Role of the Occluded Conformation in Bacterial Dihydrofolate Reductases
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ABSTRACT: Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) from Escherichia coli (EcDHFR) adopts two major conformations, closed and occluded, and movement between these two conformations is important for progression through the catalytic cycle. DHFR from the cold-adapted organism Moritella profunda (MpDHFR) on the other hand is unable to form the two hydrogen bonds that stabilize the occluded conformation in EcDHFR and so remains in a closed conformation during catalysis. EcDHFR-S148P and MpDHFR-P150S were examined to explore the influence of the occluded conformation on catalysis by DHFR. Destabilization of the occluded conformation did not affect hydride transfer but altered the affinity for the oxidized form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP+) and changed the rate-determining step of the catalytic cycle for EcDHFR-S148P. Even in the absence of an occluded conformation, MpDHFR follows a kinetic pathway similar to that of EcDHFR with product release being the rate-limiting step in the steady state at pH 7, suggesting that MpDHFR uses a different strategy to modify its affinity for NADP+. DHFRs from many organisms lack a hydrogen bond donor in the appropriate position and hence most likely do not form an occluded conformation. The link between conformational cycling between closed and occluded forms and progression through the catalytic cycle is specific to EcDHFR and not a general characteristic of prokaryotic DHFR catalysis.

Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) catalyzes the reduction of 7,8-dihydrofolate (DHF) to 5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate (THF) in the presence of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH). DHFR is important for maintaining the intracellular pool of THF, a cofactor involved in many biological reactions that require transfer of a one-carbon unit, such as biosynthesis of thymidylate, purines, and some amino acids. Hence, DHFR is a major target for anticancer and antibacterial drugs.

During catalysis, DHFR from Escherichia coli (EcDHFR) cycles through five intermediates, E-NADPH-DHF, E-NADP+·THF, E-THF, and E-NADPH-THF, with product release from the mixed ternary complex E-NADPH-THF being the rate-limiting step at pH ≤ 7 under steady state conditions (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The M20 loop (residues 9–24) of EcDHFR switches between two conformations during the catalytic cycle (Figure 1A). In reactant complexes E-NADPH and E-NADPH-DHF, this loop adopts the “closed” conformation, packing against NADPH and preventing access of the solvent to the active site. This conformation is stabilized by hydrogen bonds between residues in the M20 loop and the FG loop (residues 116–132), namely, Gly15(O) to Asp122(HN) and Glu17(HN) to Asp122(Oε2) (Figure 1B). In the product binary and ternary complexes, on the other hand, the M20 loop switches to the “occluded” conformation (Figure 1C). The two hydrogen bonds between the M20 loop and FG loop that stabilize the closed conformation are disrupted, and the occluded conformation is instead stabilized by two hydrogen bonds between Asn23 (O and HN) in the M20 loop and Ser148 (HN and Oγ) in the GH loop (residues 142–149) (Figure 1C). The nicotinamide group of the cofactor is blocked from entering the active site by the M20 loop and protrudes into solution. It has been proposed that movement between the closed and occluded conformations is important for both modifying ligand affinity and aiding progression through the catalytic cycle.

Previous work on DHFR from Moritella profunda (MpDHFR), a cold-adapted bacterium isolated from deep-sea sediments, investigated the effect of temperature and pressure on the structure and thermodynamic stability as well as the steady state and pre-steady state kinetics of the enzyme. Although MpDHFR and EcDHFR have very similar crystal structures and the two enzymes have 55% identical sequences, Ser148 of EcDHFR is replaced with proline in MpDHFR, which therefore cannot form either of the hydrogen bonds that stabilize the occluded conformation. Indeed, the occluded conformation has not been observed in other bacterial DHFRs, including complexes of DHFRs from Lactobacillus casei (LcDHFR) and Bacillus anthracis (BaDHFR) that form the occluded conformation in EcDHFR. BaDHFR has a proline residue at position 148 (EcDHFR numbering), while in LcDHFR, Ser148 is replaced with alanine. Alanine can in principle form hydrogen bonds with the backbone carbonyls from the two flexible residues Gly15 and Asp122.
the backbone hydrogen bond with Asn23 but not the side chain hydrogen bond (Figure S2, Supporting Information), although EcDHFR-S148A is known to adopt the closed conformation in the product complex rather than an occluded conformation.14 Despite its inability to form the occluded conformation, LacDHFR is known to have a catalytic cycle very similar to that of EcDHFR.15 In addition to MpDHFR, BaDHFR, and LacDHFR, DHFRs from a number of other organisms have an alternative residue in place of Ser148 (Figure S3, Supporting Information). It is unlikely that these DHFRs are able to form the occluded conformation, and it is therefore of interest to investigate the importance of the occluded conformation in DHFR catalysis.

To this end, EcDHFR-S148P and MpDHFR-P150S were generated. Our results confirm that the occluded conformation assists the release of the oxidized cofactor NADP+ and progression through the catalytic cycle but suggest that alternative strategies are adopted by those bacterial DHFRs incapable of forming this conformation.

Figure 1. (A) Cartoon representation of EcDHFR (gray, PDB entry 1RX2)1 in the closed conformation. Important structural elements are colored green. Positions of the M20, FG, and GH loops are shown in the occluded conformation in EcDHFR (yellow, PDB entry 1RX6)2 and in the closed conformation in MpDHFR (wheat, PDB entry 3IA4).3 Ligands are shown as sticks; folate is colored cyan and NADP+ pink. (B) Alignment of the active site loops of EcDHFR (green, PDB entry 1DRE)2 and MpDHFR (wheat, PDB entry 3IA4)3 in complex with methotrexate (MTX) and NADPH showing residues forming hydrogen bonds that stabilize the closed and occluded conformations in EcDHFR, and corresponding residues in MpDHFR. Hydrogen bonds stabilizing the closed conformations are shown as dashed lines. (C) Alignment of the active site loops of EcDHFR in the closed conformation (green, PDB entry 1RX2)3 with ligands as described for panel A and in the occluded conformation (yellow, PDB entry 1RX6)2 with 5,10-dideazatetrahydrofolate (DDF) in pale pink and NADPH in yellow, showing residues forming hydrogen bonds that stabilize the closed and occluded conformations. Hydrogen bonds stabilizing the occluded conformation are shown as dashed yellow lines.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Chemicals.** NADP+ and NADPH were purchased from Melford. Folate was purchased from Sigma. Dihydrofolate was prepared by dithionite reduction of folate.16 Tetrahydrofolate was synthesized enzymatically from dihydrofolate using EcDHFR in the presence of alcohol dehydrogenase from *Thermoanaerobacter brockii*, 2-propanol, and NADP+ purified using a Dionex ICS3000 fast protein liquid chromatograph. 4-(R)-NADPD was prepared as described previously.18 All DHFRs were produced as reported previously16 and purified by anion exchange chromatography on Q-Sepharose resin followed by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column. The concentrations of NADPH/NADPD, NADP+, and MTX were determined spectrophotometrically using extinction coefficients of 6200 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹ at 339 nm,19 18700 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹ at 260 nm,20 and 22100 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹ at 302 nm,21 respectively. An extinction coefficient of 28000 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹ was used to determine the concentrations of DHF and THF at 282 and 297 nm, respectively.22,23

**Site-Directed Mutagenesis.** The Finnzymes Phusion site-directed mutagenesis kit and the following primers were used to generate MpDHFR-P150S and EcDHFR-S148P: MpDHFR-P150S, 5′-GCCGCGATGTATAAAACTTCGATAATTCG-3′; and EcDHFR-S148P, 5′-GCTGATGGCGAGAACCTTCACAGCTATTGC-3′. Replaced bases are underlined.

**Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy.** An Applied Photophysics Chirascan spectropolarimeter was used to measure spectra between 195 and 400 nm using 10 μM protein in 5 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM NaCl and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol. A 10-fold excess of ligands was used. An equimolar solution of both ligands (NADP+ and folate or NADPH and THF) was prepared and adjusted to pH 7.0 before addition to the DHFR; the pH was then checked before measurement of the spectrum. D2O (5%) was added to all the NMR samples before spectra were acquired. Spectra were

---

**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Experiments.** All NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 600 MHz spectrometer with a QCI-P cryoprobe at 20 °C in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM NaCl and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol. A 10-fold excess of ligands was used. An equimolar solution of both ligands (NADP+ and folate or NADPH and THF) was prepared and adjusted to pH 7.0 before addition to the DHFR; the pH was then checked before measurement of the spectrum. D2O (5%) was added to all the NMR samples before spectra were acquired. Spectra were
Steady State Kinetic Measurements. Turnover rates were measured spectrophotometrically on a JASCO V-660 spectrophotometer by following the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm during the reaction ($\varepsilon_{340} = 11800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Initial rates were determined under saturating conditions (100 $\mu$M NADPH and DHF) at pH 7 in 100 mM potassium phosphate containing 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol and at pH 9 and 9.5 in MTEN buffer (50 mM MES, 25 mM Tris, 25 mM ethanolamine, 100 mM NaCl, and 10 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol). Temperature dependence measurements were performed in phosphate buffer because of the weak temperature dependence of its pK$_{a}$ compared to that of organic amines. Product inhibition was assessed at pH 5 and 7 in MTEN buffer by measuring the initial rate with 100 nM enzyme, 100 $\mu$M NADPH, 100 $\mu$M DHF, and NADP$^+$ concentrations of 0.5 $\mu$M, 5 $\mu$M, 50 $\mu$M, 500 $\mu$M, 5 mM, and 50 mM. The change in the initial rate with NADP$^+$ concentration, normalized to the value without NADP$^+$, was fit to a sigmoidal curve using SigmaPlot 10. Michaelis constants were measured at pH 7 and 5 in MTEN buffer. To avoid hysteresis, the enzyme (20–50 nM) was preincubated at the desired temperature with NADPH (0.1–200 $\mu$M) for 1 min prior to the addition of DHF (0.1–100 $\mu$M). When the concentration of NADPH was varied, that of DHF was maintained at 100 $\mu$M and vice versa. Each data point is the result of three independent measurements. The change in the initial rate with substrate concentration was fit to the Michaelis–Menten equation using SigmaPlot 10.

Pre-Steady State Kinetic Measurements. Hydride transfer rate constants were measured under single-turnover conditions on an Applied Photophysics stopped-flow spectrophotometer. The enzyme (final concentration of 20 $\mu$M) was preincubated with NADPH (final concentration of 8 $\mu$M) for at least 5 min in 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0) containing 100 mM NaCl and 10 mM $\beta$-mercaptoethanol, and the reaction was started by rapidly mixing with DHF (final concentration of 200 $\mu$M) in the same buffer. The loss of fluorescence resonance energy transfer from the enzyme to NADPH during the reaction was observed by exciting the sample at 292 nm and measuring the emission using an output filter with a 400 nm cutoff.

Dissociation Rate Constants. The rate constants of the release of product from the different binary and ternary complexes were measured using the competition method described previously. The E-THF, E-NADP$^+$-THF, and E-NADPH-THF complexes were mixed with a large excess of MTX. The sample was excited at 292 nm, and the quenching of the fluorescence signal was monitored using a 305 nm cutoff filter.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structure and Thermal Stability. Circular dichroism spectroscopy (Figures S4 and S5, Supporting Information) was used to demonstrate that EcDHFR-S148P andMpDHFR-P150S form folded proteins. The CD spectra were similar, but not identical, to those of the wild-type enzymes, consistent with some secondary structural differences in the apoenzyme conformational ensembles. MpDHFR-P150S was found to melt at 55.4 ± 0.2 °C, which is similar to the melting temperature of wild-type EcDHFR (51.6 ± 0.7 °C) or wild-type MpDHFR in the presence of methotrexate (53.7 ± 0.6 °C) but considerably higher than that of MpDHFR (37.5 ± 0.8 °C). The melting point of EcDHFR-S148P was 59.6 ± 0.2 °C, which is higher than that of wild-type EcDHFR. This shows that while the S148P mutation does not decrease the thermal stability of EcDHFR, MpDHFR is more tolerant of high temperatures after Pro150 is replaced with serine.

NMR spectroscopy was employed to investigate conformational changes following the chemical step of catalysis by the four DHFRs (Figure 2). As observed previously, a large difference is observed between the 1H−15N HSQC spectra of EcDHFR-NADP⁺·folate and EcDHFR-NADP⁺·THF (Figure 2A). Differences are seen throughout the enzyme but are largest in the M20, FG, and GH loops, consistent with a change from the closed to the occluded conformation. In contrast, no substantial difference is observed between these two complexes for EcDHFR-S148P (Figure 2B), as seen previously for EcDHFR-S148A and EcDHFR-N23PP/S148A, neither of which is capable of forming an occluded conformation. Similarly, no large difference is observed between the 1H−15N HSQC spectra of MpDHFR-NADP⁺·folate and MpDHFR-NADP⁺·THF (Figure 2C). This is consistent with our previous report of the NMR assignment of the MpDHFR-NADP⁺·folate complex and comparison with other complexes. Although some small changes were observed for residues surrounding the folate binding site and the cofactor pyrophosphate binding site, no significant changes were observed in the nicotinamide binding pocket or for the residues of the M20 and FG loops. These observations are consistent with there being no significant conformational change between the Michaelis complex and the product complex in MpDHFR.

In MpDHFR-P150S, which should be capable of forming the two hydrogen bonds necessary to stabilize the occluded conformation, the DHFR-NADP⁺·THF complex gave lower-quality spectra compared to those of the DHFR-NADP⁺·folate complex, and clear differences between the HSQC spectra of the two complexes were observed (Figure 2D). Several residues show resonance doubling, with the same resonance present as in the DHFR-NADP⁺·folate complex plus a new resonance with a distinct chemical shift. As with the spectra for EcDHFR, the changes were seen throughout the protein but are most notable for G123 in the FG loop. The chemical shift perturbation for the additional resonance of G123V in MpDHFR-P150S is very similar to that of G121 in EcDHFR (Figure 2). We note that spectra of the product complex were acquired before those of the Michaelis complex mimic, and that both complexes were made up from a single preparation of the enzyme. In addition, one-dimensional 1H NMR spectroscopy indicated no significant degradation of THF during the acquisition of the HSQC spectrum; the sample maintained its initial catalytic activity after acquisition of the HSQC spectrum, and the large excess of ligands coupled with the relative affinities of the four enzymes for NADP⁺ and THF (vide infra) makes incomplete binding of either ligand unlikely. It is also unlikely that MpDHFR-P150S becomes more dynamic on ligand binding, as it has been shown that apo-EcDHFR and apo-MpDHFR give HSQC spectra consistent with the presence of multiple conformations, whereas both enzymes show well-resolved HSQCs consistent with a single major conformation in a range of ligand complexes. We are therefore confident that the lower quality of the spectra of the product complex indicates the presence of more than one species in solution rather than degradation, unfolding, or other loss of sample integrity. It is therefore likely that a proportion of MpDHFR-P150S is in an occluded-like conformation, in slow exchange with the closed conformation.

It has been shown that a number of bacterial DHFRs, including those with a proline residue at position 148 (EcDHFR numbering), give differences between the HSQC spectra of the DHFR-NADP⁺·folate and DHFR-NADP⁺·THF complexes greater than what would be expected simply from the replacement of folate. In one such case, DHFR from Staphylococcus aureus (SaDHFR), a threonine residue is found at position 148 (Figure S3, Supporting Information), which is in principle capable of stabilizing an occluded conformation. At the time of writing, the PDB contains no crystal structures of SaDHFR in a complex expected to adopt the occluded conformation. While such clear differences in the HSQC spectra of the Michaelis and product complexes of these DHFRs indicate that the hydride transfer step is accompanied by a conformational change, it is equally clear from our data that this change is not universally a transition between closed and occluded conformations.

Hydride Transfer. The pH dependence of the hydride transfer rate constant was measured under single-turnover conditions in MTEN buffer at 5 °C for MpDHFR and MpDHFR-P150S and at 25 °C for EcDHFR34 and EcDHFR-S148P (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The two mutants were found to have similar pH dependencies with pKₐ values around 6.5. The hydride transfer rate constants were similar to those of their wild-type counterparts (Supporting Information).

The kinetic isotope effect (KIE) on hydride transfer for MpDHFR-P150S and EcDHFR-S148P was found to depend on temperature in a fashion similar to that seen for MpDHFR and EcDHFR (Figure 3 and Supporting Information), indicating that altering either enzyme’s ability to form the occluded conformation does not affect hydride transfer. Because both EcDHFR-S148A and MpDHFR can form the closed conformation, it suggests that all DHFRs studied here are able to form the closed conformation, from which hydride transfer occurs, and further demonstrates that conformational changes between the closed and occluded conformations do not affect the actual chemistry of the reaction.

Steady State Kinetics. The pH dependence of the steady state rate constant kₖₐᵣₜ for the MpDHFR-catalyzed reaction is bell-shaped with a maximum at pH ∼7 (Figure 4), while that of EcDHFR is sigmoidal, as reported previously, with a maximum at low pH (Figure 4). The decrease in kₖᵣₜ for MpDHFR at low pH has been shown previously not to be due to protonation of His24 (the only ionic residue close to the active site) or enzyme inactivation.

Interestingly, the pH dependence of kₖᵣₜ for MpDHFR-P150S was found to be sigmoidal like that of EcDHFR, with a pH-independent kₖᵣₜ of 14.5 ± 0.3 s⁻¹, very similar to the kₖᵣₜ for wild-type MpDHFR at pH 7 and 20 °C (15.6 ± 0.2 s⁻¹) (Figure 4 and Supporting Information). On the other hand, a 5-fold decrease in
the value of $k_{cat}$ was observed for EcDHFR-S148P (2.1 ± 0.2 s$^{-1}$) compared to that of wild-type EcDHFR (10.6 ± 1.5 s$^{-1}$) at pH 7, $k_{cat}$ is reduced by 50% at lower pH$^9$, similar to the case for MpDHFR. In the case of the Glu-Lys-Asn insertion, the decrease in $k_{cat}$ at low pH was demonstrated to be due to impaired dissociation of THF from the E-NADPH-THF complex, which is the rate-limiting step in EcDHFR under steady state conditions. However, this is not the case here, as the rate constant for dissociation of THF from MpDHFR-NADPH-THF is not affected by pH (vide infra).

Product Inhibition by NADP$^\circ$. The occluded conformation has been suggested to be important for avoiding product inhibition in EcDHFR. It has been shown previously that EcDHFR-N23PP/S148A and human DHFR, neither of which can form the occluded conformation, are more susceptible to product inhibition than wild-type EcDHFR. This was indeed observed for EcDHFR-S148P, which gave an IC$_{50}$ 22-fold lower than that observed for EcDHFR itself at pH 7 under our experimental conditions (Table 2 and Figure S7, Supporting Information). Similarly, MpDHFR is considerably more susceptible to product inhibition than EcDHFR, although less so than EcDHFR-S148P. MpDHFR-P150S is slightly less susceptible to product inhibition than wild-type MpDHFR, but more so than wild-type EcDHFR. This is consistent with its apparent ability to form more than one conformation in the product complex (vide supra), probably including an occluded-like conformation. Interestingly, the IC$_{50}$ values for the four enzymes were all similar at pH 5, suggesting that the occluded conformation exerts no influence on NADP$^\circ$ affinity under acidic conditions.

Dissociation Rate Constants for THF. The dissociation rate constants ($k_{off}$) for THF from different complexes (Table 3) were measured at pH 5−7 and 25 °C for MpDHFR and pH 6 and 25 °C for the two variants, to explore the rate-limiting step. Dissociation of THF from the MpDHFR-NADPH-THF mixed ternary complex is essentially unaffected by pH over the range measured ($k_{off}$ values of 19.2 ± 2.3 at pH 7, 19.1 ± 2.0 at pH 6, and 15.7 ± 1.0 at pH 5). While the rate constant for this step is similar to the $k_{cat}$ at pH 7, at higher pH hydride transfer becomes rate-limiting and an alternative step must become rate-limiting at low pH. This cannot be a different product release step, as dissociation of THF from the MpDHFR-THF and MpDHFR-NADP$^\circ$-THF complexes is slower than $k_{cat}$ and so must presumably be the release of the oxidized cofactor or the subsequent rebinding of the reduced cofactor. The greater affinity of MpDHFR for DHF at low pH (at least as indicated by

Information). Similarly, MpDHFR is considerably more susceptible to product inhibition than EcDHFR, although less so than EcDHFR-S148P. MpDHFR-P150S is slightly less susceptible to product inhibition than wild-type MpDHFR, but more so than wild-type EcDHFR. This is consistent with its apparent ability to form more than one conformation in the product complex (vide supra), probably including an occluded-like conformation. Interestingly, the IC$_{50}$ values for the four enzymes were all similar at pH 5, suggesting that the occluded conformation exerts no influence on NADP$^\circ$ affinity under acidic conditions.

Table 2. IC$_{50}$ Values (micromolar) for NADPH with EcDHFR, EcDHFR-S148P, MpDHFR, and MpDHFR-P150S at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>enzyme</th>
<th>pH 5</th>
<th>pH 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EcDHFR$^a$</td>
<td>159 ± 8</td>
<td>820 ± 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcDHFR-S148P</td>
<td>189 ± 9</td>
<td>40 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MpDHFR</td>
<td>317 ± 50</td>
<td>170 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MpDHFR-P150S</td>
<td>263 ± 29</td>
<td>321 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Taken from ref 3. $^b$Taken from ref 9. $^c$At pH 5.5. $^d$Not determined.

Table 1. $K_M$ Values for NADPH and DHF with EcDHFR, EcDHFR-S148P, MpDHFR, and MpDHFR-P150S at 20 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>enzyme</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$K_M$ NADPH (μM)</th>
<th>$K_M$ DHF (μM)</th>
<th>$K_M$ THF (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EcDHFR$^a$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcDHFR-S148P</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MpDHFR$^b$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1$^c$</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MpDHFR-P150S</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>15.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Taken from ref 3. $^b$Taken from ref 9. $^c$At pH 5.5. $^d$Not determined.
the KM values) suggests, but cannot confirm, that DHF rebinding is not rate-limiting. The substantial kinetic isotope effect on hydride transfer measured by stopped-flow techniques also supports this. An alternative possibility is that the catalytic cycle in MpDHFR becomes branched at low pH, with two distinct pathways contributing to the cycle, each with its own rate-determining step. Branching of the catalytic cycle has been suggested for other DHFRs, through the catalytic cycle of EcDHFR but not that of MpDHFR.

EcDHFR-S148P is unable to form the occluded conformation and appears to bind NADP+ more tightly than EcDHFR (likely slowing its release) without a significant change in its kcat for THF. This leads to a change in the rate-limiting step to the release of THF from the E-NADP+-THF product ternary complex rather than from the E-NADP+-THF mixed ternary complex as is seen in EcDHFR. The rate constant for hydride transfer (kH) and the Michaelis constants (KM) remain essentially unaffected. Recent work in our group has shown that the chemistry in EcDHFR and MpDHFR is similar and that conformational fluctuations in EcDHFR do not drive the chemical step of the reaction.

Role of the Occluded Conformation. EcDHFR has often been used as a paradigmatic model system to study the role of protein motions for catalysis. Its catalytic cycle proceeds through a series of ordered steps with the release of THF from the E-NADP+-THF mixed ternary complex being rate-determining. Moreover, EcDHFR was shown to oscillate between closed and occluded conformations, and this has been proposed to aid progression through the catalytic cycle. In EcDHFR, the closed to occluded conformational change must occur after the hydride transfer step, while it has been shown that the occluded to closed conformational change likely precedes the release of product from the mixed ternary complex. This would indeed place a strong control on progression through the catalytic cycle and prevent product inhibition by reducing the affinity for NADP+ relative to NADPH.

Previous work investigating the role of the occluded conformation has especially focused on the effect of changes in the M20 loop. It has been shown that insertion of additional residues toward the C-terminal end of the M20 loop in vertebrate DHFRs compared to EcDHFR can account for the inability of vertebrate DHFRs to form the occluded conformation. However, in MpDHFR, this region is highly similar to that of EcDHFR. Both have the same number of residues, and the differences, N23H and D27E substitutions (EcDHFR numbering), have been shown not to affect catalysis strongly. Instead, conformational differences between bacterial DHFRs are likely to arise because of changes at position 148 (EcDHFR numbering). Differences earlier in the M20 loop, M16L and A19K substitutions, affect kcat in EcDHFR but not MpDHFR and do not affect kH in either (DOI: 10.1021/bi500508z). As kcat reports on the release of THF from the DHFR-NADP+-THF mixed ternary complex and the corresponding occluded-to-closed conformational change in EcDHFR, this provides further evidence of the involvement of M20 loop motions in progression through the catalytic cycle of EcDHFR but not that of MpDHFR.

EcDHFR-S148P is unable to form the occluded conformation and appears to bind NADP+ more tightly than EcDHFR (likely slowing its release) without a significant change in its kcat for THF. This leads to a change in the rate-limiting step to the release of THF from the E-NADP+-THF product ternary complex rather than from the E-NADP+-THF mixed ternary complex as is seen in EcDHFR. The rate constant for hydride transfer (kH) and the Michaelis constants (KM) remain essentially unaffected. Recent work in our group has shown that the chemistry in EcDHFR and MpDHFR is similar and that conformational fluctuations in EcDHFR do not drive the chemical step of the reaction.

### Table 3. Dissociation Rate Constants (koff) for THF from the Various DHFRs, Measured Using the Competition Method with Methotrexate as the Trapping Ligand, at 25 °C in MTEN Buffer (pH 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>complex</th>
<th>EcDHFR</th>
<th>MpDHFR</th>
<th>EcDHFR-S148P</th>
<th>MpDHFR-P150S</th>
<th>LcDHFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-THF</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-NADPH-THF</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>19.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>18.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>40.0 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-NADP+-THF</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>koff (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>15.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>31.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See ref 3. †See ref 26 (pH 6.5). ‡Maximal value (MpDHFR and LcDHFR) or pH-independent value (all others).
MpDHFR for NADP⁺ is greater than that of EcDHFR, it is not as great as for EcDHFR-S148P. This suggests that MpDHFR has additional features that reduce its affinity for NADP⁺. A number of residues in the NADP(H) binding site are different between the two enzymes, which may influence the relative affinities of NADP⁺ and NADPH. In addition, although two of the five steps of the EcDHFR catalytic cycle are accompanied by transitions between the closed and occluded conformations, the other three are not.¹ In these cases, progression through the catalytic cycle is driven by local changes to residue dynamics that promote ligand binding or release. It is possible that such local dynamics are sufficient to control progression through all steps of the catalytic cycle in MpDHFR.

An alternative possibility is that M. profunda has a lower intracellular NADP⁺ concentration, as seen in vertebrates. NADP⁺ represents only 1% of the NADPH concentration in eukaryotic cells, but the two concentrations are equal in bacteria such as E. coli; it has been suggested that the fidelity of the order of steps in the catalytic cycle acts to prevent product inhibition in EcDHFR.² A lower NADP⁺ concentration in M. profunda cells would similarly eliminate the need for MpDHFR to adopt strategies to avoid inhibition by this compound.

### CONCLUSIONS

Here the role of the occluded conformation in DHFR catalysis was investigated by a combination of site-directed mutagenesis, steady state and pre-steady state kinetics, kinetic and equilibrium binding measurements, and NMR spectroscopy. The ability to adopt the occluded conformation does not affect hydride transfer proper. However, it is central to EcDHFR catalysis, because it controls this enzyme’s affinity for NADP⁺ and guides the enzyme through the steps of the catalytic cycle in a strictly ordered manner. Removal of the ability to form the occluded conformation has a great impact on EcDHFR, resulting in a change in the rate-limiting step and a decrease in the value of kcat. In contrast, MpDHFR seems to follow a catalytic cycle similar to that of EcDHFR, but it functions efficiently without the involvement of an occluded conformation. Because many DHFRs lack a hydrogen bond donor in the position corresponding to Ser148 in EcDHFR, and because the occluded conformation has been observed only in EcDHFR, the occluded conformation is not a universal feature of bacterial DHFRs and the E. coli enzyme may therefore not always be the best choice for the study of general aspects of catalysis by dihydrofolate reductase.
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