions: This large cohort from the Brazilian population shows that HRV is greatest in ‘Blacks’ followed by ‘Browns’ relative to ‘Whites.’ The presence of higher HRV in ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’ may reflect a sustained compensatory psychophysiological response to the adverse effects of discrimination. Additional research is needed to determine the health consequences of these differences in HRV across racial and ethnic groups.
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Introduction

Non-communicable diseases including neuropsychiatric disorders and cardiovascular disease (CVD) are leading global burdens of disease [1, 2]. These diseases are responsible for 63% of all deaths worldwide, and 80% of these occur in low-and middle-income countries [3]. In Brazil, an upper-middle-income country, morbidity and mortality due to non-communicable diseases are greatest in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged groups, and especially ‘Blacks’ [4-8]. A potential candidate marker for future ill-health is heart rate variability (HRV), an index of parasympathetic (vagal) function that may underpin psychophysiological flexibility, psychological wellbeing, health and longevity [9-11]. Reduced HRV is observed in the mood and anxiety disorders [12-17], and is associated with a 32-45% increased risk of a first cardiovascular event, even in populations without known CVD [18]. The vagus nerve plays an important regulatory role over a variety of allostatic systems including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [19], inflammatory processes [20], and glucose regulation [21, 22] [see also: 23-25]. Consequently, tonic vagal dysregulation – indexed using resting-state HRV – may lead to ill-health from a host of conditions and diseases including CVD [9, 10, 26, 27].

It is unexpected therefore that vagally-mediated HRV in African Americans is higher – not lower – than HRV in ‘Whites’ [28]. These findings, based on a meta-analysis of 17 studies published between 1995 and 2013, were associated with a large effect size (Hedges g = 0.93, 95% CI = 0.25-1.62). Higher HRV in African Americans represents a paradox because African Americans are also characterized by a high prevalence of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [29, 30]. One potential explanation for increased HRV in ‘Blacks’ is a sustained, compensatory psychophysiological response to the adverse effects of discrimination, which include a worsening of blood pressure, cholesterol, body mass index and self-assessed general health [31, 32]. Consistent with this possibility, African
Americans display higher resting levels of systolic blood pressure and total peripheral resistance in combination with greater HRV in comparison to European Americans [33]. For the present study, we hypothesized that ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’ would display increased resting state HRV relative to ‘Whites’ in a large cohort from the Brazilian population. We also determined whether these racial differences in HRV would be mediated by discrimination consistent with a compensatory response.

Methods

Participants: ELSA-Brasil is a cohort of 15,105 civil servants aged 35-74 years enrolled between August 2008 and December 2010 at 6 different sites in Brazil (Belo Horizonte, Porto Alegre, Rio de Janeiro, Salvador, Sao Paulo and Vitoria). The ethics committees of the participating universities approved the research protocol. All participants provided written informed consent after a complete description of the study. Exclusion criteria comprised current or recent pregnancy (within 4 months of first interview), intention to quit working at the institution in the near future, severe cognitive or communication impairment, and if retired, residence outside of a study center’s metropolitan area. The study design and sampling procedures of ELSA-Brasil have been reported previously [34, 35] [36]. The present study focused on participants who self-reported their race including 6,467 ‘Whites’, 3,502 ‘Browns’ and 2,020 ‘Blacks’, consistent with the classification adopted by the 2010 National Brazilian Census. Racial measurement in the Brazilian census has always referred to phenotype (skin colour), not ancestry (origin), and the census has always included a term for the admixed population (“Pardo” or “Brown”), unlike the U.S. [37] Self-declared race is a construct with sociopolitical significance that may capture unmeasured factors impacting on health outcomes. While we do not focus on health disparities here per se, our outcome measure (HRV) is medically important and may mediate downstream changes in a variety of allostatic systems. A total of 11,989 participants were available
from the ELSA-Brasil cohort after dropping a relatively small number of participants who refused to self-report their race (n=184), participants who self-reported Asian (n=374) or indigenous ancestry (n=157), participants without available HRV exams (n=1813, including 504 participants with ectopic beats, reflecting either extra or skipped beats on the ECG trace) and those participants missing data on other variables used in analysis (n= 653).

**Electrocardiogram Assessment**

Resting-state data from the electrocardiogram was recorded for ten-minutes during spontaneous breathing without task demands, in the supine position. The electrocardiogram was always collected in the morning (8:00 to 12:00h) in a temperature-controlled room (21-24°C) and was sampled at 250 Hz with a digital electrocardiograph (Micromed, Brazil), consistent with international standards for the collection of HRV [38] [see also 39]. Wincardio (4.4a) software generated the R-R interval series from a selected lead (D2), which is associated with higher R-wave amplitude. Data was then processed to obtain high frequency (HF-HRV) using the autoregressive method as described elsewhere [40]. The HF-HRV (0.15–0.40 Hz) component was expressed in absolute units, and then log-transformed data as a normalization strategy [41]. The focus of our study is on HF-HRV, a specific marker of vagal function [42].

**Discrimination Questionnaire**

Perceived discrimination was determined based on a modified version of the Everyday Discrimination Scale [43] (see also: http://scholar.harvard.edu/davidrwilliams/book/export/html/32495). This measure captures unfair treatment on 5 common experiences including: 1) the workplace such as being fired or not recommended for promotion (employment item), 2) difficulty in renting property or living within the community (housing item), 3) unfair accusation, being searched or
assaulted by the police (interactions with police item), 4) receiving poorer service within a public place such as a bank, shop, hospital or government office (discrimination in public places item), or 5) being (unfairly) discouraged within school or college (education item). For each situation, participants were asked whether they had experienced discrimination and responded on an ordinal scale. Available responses were ‘no’, ‘yes, one time’ and ‘yes, more than one time’. Participants were then given a score of 0 if they did not experience discrimination, 1 if they reported experiencing any of these forms of discrimination once only, and a score of 2 if they had experienced any of these forms of discrimination on more than one occasion. Test-retest reliability (n=92, 2 weeks apart) [44] relating to overall discrimination is associated with a kappa coefficient of 0.85 (95%CI 0.72 – 0.98).

Covariates

The present study sought to provide an important extension to the literature on the association between self-reported race and HRV. While the decision over what variables should be used as covariates is controversial [see 45], our decision was driven by the need to set the distributions of certain variables as equal across racial groups [46], allowing us to draw conclusions that the outcome – heart rate variability – is affected by race, rather than some other common, potentially confounding variable.

Age, sex and education (less than high school, high school or college education) are commonly employed covariates. Each of these variables statistically differ by race (see participant characteristics) highlighting some degree of structural confounding, and are known to impact on HRV. Health related information also differs by race and therefore represents possible alternate pathways to alterations in HRV. Health related variables that were controlled in our study included smoking status (current versus past or never),
physical activity (measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire and categorized according to low activity versus moderate or high activity as determined using scoring guidelines: http://www.ipaq.ki.se/scoring.pdf), body mass index (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared, \( \text{kg/m}^2 \)) and medication use (including antidepressants, antihypertensive medications, and lipid lowering medications identified on the basis of pill bottle review). Additional health information on which groups were controlled included a continuous measure of psychiatric symptom severity determined using the Portuguese version [47] of the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised (CIS-R) [47], hypertension (yes versus no; defined as systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mm Hg, or diastolic blood pressure \( \geq 90 \) mm Hg, or use of antihypertensive medications), diabetes mellitus (yes versus no; defined as self-reported or fasting blood glucose \( \geq 126 \) mg/dL or 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test \( \geq 200 \) mg/dL or glycated haemoglobin \( \geq 6.5 \)%), dyslipidemia (yes versus no; defined as LDL-cholesterol \( \geq 130 \) mg/dL or use of lipid lowering medication), ‘hard’ coronary heart disease (including myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization) (yes versus no), and use of antidepressant medication (yes versus no).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21 and R version 3.0.1. Participant characteristics (Table 1) were examined using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) for contrasts involving continuous dependent measures, and \( \chi^2 \) statistics for categorical variables in IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21. Tukey’s HSD is reported to correct for multiple comparisons and aid interpretation of ANOVA’s, while post hoc analyses were inspected to determine those groups that statistically differed from ‘Whites’ in \( \chi^2 \) statistics. Propensity score weighted analysis and subsequent mediation analysis were conducted using R. These procedures are further described below and the R-code is provided in online supplementary information [see also 48, 49].
Propensity score weighted analysis was used to control for potentially confounding variables (see above section on covariates) after which racial differences in HRV were determined. Propensity score analyses have several advantages over traditional regression-based analyses [48, 50]. Firstly, all covariates are summarized as a single propensity score providing an important dimension reduction tool for evaluating differences on the independent variable (e.g. race). Secondly, a formal model is explicitly specified that is not conflated with the modeling approach (i.e. the analysis on which conclusions are drawn). Thirdly, the propensity score is a function only of covariates, not outcomes (e.g. HRV), therefore repeated analyses attempting to balance covariate distributions across groups (e.g. race) do not bias estimates of the outcome (e.g. HRV). Fourthly, propensity score methods avoid extrapolating beyond the observed data unlike traditional parametric modeling when groups (e.g. race) are disparate on covariates.

Weighted regression analyses were conducted using the ‘twang’ and ‘survey’ packages in the R statistical environment. Effective sample sizes (reported as ‘n’) were obtained from propensity score weighting, reflecting the numbers of participants with similar features on covariates in each of the groups. These effective sample sizes are smaller than the numbers of participants included in analyses as they capture the adverse impact of increased variance on precision and power [48]. Omnibus F-tests of statistical significance were conducted followed by t-tests to determine between group differences using the regTermTest and svydesign functions of the survey package [51]. The figure displays group means and 95% confidence intervals after propensity score weighting, extracted using the svyby function in the same package. Cohen’s $d$ effect sizes were calculated using an online calculator (available here:}
A sensitivity analysis was then conducted to rule out any possibility that increased HRV in ‘Blacks’ is due to survival bias and under-representation of more severe disease due to premature cardiovascular death. Therefore sensitivity analysis involved re-doing our main analysis on younger individuals, as defined by those participants under the median age of the entire cohort.

Following the propensity score weighted analysis, mediation analysis was conducted to determine whether discrimination mediates the effects of race on HRV, as proposed previously [28]. While mediation modeling has typically been conducted within a linear structural equation modeling framework, linear models cannot be used on ordinal data such as the discrimination variable in the present study. We employed the ‘mediation’ package [49] for mediation analyses, as this package provides a robust and flexible option for modeling non-linearity. Mediation allows the average total ($\bar{\tau}$) effect to be decomposed into average direct ($\bar{\zeta}$) and average indirect ($\bar{\delta}$) effects, and for hypotheses relating to the mediating effects of discrimination to be tested statistically. Direct, indirect and total effects are reported along with their uncertainty estimates based on 1000 nonparametric bootstrap resamples. Like that for our propensity score analyses (above), we also conducted sensitivity analysis to determine whether mediation could be replicated in the younger cohort, and in a cohort in which potential confounding variables will be less of a concern, especially ill-health and medication. Further sensitivity analyses were conducted on heart rate to determine whether discrimination mediated any relationship between race and this variable (see supplementary information).

**Results**

*Participant Characteristics*
Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Unadjusted analyses on the impact of race on HRV indicated that all groups significantly differed from each other, such that ‘Blacks’ display higher HF-HRV than ‘Browns’, and ‘Browns’ display higher HF-HRV than ‘Whites’. (See also the Table in supplementary information, which reports on unadjusted correlations between each participant characteristic and HRV measures). Propensity score weighting analyses (reported below) confirmed these unadjusted findings after controlling for potentially confounding variables. ‘Blacks’ also displayed a higher level of repeated discrimination, followed by ‘Browns’ relative to ‘Whites’. This finding provides the foundation on which results for mediation analyses is interpreted.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

Inspection of participant characteristics (Table 1) indicates that ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’ were slightly younger, and displayed more psychiatric symptoms (relative to ‘Whites’). None of the averaged CIS-R scores for race-based groupings reached the threshold required for diagnostic status (threshold score = 12; [47]). ‘Blacks’ (relative to ‘Whites’) were also heavier, more likely to be female, to have a lower level of education, to be physically inactive, to be current smokers, and to have a higher prevalence of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia. ‘Blacks’ (relative to ‘Whites’ and ‘Browns’) were also less likely to be using antidepressants. ‘Browns’ (relative to ‘Whites’) were more likely to have a lower level of education, to be physically inactive, to be current smokers, to have hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and ‘hard’ CHD. Like ‘Blacks’, they were also less likely to be using antidepressants relative to ‘Whites’. These findings highlight the need for propensity score weighting, which allowed for differences on these covariates to be equalized across racial groupings.
Propensity Score Analysis

Here we examined the impact of race on resting state HRV after propensity score weighting. Effective sample sizes were as follows: ‘Whites’, n=5,747; ‘Browns’, n=3,139; and ‘Blacks’, n=1,314. Significant effects were observed for HF-HRV [F(2,11986)=67.23, p= < 0.001]. ‘Blacks’ displayed higher vagally mediated HF-HRV (M = 5.60, 95% CI: 5.54, 5.66) relative to ‘Whites’ (p < 0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.31; M = 5.23, 95% CI: 5.20, 5.26). In addition, ‘Blacks’ displayed higher vagally mediated HF-HRV relative to ‘Browns’ (p < 0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.20; M = 5.39, 95% CI: 5.35, 5.44), while ‘Browns’ also displayed higher HF-HRV (M = 5.39, 95% CI: 5.35, 5.44) than ‘Whites’ (HF-HRV, p < 0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.14). (See Fig 1).

INSERT FIG 1 HERE

An additional sensitivity analysis was conducted on participants aged less than the median of the entire cohort (median age = 51.00 years) to rule out the possibility that greater HRV observed in ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’ relative to ‘Whites’ is due to survival bias. Effective sample sizes were as follows: ‘Whites’, n=2,959; ‘Browns’, n=1,807; and ‘Blacks’, n=792. Again, significant effects were observed for HF-HRV [F(2,6126)=32.20, p<0.001]. ‘Blacks’ displayed higher vagally mediated HF-HRV (M = 5.82, 95% CI: 5.75, 5.90) relative to ‘Whites’ (p < 0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.29; M = 5.50, 95% CI: 5.45, 5.54). In addition, ‘Blacks’ displayed higher vagally mediated HF-HRV relative to ‘Browns’ (p < 0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.16; M = 5.65, 95% CI: 5.60, 5.70). Finally, ‘Browns’ also displayed higher HF-HRV (M = 5.65, 95% CI: 5.60, 5.70) than ‘Whites’ (p<0.001, Cohen’s d = 0.14). In summary, analyses revealed that the three groups differed from each other on HRV such that ‘Blacks’ displayed the highest HRV followed by ‘Browns’, and ‘Whites’, the group that displayed the lowest values.
Mediation Analysis

Here we examined whether the relationship between race and HRV is mediated by discrimination. Results focusing on ‘Whites’ and ‘Blacks’ revealed significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.3590$, 95% CI: 0.2980, 0.4147, $p<0.01$), direct ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.3521$, 95% CI: 0.2900, 0.4086, $p<0.01$) and indirect effects ($\delta = 0.0069$, 95% CI: 0.0010, 0.0143, $p=0.02$). Analysis on ‘Whites’ and ‘Browns’ also revealed significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.1778$, 95% CI: 0.1492, 0.2063, $p<0.01$) and direct effects ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.1751$, 95% CI: 0.1462, 0.2034, $p<0.01$), but no indirect effect ($\delta = 0.0027$, 95% CI: -0.0006, 0.0057, $p=0.10$). An analysis was also conducted on ‘Browns’ and ‘Blacks’; results indicated significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.1820$, 95% CI: 0.1521, 0.2078, $p<0.01$) and direct ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.1767$, 95% CI: 0.1475, 0.2028, $p<0.01$) effects, as well as an indirect effect at trend levels ($\delta = 0.0053$, 95% CI: 0.0001, 0.0100, $p=0.05$).

We then conducted a sensitivity analysis to determine whether mediation could be replicated in the younger cohort. Results from this sensitivity analysis focusing on ‘Whites’ and ‘Blacks’ revealed significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.3347$, 95% CI: 0.2572, 0.4090, $p<0.01$) and direct ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.3234$, 95% CI: 0.2487, 0.3973, $p<0.01$) and an indirect effect at trend levels ($\delta = 0.0113$, 95% CI: -0.0001, 0.0203, $p=0.06$). Analysis on ‘Whites’ and ‘Browns’ also revealed significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.1623$, 95% CI: 0.1256, 0.1981, $p<0.01$) and direct effects ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.1593$, 95% CI: 0.1230, 0.1943, $p<0.01$), but no indirect effect ($\delta = 0.0030$, 95% CI: -0.0016, 0.0080, $p=0.21$). The sensitivity analysis on ‘Browns’ and ‘Blacks’ indicated significant total ($\bar{\tau} = 0.1704$, 95% CI: 0.1357, 0.2063, $p<0.01$), direct ($\bar{\zeta} = 0.1629$, 95% CI: 0.1281, 0.1992, $p<0.01$) and indirect effects ($\delta = 0.0075$, 95% CI: 0.0008, 0.0152, $p=0.03$). In summary, mediation analysis revealed a small effect of discrimination in regards to the proportion of the mediated effect. Although small, this mediating effect of
discrimination significantly contributes to the race-related increase in HRV. Finally, additional sensitivity analysis (reported in supplementary information) revealed no significant mediating effect of discrimination for the relationship between race and heart rate.

**Discussion**

Recently published meta-analytic findings [28] indicate that African Americans display higher HRV relative to ‘Whites’, despite increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality among ‘Blacks’. The present study examined whether these findings extend to a large cohort from the Brazilian population, which may be less prone to residual confounding due to substantial racial admixture [53]. We also examined whether these findings are mediated by discrimination consistent with a compensatory response to the adverse effects of discrimination including hypertension [32] (see also Table 1). We observed that HRV is higher in ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’, relative to ‘Whites’, and that this finding is unlikely to be a consequence of survival bias as indicated by the sensitivity analysis on younger participants. Therefore, as per findings in African Americans [28], our findings in a Brazilian sample are a conundrum given the increased risk for morbidity and mortality that is most prominent in ‘Blacks’. We also present the first evidence – to our knowledge – that racial differences in HRV may be underpinned by the experience of repeated discrimination.

Although discrimination is strongly associated with adverse physiological effects including hypertension [31, 32] (see also table 1), our findings also show that it may be associated with a sustained, compensatory psychophysiological response (see below). Although the parasympathetic (vagal) and sympathetic nervous systems (PNS and SNS) are typically conceptualized as two opposing components, this is not correct. Vagal activity – indexed
by HRV – may be co-activated or co-deactivated [54, 55]. While co-activation of the PNS and SNS activity may help to mitigate the deleterious effects of increased SNS activity [56], sympathetic-parasympathetic cardiac deactivation may reflect passive sensory intake [57]. Higher HRV in ‘Blacks’ may therefore reflect a compensatory response to the adverse physiological responses associated with perceived discrimination, which may include increased SNS activity. It is important to note that while ‘Blacks’ may display increased HRV, these alterations do not ameliorate other adverse physiological effects of discrimination [31, 32], which contribute to higher rates of morbidity and mortality caused by cardiovascular disease. An earlier study [33] demonstrated that African Americans – relative to European Americans – display greater HRV, in combination with higher resting levels of systolic blood pressure and total peripheral resistance (TPR). TPR was also increased and its recovery, delayed when anger was inhibited [33], and TPR may contribute to elevations in blood pressure and increased risk of morbidity and mortality [58, 59]. These and other differences in vascular activity may therefore underpin the increased risk for CVD in ‘Blacks’ versus ‘Whites’.

A notable feature of HRV is that it reflects psychological (e.g. emotion regulation) as well as physiological processes (e.g. control over allostatic systems), providing a structural link between mental and physical health [9]. While emotion regulation is a complex and multi-dimensional construct that may also include rumination, we consider resting-state HRV to index capacity for emotion regulation reflecting effective prefrontal control of visceromotor, neuroendocrine, and behavioral responses, critical for goal-directed behavior, adaptability and health [11, 60, 61]. In this regard, higher HRV in ‘Blacks’ may reflect a heightened, yet forced capacity to regulate emotions associated with day-to-day stressors and discrimination against ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’. Some prior studies support this possibility. Firstly, ‘Blacks’ may be conditioned from an early age to inhibit their expression of anger in
an effort to reduce or avoid aggression [33], a consequence of early conditioning of avoidance learning in a social context [62, 63]. While inhibition of anger may be associated with more rapid blood pressure recovery, it is also associated with delayed TPR recovery, which may increase risk from hypertension-related death and disability [33]. Although we did not focus on hypertension here, it is notable that in our own sample that ‘Blacks’ display greater frequency of hypertension, followed by ‘Browns’ and ‘Whites’ (table 1). Furthermore, earlier research has demonstrated that racism-related vigilance represents an important source of chronic stress that contributes to this higher prevalence in hypertension [32]. Secondly, ‘Blacks’ are associated with a lower lifetime risk of mood and anxiety disorders, and that associated protective factors likely originate in childhood (prior to age 10) [64], as does learning to regulate emotions, according to the avoidance-learning hypothesis [33, 62, 63].

Our study has several strengths including the investigation of HRV race-related differences in a large cohort characterized by substantial genetic admixture [53], the application of robust statistical techniques including propensity score weighted regression analyses and non-linear mediation analysis on which results are based, and confirmation of findings when only focusing on the younger cohort. We also demonstrate that although heart rate was decreased in ‘Blacks’ relative to ‘Browns’ and ‘Whites’, discrimination did not mediate the relationship between race and heart rate (see supplementary information), highlighting the specificity of the HRV findings that we report here. It is important to recognize here that resting-state HRV and the HF-HRV component in particular – unlike heart rate – is a relatively pure indicator of vagal activity [11, 65].

Several limitations of our work should also be noted. We did not collect data on respiratory rate or depth, which may influence estimates of HRV. However, the question over whether
they should be controlled – especially during resting state recordings – remains a divisive one in the field of psychophysiology [27, 66-68]. The use of a self-report measure of discrimination may also be considered a limitation, and we do not have any measure of emotion regulation, the ability to avoid anger or the frequency of anger episodes. In the future, researchers may wish to consider the possibility of using a variant of the implicit association test for assessing the unconscious experience of discrimination [69, 70]. Another limitation is the difficulty in quantifying the degree to which mediation analysis was robust to the possibility of unobserved confounding. Therefore, we adopt a balanced approach to inferring causation, presenting a theoretical set of relationships within a cross-sectional dataset and interpret findings within the context of the available literature. Studies with longitudinal designs are necessary to further examine the pathways through which HRV is increased in ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’, as well as the downstream mechanisms that may underpin increased risks for cardiovascular morbidity in these individuals.

We must also acknowledge that the proportion of variance explained by discrimination in our mediation analysis was small (the mediating effect was only 2-3% of the total effect). This small effect is perhaps unsurprising considering that 62% of the sample reported no experience of discrimination and that race-associated differences in HRV is likely to be multi-determinable. As suggested previously, it is possible that the conscious report of no discrimination in persons with fewer socioeconomic resources reflects a conscious decision not to report discrimination, or even internalized oppression that is not consciously perceived [69].

In conclusion, we demonstrate that ‘Blacks’ and ‘Browns’ display higher HRV relative to ‘Whites’, findings that are partly mediated by discrimination. The race-based differences we observed in the present study may reflect a compensatory response to the adverse
physiological effects of discrimination. They may also reflect other factors including gene-environment interactions and psychosocial issues on which further study is required. Future work in this area is necessary to further clarify the differences underpinning the observed race-related differences in HRV, as well as the mechanisms linked to race-related health disparities. Our findings therefore lay an important foundation for future work that seeks to better understand the relationship between race and HRV, and the differential pathways to CVD.
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Figure Captions

Fig 1: HF-HRV (±95% confidence interval) by group. ‘Blacks’ display significantly higher values than ‘Browns’ who display significantly higher values than ‘Whites’.
f(2,11986) = 58.66, p <= 0.001

p < 0.001, d = 0.31

p < 0.001, d = 0.20

p < 0.001, d = 0.14
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HF-HRV [ln(msec²)], ± 95% CI

Whites  |  Browns  |  Blacks

Race
Table: Unadjusted correlations (Pearson’s r or point biserial) between participant characteristics and HRV measures (HF-HRV, LF-HRV) for entire sample (N=11,989) to provide information about the size of the relationships.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics, mean (SD) or N (%)</th>
<th>HF-HRV</th>
<th>LF-HRV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic variables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.270</td>
<td>-0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high-school</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Inactivity</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination³</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS-R Score¹</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>-0.140</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard CHD²</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant Use</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>-0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart rate measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (BPM) ⁴</td>
<td>-0.476</td>
<td>-0.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF-HRV [ln(msec&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF-HRV [ln(msec&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)]</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 CIS-R: Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised. 2 Hard CHD: Hard coronary heart disease includes myocardial infarction and coronary revascularization. 3 Experience of major discrimination in any of the 5 major experiences on more than one occasion. This combined measure of discrimination is used in the mediation model. 4 BPM: beats per minute
Propensity Score Analysis on Heart Rate

In addition to the impact of race on resting state HF-HRV (reported in the manuscript), we examined impact on heart rate. Effective sample sizes were as follows: ‘Whites’, n=5,747; ‘Browns’, n=3,139; and ‘Blacks’, n=1,314. Significant effects were also observed for heart rate \( f(2,11986)=6.72, p=0.001 \) such that ‘Blacks’ displayed a slightly reduced HR \( \bar{M}=66.25, 95\% \text{ CI}: 65.77, 66.73 \) relative to ‘Whites’ \( p<0.001, \text{ Cohen’s } d = 0.11 \) \( (\bar{M}=67.25, 95\% \text{ CI}: 67.01, 67.49) \), as well as ‘Browns’ \( p=0.011, \text{ Cohen’s } d = 0.08 \) \( (\bar{M}=67.01, 95\% \text{ CI}: 66.68, 67.33) \). However, no difference between ‘Browns’ and ‘Whites’ was observed. Notably effect sizes are smaller than those that are reported in the manuscript for HF-HRV.

Mediation Analysis

Here we examined whether the relationship between race and heart rate is mediated by discrimination, to determine whether a similar mediating effect is obtained to that which we report in our manuscript. Results focusing on ‘Whites’ and ‘Blacks’ revealed significant total \( \bar{\tau} = -1.2135, 95\% \text{ CI}: -1.6577, -0.7471, p<0.01 \) and direct effects \( \bar{\zeta} = -1.1626, 95\% \text{ CI}: -1.1694, -1.6199, p<0.01 \), but no indirect effect \( \bar{\delta} = -0.0441, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.1012, 0.0109, p=0.10 \). Analysis on ‘Whites’ and ‘Browns’ also revealed significant total \( \bar{\tau} = -0.606393, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.854218, -0.380643, p<0.01 \) and direct effects \( \bar{\zeta} = -0.586562, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.825550, -0.356875, p<0.01 \), but again no indirect effect was observed \( \bar{\delta} = -0.019831, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.047160, 0.000594, p= 0.06 \). An analysis was also conducted on ‘Browns’ and ‘Blacks’; again results indicated significant total \( \bar{\tau} = -0.60155, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.84210, -0.39233, p<0.01 \) and direct effects \( \bar{\zeta} = -0.58348, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.81342, -0.36749, p<0.01 \), but no indirect effect \( \bar{\delta} = -0.01807, 95\% \text{ CI}: -0.06092, 0.01680, p= 0.29 \). Therefore, unlike the
findings reported in the manuscript for HF-HRV, discrimination did not mediate the observed relationship between race and heart rate.
# Multiple propensity score matching for ethnicity - ETNIA variable: branca, parda, preta
# code adapted from: Burgette et al., Propensity scores for multiple treatments: A tutorial for the mnps function in the twang package

```r
library(twang)
set.seed(1)
# reads in CSV datafile
mydata <- read.table('./N = 11989.csv', header=TRUE, sep=",")

# convert ETNIA to factor variable
mydata$ETNIA <- factor(mydata$ETNIA, labels=c('branca','parda','preta'))

# propensity score model of treatment assignment
mnps.mydata.ATE <- mnps(ETNIA ~ AGEPART + RCTA8 + lessthanhs + high_school + Smoker + nofisica + IMCA01 + A_ESCORETOTAL + A_HAS2 + A_DM + DISLIP + HARDCHD + anyAdep, data = mydata, estimand = "ATE", verbose = FALSE, stop.method = c("es.mean", "ks.mean"), n.trees = 20000)

# simple summary of balance
means.table(mnps.mydata.ATE, digits = 3)

# More extensive balance information
bal.table(mnps.mydata.ATE)

# Summary
summary(mnps.mydata.ATE)

# Analysis: Whites are set as controls

require(survey)
mydata$w <- get.weights(mnps.mydata.ATE, stop.method = "es.mean")
design.mnps <- svydesign(ids=~1, weights=~w, data=mydata)

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - heart rate with Whites as ref
glm1 <- svyglm(DERAP_VFCCL_BPMmed_deitado ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~DERAP_VFCCL_BPMmed_deitado, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps, vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - lnHFms2 with Whites as ref
glm1 <- svyglm(lnHFms2 ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~lnHFms2, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps, vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)

##################
# Analysis: Browns as controls
##################

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - heart rate with Browns as ref
design.mnps$variables$ETNIA <- relevel(design.mnps$variables$ETNIA, ref="parda")
glm1 <- svyglm(DERAP_VFCCL_BPMmed_deitado ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~DERAP_VFCCL_BPMmed_deitado, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps, vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - lnHFms2 with Browns as ref
glm1 <- svyglm(lnHFms2 ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~lnHFms2, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps, vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)
# Analysis: Blacks as controls

#########################

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - heart rate with Blacks as ref
design.mnps$variables$ETNIA <- relevel(design.mnps$variables$ETNIA, ref="preta")
glm1 <- svyglm(DERAP_VFCCL_BPmed_deitado ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~DERAP_VFCCL_BPmed_deitado, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps,
vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)

# perform the propensity score-adjusted regression - lnHFms2 with Blacks as ref
glm1 <- svyglm(lnHFms2 ~ as.factor(ETNIA), design = design.mnps)
summary(glm1)

# clean printout of svyglm
require(arm)
display(glm1, digits=2, detail=TRUE)

# substitute for anova - for df
regTermTest(glm1, ~ as.factor(ETNIA))

# means for groups
svyby(~lnHFms2, ~ ETNIA, svymean, design=design.mnps,
vartype=c("se","ci","var"), na.rm=TRUE)


#########################

# MEDIATION with multiple covariates

# code adapted from: Imai et al., Chapter 8: Causal Mediation Analysis Using R

library("mediation")

# reads in CSV datafile
mydata <- read.table("/…/N = 11989.csv", header=TRUE, sep="\","

# convert dis_3grp to factor variable
mydata$dis_3grp <- factor(mydata$dis_3grp)

# Fit the mediator and outcome models using polr() and lm() functions
# Note that the mediator here is fitted with an ordered probit model, polr(), as it is an ordered variable
`med.fit <- polr(dis_3grp ~ ETNIA + AGEPART + RCTA8 + lessthanhs + high_school + Smoker + nofisica + IMCA01 + A_ESCORETOTAL + A_HAS2 + A_DM + DISLIP + HARDCHD + anyAdep, data = mydata, method = "probit", Hess = TRUE)`

`out.fit <- lm(lnHFms2 ~ dis_3grp + ETNIA + AGEPART + RCTA8 + lessthanhs + high_school + Smoker + nofisica + IMCA01 + A_ESCORETOTAL + A_HAS2 + A_DM + DISLIP + HARDCHD + anyAdep, data = mydata)`

# Analysis will now take output objects from these models, med.fit and out.fit, and use them as inputs for the main function, mediate().
# This mediate() function estimates the causal mediation effects, direct effects, and total effect along with their uncertainty estimates.

# med.out refers to comparison between Blacks versus Whites
med.out <- mediate(med.fit, out.fit, control.value = 1, treat.value = 3, sims = 1000, boot = TRUE, treat = "ETNIA", mediator = "dis_3grp")

# med.out2 refers to comparison between Browns versus Whites
med.out2 <- mediate(med.fit, out.fit, control.value = 1, treat.value = 2, sims = 1000, boot = TRUE, treat = "ETNIA", mediator = "dis_3grp")
# med.out3 refers to comparison between Blacks versus Browns
med.out3 <- mediate(med.fit, out.fit, control.value = 2, treat.value = 3, sims = 1000, boot = TRUE, treat = "ETNIA", mediator = "dis_3grp")

# The summary() function prints out the results of the analysis in tabular form:

summary(med.out)
summary(med.out2)
summary(med.out3)

# Note that sensitivity analysis is not able to be conducted when the polr() is used