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**ABSTRACT:** The channel width-to-length ratio is an important transistor parameter for integrated circuit design. Contact diffusion into the channel during fabrication or operation alters the channel width and this important parameter. A novel methodology combining atomic force microscopy and scanning Kelvin probe microscopy (SKPM) with self-consistent modeling is developed for the nondestructive detection of contact diffusion on active devices. Scans of the surface potential are modeled using physically based Technology Computer Aided Design (TCAD) simulations when the transistor terminals are grounded and under biased conditions. The simulations also incorporate the tip geometry to investigate its effect on the measurements due to electrostatic tip–sample interactions. The method is particularly useful for semiconductor– and metal–semiconductor interfaces where the potential contrast resulting from dopant diffusion is below that usually detectable with scanning probe microscopy.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) is a commonly used method for nondestructive mapping of sample topography and is also an essential part of the commonly used double-pass scanning Kelvin probe microscopy (SKPM) method that allows measurement of the sample surface potential. Using the known tip work function, a 2D map of the sample surface work function can then be reconstructed. Measurements of the surface potential on operating transistors are complicated by interaction with the bias and measurement artifacts due to the presence of the tip. This characterization technique is applied here to investigate \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) thin-film transistors (TFTs).

SKPM is a well-established technique to study device interfaces. Diffusion at the interface gives rise to nonabrupt potential distributions which can be used to assess the effect. Several authors have studied similar dopant diffusion problems with unbiased devices and structures, where potential offsets in the region of 100s of mV are detectable. Here we focus on the detection of diffusion at a device interface which gives rise to low potential changes, which are difficult to detect with SKPM on unbiased samples.

\( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) is a wide bandgap material with a cubic crystal structure. The thin-film form has high transparency in the visible range (>90%), high electrical conductivity, and large-area uniformity. \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) is popular for potential device applications including liquid crystal displays, solar cells, and resistive memories. \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) TFTs have also been proposed for high-resolution displays where it is preferable to have a large on-current to drive pixels and a low off-current for low power consumption. \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) TFTs on SiO\(_2\)/Si substrates and Al electrodes are typically bottom gate, normally on n-channel transistors with a carrier mobility in the range from 0.4 to 23 \( \text{cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s} \) and on–off ratio of \( \sim10^3 \sim10^6 \). Al contacts are commonly used due to the electrical properties and cost, which give reliable, time-stable contacts. One of the major drawbacks in using Al contacts is the formation of the native oxide and Al diffusion into the semiconductor material which can affect the contact reliability.

In this paper we first present a method for using SKPM and AFM to determine diffusion regions in \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) TFTs, which give rise to potential shifts close to the detection threshold for standard AFM and SKPM. By applying a bias to the device, the unequal distribution of the applied potential between the
differently doped regions accentuates the difference, making the potential change easily detectable. We then explore the effects of gate bias and the interaction of the probe on this method. We investigate these effects and propose the most accurate method to nondestructively determine contact diffusion. We verify our results using 2D drift-diffusion simulations in Silvaco Atlas. The diffusion alters the length of the channel, a crucial design parameter in transistor design, and is the cause of the higher output current observed in devices with a large contact diffusion area.

2. METHODS

2.1. Transistor Fabrication. A schematic of the bottom-gate In$_2$O$_3$ TFT operating under gate bias $V_{GS} > 0$ and drain bias $V_{DS} > 0$ is shown in Figure 1. The device has an aluminum source and drain electrodes, a 100 nm thick SiO$_2$ dielectric layer, an In$_2$O$_3$ semiconducting channel layer, and a heavily doped n-type Si substrate layer, which serves as a gate electrode. The excessive indium atoms or oxygen vacancies serve as donors and make In$_2$O$_3$ an n-type semiconductor. When positive drain voltages are applied, negative charge from the source electrode is injected, and an n-type conducting channel will be formed at the interface of the In$_2$O$_3$ layer with the SiO$_2$ gate dielectric, as shown in Figure 1(b).

Two In$_2$O$_3$ TFTs were selected for direct comparison. Figure 2 shows the $I$−$V$ characteristics of a single-spin TFT, for which a 4 nm In$_2$O$_3$ film results from a single spin-casting procedure. The channel length $L$ is 30 μm, and $W$ is 1000 μm. Figure 3 shows the corresponding $I$−$V$ characteristics for a film of thickness of 7−8 nm prepared by consecutive spin-casting of two layers of In$_2$O$_3$. For this double-spin device, $L \approx 40 \mu m$ and $W \approx 200 \mu m$. The threshold characteristics of the double-spin device shown in Figure 3(b) one could estimate a threshold voltage value of 0.0 V, while the single-spin device threshold voltage could be estimated at 2.5 V (see Figure 2(b)).
software is used to visualize the 2D measured AFM and SKPM profiles.34

2.3. Simulation Setup. The device structure shown in Figure 1(b) was modeled within Silvaco Atlas35 which has been used by us to model coupled device-probe measurements under device operation.36

In simulations, gate lengths of 25.62 μm (single spin) and 36.5 μm (double spin) are used with 70 μm Al source and drain electrodes, 4 nm (single spin) and 10 nm (double spin) n-type In2O3-conducting channel layer with a doping concentration of 8 × 1017 cm−3, 100 nm SiO2 insulator layer, and 300 nm n-type Si substrate layer with a doping concentration of 1019 cm−3 followed by a bottom Al gate. To simulate the contact diffusion regions around the drain and source electrodes, we use an n-type doping concentration of 2 × 1018 cm−3. Other material parameters used in the simulations are In2O3 permittivity of 8.9,36 bandgap of 4.0 eV,11,12,20,28 electron affinity of 4.45 eV,11,12,20,28 field-effect mobility of 0.27 cm2/V s, electron effective mass of 0.3m0,37, and tip work function of 4.6 eV. The Schottky barrier height of 0.7 eV is extracted from the measured profiles for the source and drain electrodes. The simulated devices have threshold voltages of −0.3 V for the double-spin transistor and 1.8 V for the single-spin transistor which is in good agreement with the measurements.

The structural quality of the interface between the In2O3 channel and dielectric material must be high to reduce scattering at the interface.35 Charge-trapping effects in the channel layer have been reported to result in a decrease in the drain current when the drain bias is further increased.38 In the present work, no current degradation is observed, and hence no charge-trapping effects are included in the simulation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Single-Spin Device. Figure 4(a) shows that the surface potential map at VGS = VDS = 0 V is flat and uniform through the channel with the averaged line scan shown beneath in Figure 4(b) where source and drain electrode edges can be seen at around 8 and 33 μm, respectively.

In Figure 4(b), the potential distribution through the In2O3 channel at VGS = 5 V flattens in the region from 28 μm up until the previously determined edge of the drain electrode at 33 μm, in both the simulations and the experiment. Potential flattening suggests that this area is well-connected to the drain electrode by a low resistance path, consistent with a higher doping concentration after Al diffusion into the In2O3 channel. Simulations suggest that the doping concentration in the diffusion region increased from 2 × 1017 cm−3 to 8 × 1018 cm−3. The edge of the diffusion region was estimated at 28.0 μm where the gradient of the surface lateral electric field (Figure 4(c)), calculated as a second derivative of the measured surface potential across the Al diode when TFT terminals are grounded (VGS = 0 V and VDS = 5 V when VGS = 0 V). (c) Calculated second derivative of the measured surface potential at VDS = 0 V (dashed line) and VDS = 5 V (solid line) represents a measured change in the lateral electric field and allows determining exactly a diffusion region edge. (d) Measured electrostatic contribution to the apparent morphology and sample topography for a biased transistor corresponding to the potential profiles in (a).

the practical sensitivity of SKPM measurements on such samples.37 Hence the diffusion edge cannot be detected in Figure 4(b) for the VDS = 0 V profile due to the tip cone and cantilever averaging effect limiting measurement sensitivity.37 The clear visibility of the diffusion region arises from its high Al dopant concentration in the region close to the drain electrode, which would otherwise be depleted in the saturation regime of the TFT. This leads to a sharp gradient change in the potential profile at the boundary between the depleted channel and the Al-doped diffusion region.

The experiment and simulation show very good agreement, with the difference in potential around the edges of electrodes and diffusion within the error expected due to the cantilever contributions which average a contact potential in the vicinity of the tip apex during the experiment33,38 (as the cantilever is not included in this simulation).

In light of the SKPM investigation, we found that AFM measurements along with a biased drain electrode can be used to identify the contact diffusion. When scanning above the uniformly charged diffusion region at VDS = 5 V, the AFM tip will keep a constant height from 28 μm up until the edge of the drain electrode at about 33 μm. This apparent increase in height across the Al diffusion region and the electrode arises
from the feedback loop compensating for the longer-range electrostatic interaction with the tip. The Al-diffusion region detected with this standard AFM topography scan with a biased drain electrode in Figure 4(d) corresponds well with the diffusion region detected with the SKPM results in Figure 4(b). The results obtained for a range of drain biases for $V_{DS} > 2$ V are shown in Figure S1 in the Supporting Information.

Due to the contact diffusion in single-spin TFTs the effective channel length $L_{eff}$ was measured to be from 11% to 21% shorter than the measured source to drain distance $L$ (see Table S1 in Supporting Information). The single-spin TFT with higher diffusion showed eight times higher output current due to the shorter $L_{eff}$ and hence the effects of channel length reduction on the current.

3.2. Double-Spin Device. The measured and simulated surface potentials and tip height data for the double-spin device are presented in Figure 5. The measured SKPM profiles ($V_{DS} = 3.5$ μm) can easily be detected using SKPM, narrower than the single-spin device diffusion width of 5.3 μm.

During AFM scans of the double-spin $In_2O_3$ TFT presented in Figure 5(b), the electrostatic contribution to the apparent morphology was also measured when a drain bias of $V_{DS} = 8$ V and gate bias of $V_{GS} = 0$ V are applied. The sample topography obtained when the tip and transistor terminals are grounded is demonstrated in Figure 5(b) for a direct comparison and indicates that the channel layer is flat and free of voids and cracks. Both topography and electrostatic contribution to the apparent morphology profiles confirm the position of the source and drain electrodes and are in good agreement with the source and drain edges found from the SKPM profiles in Figure 5(a).

The position of the diffusion region at 37.7 μm can be confirmed from the AFM data using profiles of the electrostatic contribution to the apparent morphology in Figure 5(b) and corresponding to the 2D map in Figure 5(c).

Due to the contact diffusion in the double-spin TFTs, the effective channel length $L_{eff}$ was measured to be from 10% to 32% shorter than the measured source to drain distance $L$ (see Table S1 in Supporting Information) and resulted in four times higher output current in the device with higher diffusion.

3.3. Effect of the Applied Gate Bias. The results presented so far to determine the extent of the contact diffusion do so without any applied gate bias. Here we explore the effect of using this method with a gate bias applied. In Figure 6 measured and simulated potential profiles are shown for the single-spin device at $V_{DS} = 3$ V and at the various gate biases: $V_{GS} = 0$ V and $V_{GS} = 5$ V. It has been suggested in the literature that a lower surface potential through the channel for organic field-effect transistors indicates a lower mobility in the device. Applying a gate bias introduces more carriers into the channel, thus increasing the conductivity of the $In_2O_3$ channel.

Figure 6 shows that simulated surface potential profiles are consistent with the measurement data for the single-spin device: the potential profile along the channel becomes more linear with increasing applied gate bias. For $V_{GS} = 5$ V, the channel is conducting throughout its length, and hence the effect of the additional Al doping in the diffused region close to the drain electrode is reduced, leading to a smaller change of the surface potential gradient at the edge of the diffusion region. Precise detection of the diffusion region edge with SKPM is easiest when the channel is depleted, i.e., when no gate bias is applied on the TFT.

3.4. Effect of the Electrostatic Interaction of the Scanning Probe with the Sample Surface. Here, we explore the effect of the scanning probe on the measurement of the diffusion region. Simulations of EFM and SKPM surface
potential profiles with a full tip and cantilever structure have been demonstrated in the literature, where the tip-induced band bending was considered to be negligible. When investigating semiconductor surfaces, the electrostatic force between the tip and sample is nullified by setting the contact potential difference \( V_{\text{CPD}} \) to \( V_{\text{CPD}} = V_{\text{DC}} \), and the tip-induced band bending at the sample surface is considered to be zero. This approach to nullify the electrostatic force between the tip and the sample was shown to be valid for metal–metal infinite plates. However, this nulling is not always valid for a metal–semiconductor material system because the charge distribution within the semiconductor and within the n-type silicon tip will depend on the tip–sample separation.

In this work a large change in the potential profiles for the higher drain biases \( (V_{\text{DS}} > 3 \text{ V}) \) was observed due to the electrostatic interaction of the tip apex with the sample surface (Figure 7). The potential drops between the drain electrode, diffusion region, and channel layer are additionally increased due to the presence of the scanning tip apex. However, when the tip bias is adjusted in the simulation to match the surface potential directly beneath the tip, as happens in experimental SKPM measurements, the effect of the tip interaction on the potential profile is minimal. More detail on the simulated probe–sample system is available in the Supporting Information.

4. CONCLUSION
Differential potential profile maps measured using SKPM and apparent morphology scans using AFM were used to measure contact diffusion into the channel of \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) TFTs. We show that using conventional AFM or SKPM on an unbiased sample will not reveal this structure adequately. We show that a drain bias must be applied for the diffusion region to be clearly detected as a sharp change in the potential profile gradient at the boundary between the depleted channel and the unintentionally doped region where contact diffusion occurs. Increasing the gate bias reduces the sensitivity of the method due to the accumulated charge along the length of the channel. Simulations of the electrostatic probe interaction indicate that the biased tip does not have a significant effect. We match all of our findings to simulations with very good agreement.

The methodology is applied to detect Al contact diffusion in \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) thin-film transistors, revealing a diffusion region as small as 3.5 \( \mu \text{m} \), with the effective channel length decreasing by up to 32%. Al diffusion is also found to act as an additional n-type dopant, with an increase in the n-type doping concentration of the \( \text{In}_2\text{O}_3 \) layer from \( 2 \times 10^{18} \) to \( 8 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

This approach can be applied generally to all semiconductor–semiconductor or semiconductor–metal interfaces, where interface diffusion is thought to be present but is below the detection threshold of standard AFM or SKPM.
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