



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: Nature Ecology & Evolution	
Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa34515	
Paper: Pimiento, C., Griffin, J., Clements, C., Silvestro, D., Varela, S., Uhen, M. & Jaramillo, C. (2017). The Pliocen megafauna extinction and its impact on functional diversity. <i>Nature Ecology & Evolution</i> http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0223-6	ie marine

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/iss/researchsupport/cronfa-support/

- 1 The Pliocene marine megafauna extinction and its impact on functional diversity
- 2 Catalina Pimiento^{a,b,c,d,*}, John N. Griffin^d, Christopher F. Clements^e, Daniele Silvestro^{f,g}, Sara
- 3 Varela^c, Mark D. Uhen^h & Carlos Jaramillo^b
- ^a Paleontological Institute and Museum, University of Zurich, CH-8006, Switzerland.
- 5 b Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Box 2072, Balboa, Panama.
- 6 ^c Museum für Naturkunde. Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science.
- 7 Invalidenstrasse 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany.
- 8 d Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Wallace Building, Singleton Park, Swansea,
- 9 SA2 8PP, UK.
- 10 ^e Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Zurich,
- 11 CH-8057, Switzerland.
- 12 f Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg and
- 13 Gothenburg Global Biodiversity Centre, Göteborg, Sweden
- 14 g Department of Computational Biology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- 15 ^h George Mason University, Department of Atmospheric, Oceanic, and Earth Sciences, MSN
- 16 6E2, Fairfax, VA 22030, U.S.A.
- 17 *Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to:
- 18 catalina.pimientohernandez@pim.uzh.ch.

The end of the Pliocene marked the beginning of a period of great climatic variability and sea level oscillations. Here, based on a new analysis of the fossil record, we identify a previously unrecognized extinction event among marine megafauna (mammals, seabirds, turtles and sharks) during this time, with extinction rates three times higher than in the rest of the Cenozoic, and with 36% of Pliocene genera failing to survive into the Pleistocene. To gauge the potential consequences of this event for ecosystem functioning, we evaluate its impacts on functional diversity, focusing on the 86% of the megafauna genera that are associated with coastal habitats. Seven (14%) coastal functional entities (unique trait combinations) disappeared, along with 17% of functional richness (volume of the functional space). Origination of new genera during the Pleistocene created new functional entities and contributed to a functional shift of 21%, but minimally compensated for the functional space lost. Reconstructions show that from the late Pliocene onwards, global area of the neritic zone significantly diminished and exhibited amplified fluctuations. We hypothesize that the abrupt loss of productive coastal habitats, potentially acting alongside oceanographic alterations, was a key extinction driver. The importance of area loss is supported by model analyses showing that animals with high energy requirements (i.e. homeotherms) were more susceptible to extinction. The extinction event we uncover here demonstrates that marine megafauna were more vulnerable to global environmental changes in the recent geological past than previously thought.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

37 In the Anthropocene, rapid environmental change and resultant loss of habitat pose a major threat to marine fauna¹⁻². Throughout geological time, habitat loss caused by sea level changes 38 has been widely associated with extinction events³. After the last mass extinction at the 39 40 Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) boundary and throughout the last 66 million years, the largest 41 global sea level changes occurred mainly during the Pliocene and Pleistocene Epochs (herein, the 42 Plio-Pleistocene; from 5.33 to 0.01 Ma), with multiple large eustatic oscillations that were amplified after the onset of the Northern Hemisphere Glaciation in the late Pliocene⁴⁻⁷. 43 44 Although global cooling and sea level fluctuations in the Plio-Pleistocene have been proposed to be responsible for the regional extinction of marine invertebrates⁸, it has been assumed that 45 global marine biodiversity was generally resistant to these environmental changes^{3,9}. Individual 46 47 examples of faunal turnover and extinctions of large marine vertebrates (collectively known as 48 'marine megafauna', which includes, but is not limited to marine mammals, seabirds, turtles, sharks and rays¹⁰⁻¹¹) have been observed around this period. These include a substantial drop in 49 cetacean¹²⁻¹⁴ (but see¹⁵) and penguin diversity¹⁶⁻¹⁷, the extinction of dugongids in the Western 50 Atlantic and Mediterranean regions 18-20, the loss of the largest shark that ever lived 51 (Carcharocles megalodon)²¹⁻²², as well as extinctions of sea turtles (e.g. Psephophorus, a 52 53 leatherback turtle)²³. But it remains unclear whether these megafauna losses were simply 54 conspicuous background extinctions, or formed part of a global marine extinction event resulting from the environmental changes of the Plio-Pleistocene^{8,24}. Evaluating the extent and 55 56 consequences of the marine megafauna extinctions is relevant because these organisms play fundamental roles in ecosystems²⁵⁻²⁷ and because modern megafaunal assemblages were 57 established during the Pleistocene (e.g. ²⁸; Supplementary Fig. 1). 58

Historically, studies of marine extinctions have focused almost exclusively on taxonomic loss (e.g. species, genera, family; but see²⁹). While this taxonomic perspective quantifies the loss of diversity sensu stricto (e.g. 30), it ignores the ecological contributions of these species to ecosystems. Linking taxonomic identity with ecological roles can be used to assess the selectivity of extinctions^{24,31-35}, evaluate shifts in the structure of communities after an extinction event³², and gauge the potential implications for ecosystem functioning³⁶. This 'functional diversity' approach (reviewed by³²) consists of quantifying the distribution of species in a multidimensional functional space defined by species' traits (i.e. species' intrinsic characteristics that directly influence their ecological role³²). The few studies that have used this or similar approaches have focused specifically on the ecological consequences of the extinction of invertebrates^{24,33-35} (but see³⁷). These organisms have important ecological roles, but are usually small-sized, occupy low trophic levels, and tend to be highly speciose. Conversely, marine vertebrates include the largest organisms on Earth, occupy a variety of trophic roles, are relatively species-poor, and are accordingly less likely to be ecologically redundant³⁸. Moreover, they are often wide-ranging, and are known to structure modern food webs from the top down²⁵. The goal of linking extinctions of large animals with consequences for ecosystem functioning is particularly relevant today, as large-bodied marine species are the most vulnerable to current human impacts². Here we evaluate the severity of the extinction of marine megafauna during the Pliocene, and examine the potential causes and consequences of this event. To do so, we first assess if the Pliocene extinction rates were higher than those of the rest of the Cenozoic, and examine the proportional loss of genera from the Pliocene to the Pleistocene. Then we quantify the

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

differences in functional diversity between the Pliocene and Pleistocene coastal megafaunal assemblages, to assess the potential effects of extinctions on ecosystem functioning. Finally, we evaluate the possible drivers of extinction by estimating the habitat loss due to eustatic variations, and by modelling traits as predictors of extinction. The results of this research provide a broader understanding of the state and vulnerability of the marine megafauna in the recent geological past, and forewarn of the likely sensitivity of megafaunal biodiversity as anthropogenic climate change accelerates and brings massive perturbations to coastal ecosystems³⁹⁻⁴¹.

Results and Discussion

The extinction event. We estimated the expected number of extinction events per genus/Myr during the Cenozoic, while accounting for preservation biases and dating uncertainties using a Bayesian framework⁴² (Supplementary Tables 1-3; Supplementary Fig. 2). Marine megafauna present significantly elevated extinction rates in the Pliocene (Fig 1a), with a 3-fold increase relative to the rest of the Cenozoic, and with the highest rates occurring in the late Pliocene, specifically between 3.8 and 2.4 Ma (Supplementary Fig. 3). Per-clade analyses reveal that all groups of marine megafauna follow this trend, except that sea birds present higher extinction rates in the Paleocene (Supplementary Fig. 4). Conversely, we did not find evidence of changes in origination rates during the entire Cenozoic (Supplementary Fig. 3). Until now, disappearances of Pliocene marine megafauna species were thought to represent isolated examples within a broader assemblage that remained largely intact (e.g.³, but see⁴³). Our results

101 show that these extinctions, which peaked in the late Pliocene, are part of a hitherto 102 unrecognized global loss of marine megafaunal biodiversity. 103 Closer examination of the Pliocene megafauna fossil record reveals the proportional losses of 104 genera (see Supplementary Table 4 for numbers of genera and samples). We found that 36% of 105 Pliocene genera were extirpated (i.e. not present in the Pleistocene). In line with previous 106 studies^{3,44}, marine mammals present the highest proportional extinction, losing 55% of their 107 generic diversity (e.g., the aquatic sloth *Thalassocnus*, the beluga-like odontocete *Bohaskaia*). 108 Seabirds lost 35% of their generic diversity (e.g. the penguin *Inguza*), sea turtles 43% (e.g. 109 Syllomus and Psephophorus), and sharks 9% (e.g. Carcharocles) (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Table 110 4). New genera also evolved: 25% of the Pleistocene genera were new (not reported in the 111 Pliocene), including 38% of mammals (e.g. the polar bear *Ursus*) and 41% of the seabirds (e.g., 112 the storm petrel *Oceanodroma* and the penguin *Megadyptes*) (Supplementary Table 4). 113 Nevertheless, in line with the elevated extinction relative to origination rates, generic diversity of 114 global megafauna suffered a net decline of 15% between the Pliocene and Pleistocene. 115 Furthermore, we found that most of the Plio-Pleistocene marine megafauna (86%; 116 Supplementary Table 4) were associated with coastal habitats (i.e. the neritic zone, <200 m of 117 depth), where the absolute loss of genera was greater (Fig. 1b; Supplementary Dataset 1). 118 However, since this finding could be biased by differential fossil preservation and/or sampling, it 119 should be interpreted with caution. 120 **Impacts on functional diversity.** To assess the potential effects of the Pliocene extinction on 121 ecosystem functioning, we performed trait-based analyses following the methods described in

Mouillot et al. 32 for genera associated with coastal environments. Accordingly, we assigned traits to the Plio-Pleistocene coastal megafauna (184 genera, Supplementary Table 4) to (i) determine the Functional Entities (i.e. groups with unique trait combinations, herein FEs) and (ii) construct a functional space³². The coastal megafauna dataset includes 146 Pliocene genera from 711 occurrences, and 129 Pleistocene genera from 858 occurrences (Supplementary Table 4). We found that 55 (38%) coastal Pliocene genera went extinct (Fig. 2c), resulting in the loss of 7 (14%) FEs (Fig. 2a) along with 17% of functional richness (Fig. 2e; the volume of functional space; after accounting for sample size differences [Supplementary Fig. 5; also see Methods]). The post-extinction Pleistocene assemblage hosted 38 new genera (29%) reducing the net taxonomic loss of coastal habitats to 12% (Fig. 2d). The evolution of these new genera resulted in the addition of 4 FEs (9%; Fig. 2b) and net loss of 3 FEs. But these new FEs, which were exclusively occupied by mammals, minimally compensated for the functional richness lost (by 1%), leaving a net functional richness loss of 16%. Further, the loss and gain of Plio-Pleistocene FEs drove a functional shift (non-overlap of functional volume³²) of 21% (Fig. 2f). We next investigated the interaction between extinction and the functional structure of the megafauna assemblage. The functional structure of the Pliocene assemblage ultimately rendered it sensitive in the face of taxonomic extinctions: although it had an average of 3 genera per FE (functional redundancy sensu⁴⁵), they were concentrated within specific FEs (overredundancy⁴⁵), leaving over half with only a single genus (functional vulnerability⁴⁵) (Supplementary Fig. 6; Supplementary Table 6). All lost and gained FEs (except one) contained a single genus (Fig. 2a-b, see legend), suggesting that low-redundancy FEs largely drove the

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

changes in functional space. The net losses of functional richness and the functional shift were greater than expected given the mean background extinction rate over the Cenozoic (22 genera, see Methods) and the new Pleistocene FEs (Supplementary Figs. 7a-b.). However, these functional changes were no different than would be expected given the 55 genera lost (Supplementary Figs. 7c-d) and the functional structure of the assemblage, indicating that the loss of genera per se from the functionally vulnerable Pliocene assemblage, rather than the observed pattern of genera loss, determined the functional changes. Ultimately, the Pleistocene assemblage was left with a greater proportion of single genus FEs (80%), i.e., a greater functional vulnerability, than the pre-extinction Pliocene assemblage (59%) (Supplementary Table 6). In light of the growing literature linking functional diversity to ecosystem functioning 46-49, it follows that the contributions of megafauna to marine ecosystems may have been diminished (loss of functional richness), altered (functional shift), and rendered less resistant to subsequent extinctions (increased functional vulnerability) after the Pliocene extinction event. A common finding among the handful of previous studies that have used a multi-trait-based approach in this context is that losses in global functional diversity are negligible after an extinction event, even in the face of mass extinctions, when >70% of genera were lost $^{33-35}$. Our detection of a larger, though still modest (16-21%), functional diversity change, despite lower taxonomic loss (38%), is probably because most previous studies have focused on benthic, smaller-bodied, more speciose invertebrate assemblages, while ours focuses on large vertebrates. Ecosystems hold fewer large than small species⁵⁰, thus among large-bodied species there is likely to be less scope for functional insurance provided by redundant species, making functional

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

diversity among large animals more sensitive in the face of extinction⁵¹⁻⁵². This conclusion is supported by the high levels of functional vulnerability among Plio-Pleistocene coastal megafauna (Supplementary Table 6), the singular roles megafaunal taxa are thought to play in modern systems²⁶, and the accumulating evidence of ecosystem consequences induced by their declines²⁵.

Extinction mechanisms. It has been widely stated in the literature that the onset of the Northern Hemisphere Glaciation at the end of the Pliocene resulted in an abrupt transition to a new climatic state dominated by colder and more variable temperatures, and large sea level oscillations^{4-7,12}. But to our knowledge there are no quantitative and global assessments of consequent changes in the extent of coastal habitats during this time (but see⁵³ for specific regions). We therefore reconstructed the global extent of neritic (i.e. <200m depth) areas based on eustatic variations⁴⁻⁵ during the Plio-Pleistocene, and found that as sea level regressed, neritic areas dropped precipitously during the late Pliocene. This abrupt change coincides in time with the highest extinction rates found (Supplementary Fig. 3). After this sudden drop in coastal habitat availability, large area oscillations took place: there was a 250% increase in coefficient of variation from the Pliocene (0.07) to the Pleistocene (0.17). Additionally, the total neritic area available was significantly reduced: from 79.1 million km² in the Pliocene to 57.9 million km² in the Pleistocene, representing a 27% reduction in the mean area (t-test: p < 0.001; Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. 8).

Numerous studies have identified a regional invertebrate extinction during the Plio-Pleistocene and attributed this to climatic changes (mainly temperature)^{8,54-56}, but only a single region-

specific study has implicated habitat loss associated with sea level changes in extinctions⁵⁷. Here, we document a global-scale reduction of coastal habitat availability that abruptly started in the late Pliocene, and hypothesize that this, probably acting alongside oceanographic alterations such as changes in productivity and ocean circulation (e.g. ^{24,58-61}) were extinction drivers of the Pliocene marine megafauna. Some genera may have only succumbed to repeated sea level oscillations or when habitat loss coincided with other extinction drivers (e.g. prey availability and/or competition)⁶²⁻⁶³ which may explain the continuation of elevated extinction rates in the Pleistocene. Similar mechanisms might be responsible for the previously noted decline of some megafaunal groups in the late Miocene 16,43, although such losses were not comparable in magnitude to those Pliocene losses documented here (Fig. 1a). To assess extinction selectivity, we modelled traits as predictors of survivorship using generalized linear models (GLMs). Thermoregulation is the trait that best predicts extinctions in the Pliocene (Fig. 4a), with endotherms and mesotherms (homeotherms; those able to regulate, at least to some degree, their internal temperatures ⁶⁴⁻⁶⁵) having significantly higher chances of going extinct than their poikilothermic counterparts (Fig. 4b; Supplementary Tables 7-8). Large body size, a trait associated with extinction risk in the Anthropocene^{3,66}, does not predict extinction risk, nor affect the explanatory power of thermoregulation. Although we found a taxonomic signal in extinction probabilities, with mammals and sharks presenting significant differences (grey part of Supplementary Tables 7-8), the signal of thermoregulation was independent and held when Class was controlled as a fixed or random factor in the GLMs (Supplementary Table 9). Notably, homeotherms that became extinct were not exclusively

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

endothermic mammals or seabirds: three of five mesotherms (two shark genera and a turtle genus) were also lost.

Unlike poikilotherms, homeotherms are buffered against external temperature changes, but require greater resources to sustain higher metabolic demands^{64-65,67-68}. Homeotherms should therefore show greater extinction susceptibility in the face of declining habitat and associated resource availability⁶⁹, as our results indeed show. In contrast, if temperature fluctuations or overall cooling had directly driven this extinction, the opposite result would have been expected (i.e. greater susceptibility of poikilotherms compared to homeotherms). Feeding plasticity, as grey whales seem to have exhibited during the late Pleistocene, and possibly even across the Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary⁷⁰, may have aided the survival of some homeothermic genera in the face of habitat loss. Overall, the greater susceptibility of energy-demanding homeotherms supports our hypothesis that the abrupt reduction of neritic areas was a key driver of the marine megafauna extinction. Whether thermoregulation covaries with other traits (e.g. those associated with extinction risk)⁷¹, and the effects of such correlation in determining the selectivity of the Pliocene marine megafauna extinction, are beyond the scope of this study but should be further explored.

Conclusions

Here we report an extinction and consequent erosion of functional diversity of marine megafauna during the Pliocene. We hypothesize that these extinctions were driven by habitat loss produced by sea level oscillations, probably acting alongside other oceanographic alterations such as changes in productivity and ocean circulation, in addition to biotic drivers such as prey

availability and/or competition. Since the modern marine megafauna became established in the Pleistocene (Supplementary Fig. 1), this event shaped Earth's present-day assemblages of these large ecosystem-structuring organisms (e.g. ^{25,27,32,72}). The discovery of this extinction event reveals that the biodiversity and functional contributions of marine megafauna were more sensitive to environmental changes in the recent geological past than hitherto assumed. Today, and historically, over-exploitation has been considered the chief threat to marine megafauna²⁷. Our study cautions that as anthropogenic climate change accelerates and triggers regime shifts in coastal ecosystems³⁹⁻⁴¹ the potential consequences for marine megafauna should not be underestimated.

Methods

Cenozoic dataset. We downloaded all the records of marine megafauna for the Cenozoic, (i.e. last 66 Ma) from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB, https://paleobiodb.org, last search date: November 2016). The PBDB follows the most recent geological timescale of Gradstein *et al.*⁷³. In the absence of a formal, size-based definition of "marine megafauna" we included all the genera of the groups of animals that contain the largest marine vertebrates (i.e. marine mammals, seabirds, sea turtles, and sharks and rays¹⁰). We focused on the genus level because generic assignments have a greater consistency across different research groups, and because it is more robust to taxonomic error than the species level. All taxonomic identifications were evaluated and corrected. Dubious and equivocal records were excluded from our analyses. Accordingly, we used 11,241 occurrences (Supplementary Table 1). Details on the search criteria and data

assessment can be found in the Supplementary Information. Further, all references supporting the occurrences can be found in the Supplementary Dataset 2.

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

Extinction rates. We estimated extinction and origination rates of marine megafauna for the entire Cenozoic using PyRate⁷⁴. This program implements Bayesian algorithms to analyze all available fossil occurrences (identified to genus level, in this case) while accounting for preservation biases and dating uncertainties. Accordingly, three main sets of parameters were simultaneously estimated: 1) the preservation rates quantifying the expected number of fossil occurrences per sampled lineage per time unit (1 Myr); 2) the origination and extinction times for each genus, which likely extend beyond the observed temporal range between first and last appearances; and 3) the origination and extinction rates (expected number of origination/extinction events per lineage/Myr) and their temporal variation⁴². We estimated origination and extinction times assuming a time-variable Poisson preservation model, and used them to infer origination and extinction rates within Epochs using a time-variable birth-death model where the rates are estimated as independent parameters in each predefined time frame (Supplementary Tables 1-3). To reduce the risk of over-parameterization we used half-Cauchy priors on the origination and extinction rates with scale parameters estimated from the data using hyper-priors⁷⁵. We ran 2,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations under this model and summarized the posterior extinction rates in boxplots for each Epoch, except for the Holocene, as the temporal and taxonomic resolution of our data was insufficient to reliably estimate extinction in such a short time frame. We considered extinction rates as significantly different between subsequent epochs when 0 fell outside the 95% credible interval of their difference, based on all posterior samples. We ran these analyses on the full data set of all

megafauna groups first, and then repeated them for each group, namely marine mammals, sea birds, sea turtles and sharks and rays. We ran additional analyses to assess more precisely the timing of origination and extinction rate changes, using birth-death models in which the times of shift are not fixed, but estimated as time-continuous parameters⁴². We tested models with different number of rate shifts and combined the results from each model using Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA), i.e., after resampling their posterior samples proportionally to the respective relative probabilities⁷⁶. We then summarized the marginal extinction rates through time within 0.1 Myr time bins as mean and 95% credible intervals. More details can be found in the Supplementary Methods. Plio-Pleistocene dataset. We selected all marine megafauna genera occurring in the Pliocene (5.3-2.6 Ma) and Pleistocene (2.6-0.01)⁷⁷. In total, we gathered 1,763 global occurrences. Most of the data were not dated to the Stage level. Accordingly, we used geologic Epochs as our interval unit, e.g., whenever a genus was reported in the Pliocene, the Zanclean, or the Piacenzian, it was assigned to the Pliocene. Because the Plio-Pleistocene is our interval of interest, we performed a second evaluation process for this subset of data in which the taxonomic assignments and age of each record was assessed following a procedure described previously²² (Supplementary Methods). We followed the most recent age for the Pliocene-Pleistocene boundary, at 2.58 Ma⁷⁷. Accordingly, all Gelasian records were treated as Pleistocene occurrences. More details can be found in the Supplementary Methods. It is worth noting that even though it has been proposed that marine vertebrates from the Pleistocene are poorly known (e.g. 15), we were able to gather 906 occurrences from the Pleistocene, which is comparable with the 857 records gathered from the Pliocene.

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

Proportional extinction. In total, we compiled data for 215 Plio-Pleistocene genera. Of these, 177 occurred in the Pliocene and 151 in the Pleistocene (Supplementary Table 4). Sixty-one genera occurred only in the Pliocene and 37 only in the Pleistocene. Based on these numbers, we calculated the proportion (%) of genera extirpated from the Pliocene, and originated in the Pleistocene. We did this for all megafauna, and for each individual group. Finally, we calculated the net loss of genera (%) as the % of Pliocene genera that were lost passing into the Pleistocene. Functional traits and Functional Taxonomic Units (FTUs). We used five ordered categorical functional traits to assign to the Pliocene and Pleistocene marine megafauna: Guild (most frequent diet in adults); body size (maximum total length); vertical position (most frequent vertical position where they feed); habitat (typical zone where they occur); and thermoregulation capability (endotherms, mesotherms or poikilotherms). Traits are inferred properties of individual organisms known to directly influence their ecological role^{32,45}. More details on how traits were coded can be found in the Supplementary Methods. Our Plio-Pleistocene occurrences dataset had a generic taxonomic resolution (see above), which facilitated the assignment of traits given that most genera have modern analogues on which we could base our assessments. Traits were assigned using authoritative taxon-specific texts, online databases, and expert assessments based on both extant relatives and the fossil record (references provided in Supplementary Methods). Whenever we found a genus consisting of multiple known species with different trait values, we treated them independently to assign traits (see specific cases in Supplementary Methods). As a result, our traits were assigned mostly (95%) to genera, and sub-divisions of certain genera according to shared traits. These 'Functional Taxonomic Units' (FTUs) are in our opinion, the lowest taxonomic resolution to systematically assign functional traits to fossil

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

marine vertebrates. Given that FTUs correspond to genera in 95% of cases, we still refer to them as "genera" in the main text and figures for consistency and simplicity. Traits were assigned to each occurrence of each FTU. Whenever there was not enough information to assign traits, we disregarded such an occurrence in our analyses (see specific cases in Supplementary Methods, which represent ~12% of the total number of occurrences gathered from the PBDB). Functional Entities (FEs), redundancy, over-redundancy and vulnerability. For our traitbased analyses we focused on genera that are associated with coastal habitats (i.e. strictly coastal, coastal-terrestrial, coastal-oceanic). These genera represent 86% of the megafauna (Supplementary Table 4) and had 1,569 global occurrences in our dataset. Based on the trait assignments, we calculated the number of possible unique trait combinations, or Functional Entities (FEs)^{32,45}. Pliocene and Pleistocene marine megafauna fill the 8% of the total number of FEs (i.e. 53 out of 648 FEs). Genera were assigned to FEs independent of taxonomy. Based on the number of FEs and their corresponding genera, we then calculated the functional redundancy (FR: genera per FE), over-redundancy (FOR: overrepresentation of some FEs) and vulnerably (FV: potential decrease of functional diversity following species loss) as follows: FR = mean genera:FEs; FOV = % genera that fill FEs above the mean level of FR; and FV = % genera with one genus⁴⁵. **Functional space.** We used the methods of Mouillot et al^{32} to create the functional space based on the FEs calculated above. We used the R package FD⁷⁸ to create the distance matrix (using the function "gowdis"), and to retrieve axes of the principal coordinate analysis (PCoA; using the function "dbFD"). Using the "quality_funct_space" R function 79 we determined that our data is

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

best represented using four dimensions, or PCoA axes (Supplementary Fig. 9). We then used the "FDChange" function to calculate the functional richness (FRic, % of the total volume occupied in the functional space) and shift (non-overlap of functional volume)³². Given the multidimensional nature of the functional diversity analyses, the four axes used to represent the functional space of Plio-Pliestocene marine megafauna are correlated with multiple trait combinations (Supplementary Fig. 10) and therefore, it is not possible to associate portions of the functional space to single traits, nor to pinpoint changing segments of the space. **Resampling simulations.** We tested the effects of sample size in the calculation of functional diversity indices by randomly resampling each community (Pliocene and Pleistocene) without replacement, bootstrapping the data 1,000 times over 20 evenly spaced bins from 10 to 711 (711 = the lowest sample size for coastal habitats, which is found in the Pliocene) using the R function "sample". Indeed, we found variation in functional indices due to sample size. We standardized the Pleistocene communities to 711 occurrences and recalculated the functional diversity indices based on this resampled community running 1,000 permutations (with replacement)⁸⁰. Finally, we tested for significant differences between the Pliocene and the Pleistocene using a Wilcox test. Comparative simulations. We investigated whether the changes in functional diversity during the Pliocene were significantly higher than those expected under background extinction rates among genera associated with coastal habitats. To do so, we calculated the mean extinction rate

for the Cenozoic (except for the Pliocene) as described in the Extinction Rates section above.

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

Then we estimated the number of genera that would have been lost under background rates using Foote's boundary crossing method⁸¹ as in Equation 1:

$$ER = -\ln(N_{bt}/[N_{bt} + N_b])/\Delta t$$

355

356

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

where N_{bi} is the genera that crossed the bottom and the top of the interval (i.e. sampled in both the Pliocene and the Pleistocene); N_b is the genera that only crossed the bottom of the interval (i.e. sampled only in the Pliocene); and Δt is the length of the interval in million of years (i.e. 2.75 myr following the timescale Gradstein et al.)⁷³. We then solved for N_b in Equation 1, replacing the extinction rate (ER) with 0.05 (= mean extinction rate during the Cenozoic). Based on this, 22 genera would have been lost in the Pliocene under mean background conditions (whereas 55 were actually lost in coastal habitats). Accordingly, running 1,000 permutations, we simulated a Pleistocene subset in our Plio-Pleistocene dataset by randomly removing 22 Pliocene genera, and calculating the delta (Pliocene FRIc – Pleistocene FRIc), and the functional shift (see Functional Space section of the Methods). We then compared the observed delta and shift with the distributions of these metrics under background conditions. Additionally, we investigated if the loss of functional diversity was greater than expected given the number of taxa lost. This was achieved by randomly removing 55 (i.e. the number of coastal genera lost) Pliocene genera and calculating the delta and shift. We tested the significance of these comparisons by running 1,000 permutations. Although the above simulations did not account for the modest sample size differences between the Pliocene and Pleistocene, the post-extinction (Pleistocene) assemblage had more samples and thus the tests are conservative.

Environmental reconstructions. We calculated the global extent of the neritic areas available during the Pliocene and Pleistocene, given the sea level changes of these time periods. We regarded as neritic area the section of the ocean with a water depth of a maximum of 200 meters. To estimate the global extent of these areas, we used the current land topography and ocean bathymetry model Etopo 1 Global Relief Model⁸². We selected the ocean cells within the neritic zone and quantified their area using the function "area" from the R package raster⁸³, which takes into account the latitudinal decrease of the projected map cells as a consequence of the globe curvature. In order to calculate changes in the global extent of the neritic areas across time, we used two independent measures of the sea level change during the last 5.3 myrs^{4,5} applying a temporal resolution of 100,000 years; the choice of measure had no bearing on the qualitative patterns of sea level change. The model based on deBoer et al.⁵ is presented in the main text. Global sea level changes were calculated using oxygen isotopes variation⁴ and an ice-sheet model forced by benthic delta $\delta 180^5$. Based on these data, we assessed the temporal changes in the global extent of neritic areas available, and in temperature, in the Pliocene (N = 27) vs. Pleistocene (N = 26), calculated the coefficient of variation for each Epoch, and tested for significant differences in mean values using a t-test. Both time bins (Pliocene and Pleistocene) have similar temporal extents (2.7 and 2.6 myrs respectively), allowing direct comparisons. **Generalized linear models.** We evaluated the effects of traits on extinction probabilities by modelling survivorship (i.e. status: extinct or not extinct) in response to genus traits. We initially used a Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with binomial error and a logit link to simultaneously assess the effects of all traits (i.e. glm (status ~ trait1 + trait2...)). Further, we used a metric of pseudo R^2 (1 – (residual deviance/ null deviance)) to assess its explanatory power. This model

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

was then re-run, first by adding taxonomic identity (i.e. Class: Mammalia, Aves, etc.) as a fixed effect (i.e. glm (status ~ trait1 + trait2... + Class)) to account for its influence on extinction probabilities; and second, by adding Class as a random effect using a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) in the R package lme4⁸⁴ (i.e. glmer (status ~ trait1 + trait2... (1|Class)) to control for the potential non-independence of species' extinction probabilities within each Class. Furthermore, in case the explanatory power of a trait was contingent on the inclusion/exclusion of other traits in the model, we used a hierarchical partitioning approach to run all possible single and multiple traits as additive extinction predictors and partition the proportional independent effects of each trait using the R package hier.part⁸⁵. Finally, we modelled extinction probability as a function of thermoregulation (the most explanatory trait) and elucidated differences among categories using Tukey tests.

References

- Brook BW, Sodhi NS, & Bradshaw CJA (2008) Synergies among extinction drivers under
 global change. Trends Ecol Evol 23(8):453-460.
- McCauley DJ, et al. (2015) Marine defaunation: Animal loss in the global ocean. Science
 347(6219):1255641-1255641.
- 413 3. Harnik PG, et al. (2012) Extinctions in ancient and modern seas. Trends Ecol Evol
- 414 27(11):608-617.
- 4. Miller KG, et al. (2005) The Phanerozoic record of global sea-level change. Science
- 416 310(5752):1293-1298.

- 5. de Boer B, van de Wal RSW, Bintanja R, Lourens LJ, & Tuenter E (2010) Cenozoic global
- ice-volume and temperature simulations with 1-D ice-sheet models forced by benthic delta
- 419 O-18 records. *Annals of Glaciology* 51(55):23-33.
- 420 6. Zachos J, Pagani M, Sloan L, Thomas E, & Billups K (2001) Trends, rhythms, and
- aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. Science 292(5517):686-693.
- 422 7. Lisiecki, L. E., & Raymo, M. E. (2007). Plio–Pleistocene climate evolution: Trends and
- 423 transitions in glacial cycle dynamics. Quaternary Science Reviews, 26(1), 56-69.
- 8. van Woesik R, et al. (2012) Hosts of the Plio-Pleistocene past reflect modern-day coral
- 425 vulnerability. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 279(1737):2448-2456.
- 426 9. Valentine JW & Jablonski D (1991) Biotic effects of sea-level change: The Pleistocene test.
- *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth and Planets* 96(B4):6873-6878.
- 428 10. Lewison RL, Crowder LB, Read AJ, Freeman SA (2004) Understanding impacts of fisheries
- bycatch on marine megafauna. *Trends Ecol Evol* 19(11):598-604.
- 430 11. McClain CR, et al. (2015) Sizing ocean giants: Patterns of intraspecific size variation in
- 431 marine megafauna. *PeerJ* 3:e71.
- 432 12. Marx FG & Uhen MD (2010) Climate, critters, and cetaceans: Cenozoic drivers of the
- 433 evolution of modern whales. *Science* 327(5968):993-996.
- 434 13. Pyenson ND & Sponberg SN (2011) Reconstructing body size in extinct crown Cetacea
- (Neoceti) using allometry, phylogenetic methods and tests from the fossil record. *J Mamm*
- 436 Evol 18(4):269-288.
- 437 14. Uhen MD & Pyenson ND (2007) Diversity estimates, biases, and historiographic effects:
- 438 Resolving cetacean diversity in the Tertiary. *Palaeontol Electronica* 10(2):1-22.

- 439 15. Boessenecker RW (2013). Pleistocene survival of an archaic dwarf baleen whale (Mysticeti:
- 440 Cetotheriidae). *Naturwissenschaften* 100(4):365-71.
- 16. Ando T & Fordyce RE (2014) Evolutionary drivers for flightless, wing-propelled divers in
- the Northern and Southern Hemispheres. Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol. 400:50-
- 443 61.
- 17. Olson SL (1985) An early Pliocene marine avifauna from Duinefontein Cape Province South
- 445 Africa. Annals of the South African Museum 95(4):147-164.
- 18. Sorbi S, Domning DP, Vaiani SC, & Bianucci G (2012) Metaxytherium subapenninum
- 447 (Bruno, 1839) (Mammalia, Dugongidae), the latest sirenian of the mediterranean basin. J
- 448 *Vert Paleontol* 32(3):686-707.
- 19. Velez-Juarbe J, Domning DP, & Pyenson ND (2012) Iterative evolution of sympatric seacow
- 450 (Dugongidae, Sirenia) assemblages during the past similar to 26 million years. *Plos One*
- 451 7(2):e31294.
- 20. Domning DP (2001) Sirenians, seagrasses, and Cenozoic ecological change in the Caribbean.
- 453 Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 166(1-2):27-50.
- 21. Pimiento C, Balk MA (2015) Body-size trends of the extinct giant shark *Carcharocles*
- 455 *megalodon*: A deep-time perspective on marine apex predators. *Paleobiology* 41(3):479-90.
- 456 22. Pimiento C & Clements CF (2014) When did Carcharocles megalodon become extinct? A
- new analysis of the fossil record. *Plos One* 9(10):e111086.
- 23. Dodd CK & Morgan GS (1992) Fossil sea-turtles from the early Pliocene Bone Valley
- 459 Formation, Central Florida. *J Herpetol* 26(1):1-8.

- 24. Leonard-Pingel JS, Jackson JBC, & O'Dea A (2012) Changes in bivalve functional and
- assemblage ecology in response to environmental change in the Caribbean Neogene.
- 462 *Paleobiology* 38(4):509-524.
- 463 25. Estes JA, *et al.* (2011) Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. *Science* 333(6040):301-306.
- 26. Doughty CE, et al. (2016) Global nutrient transport in a world of giants. Proc Natl Acad Sci
- 465 *USA* 113(4):868-873.
- 27. Malhi Y, et al. (2016) Megafauna and ecosystem function from the Pleistocene to the
- Anthropocene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113(4):838-846.
- 28. Boessenecker RW (2012) A new marine vertebrate assemblage from the Late Neogene
- Purisima Formation in Central California, part II: Pinnipeds and Cetaceans. *Geodiversitas*
- 470 35(4):815-940.
- 29. Villeger S, Novack-Gottshall PM, & Mouillot D (2011) The multidimensionality of the niche
- 472 reveals functional diversity changes in benthic marine biotas across geological time. *Ecol*
- 473 *Lett* 14(6):561-568.
- 30. Raup DM, Sepkoski Jr JJ (1982) Mass extinctions in the marine fossil record. *Science*
- 475 215(4539):1501-3.
- 476 31. Bambach RK, Bush AM, Erwin DH (2007) Autecology and the filling of ecospace: key
- 477 metazoan radiations. *Palaeontology* 50(1):1-22.
- 478 32. Mouillot D, Graham NAJ, Villeger S, Mason NWH, & Bellwood DR (2013) A functional
- approach reveals community responses to disturbances. *Trends Ecol Evol* 28(3):167-177.

- 480 33. Aberhan M & Kiessling W (2015) Persistent ecological shifts in marine molluscan
- 481 assemblages across the end-Cretaceous mass extinction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
- 482 112(23):7207-7212.
- 483 34. Foster WJ & Twitchett RJ (2014) Functional diversity of marine ecosystems after the Late
- Permian mass extinction event. *Nature Geosci* 7(3):233-238.
- 485 35. Dineen AA, Fraiser ML, & Sheehan PM (2014) Quantifying functional diversity in pre- and
- post-extinction paleocommunities: A test of ecological restructuring after the end-Permian
- mass extinction. Earth Sci Rev 136:339-349.
- 488 36. Duffy JE, Lefcheck JS, Stuart-Smith RD, Navarrete SA, & Edgar GJ (2016) Biodiversity
- enhances reef fish biomass and resistance to climate change. *PNAS* 113:6230-5.
- 490 37. Dick DG, & Maxwell EE (2015). The evolution and extinction of the ichthyosaurs from the
- perspective of quantitative ecospace modelling. *Biol Lett* 11(7):20150339.
- 492 38. Lawton JH (1994) What do species do in ecosystems. *Oikos* 71(3):367-374.
- 493 39. Altieri AH, et al. (2017) Tropical dead zones and mass mortalities on coral reefs. PNAS
- 494 20:201621517.
- 495 40. Hughes TP, et al. (2017) Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature
- 496 543(7645):373-7.
- 497 41. Wernberg T, et al. (2016) Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine ecosystem.
- 498 *Science* 353(6295):169-72.
- 42. Silvestro D, Schnitzler J, Liow LH, Antonelli A, & Salamin N (2014) Bayesian estimation of
- speciation and extinction from incomplete fossil occurrence data. Syst Biol 63:349-367.

- 43. Villafaña JA, Rivadeneira MM (2014) Rise and fall in diversity of Neogene marine
- vertebrates on the temperate Pacific coast of South America. *Paleobiology* 40(4): 659-74.
- 503 44. Finnegan S, et al. (2015) Paleontological baselines for evaluating extinction risk in the
- modern oceans. *Science* 348(6234):567-70.
- 505 45. Mouillot D, et al. (2014) Functional over-redundancy and high functional vulnerability in
- global fish faunas on tropical reefs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(38):13757-13762.
- 46. Coux C, Rader R, Bartomeus I, & M. TJ (2016) Linking species functional roles to their
- network roles. *Ecol Lett* DOI: 10.1111/ele.12612.
- 509 47. Lefcheck JS & Duffy JE (2015) Multitrophic functional diversity predicts ecosystem
- functioning in experimental assemblages of estuarine consumers. *Ecology* 96(11):2973-2983.
- 511 48. Dehling DM, Jordano P, Schaefer HM, Boehning-Gaese K, & Schleuning M (2016)
- Morphology predicts species' functional roles and their degree of specialization in plant-
- frugivore interactions. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 283(1823): 20152444.
- 49. Cadotte MW, Carscadden K, & Mirotchnick N (2011) Beyond species: functional diversity
- and the maintenance of ecological processes and services. *J Appl Ecol* 48(5):1079-1087.
- 50. Kozlowski J & Gawelczyk AT (2002) Why are species' body size distributions usually
- skewed to the right? *Funct Ecol* 16(4):419-432.
- 51. Duffy JE (2003) Biodiversity loss, trophic skew and ecosystem functioning. *Ecol Lett*
- 519 6(8):680-687.
- 520 52. Duffy JE (2002) Biodiversity and ecosystem function: The consumer connection. *Oikos*
- 521 99(2):201-219.

- 522 53. Holland SM (2012) Sea level change and the area of shallow-marine habitat: Implications for
- marine biodiversity. *Paleobiology* 38(2):205-217.
- 524 54. Jackson JBC, Jung P, Coates AG, & Collins LS (1993) Diversity and extinction of tropical
- American mollusks and emergence of the isthmus of Panama. *Science* 260(5114):1624-1626.
- 55. Budd AF, Johnson KG, & Stemann TA (1996) Plio-Pleistocene Turnover and Extinction in
- 527 the Caribbean Reef-Coral Fauna. Evolution and environment in tropical America, eds
- Jackson BC, Budd AF, & Coates AG (University of Chicago Press, Chicago), pp 168-204.
- 529 56. Allmon WD, Emslie SD, Jones DS, & Morgan GS (1996) Late Neogene oceanographic
- change along Florida's west coast: Evidence and mechanisms. *J Geol* 104(2):143-162.
- 57. Klaus JS, et al. (2011) Rise and fall of Pliocene free-living corals in the Caribbean. Geology
- 532 39(4):375-378.
- 533 58. Todd JA, et al. (2002) The ecology of extinction: Molluscan feeding and faunal turnover in
- the Caribbean Neogene. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 269(1491):571-577.
- 535 59. O'Dea A, et al. (2007) Environmental change preceded Caribbean extinction by 2 million
- years. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104(13):5501-5506.
- 537 60. Smith JT & Jackson JBC (2009) Ecology of extreme faunal turnover of tropical American
- scallops. *Paleobiology* 35(1):77-93.
- 61. Valenzuela-Toro AM, Gutstein CS, Varas-Malca RM, Suarez ME, & Pyenson ND (2013)
- Pinniped turnover in the South Pacific Ocean: New evidence from the Plio-Pleistocene of the
- Atacama Desert, Chile. *J Vert Paleontol* 33(1):216-223.
- 62. Metcalf JL, et al. (2016) Synergistic roles of climate warming and human occupation in
- Patagonian megafaunal extinctions during the Last Deglaciation. *Sci Adv* 2(6):e1501682.

- 63. Pimiento C, et al. (2016) Geographical distribution patterns of Carcharocles megalodon over
- time reveal clues about extinction mechanisms. *J Biogeogr* 43(8): 1645-1655.
- 546 64. McNab BK (2009) Resources and energetics determined dinosaur maximal size. *Proc Natl*
- 547 *Acad Sci USA* 106(29):12184-12188.
- 548 65. Grady JM, Enquist BJ, Dettweiler-Robinson E, Wright NA, & Smith FA (2014) Evidence for
- mesothermy in dinosaurs. *Science* 344(6189):1268-1272 (Supplementary Information).
- 550 66. Payne JL, Bush AM, Heim NA, Knope ML & McCauley DJ (2016) Ecological selectivity of
- the emerging mass extinction in the oceans. *Science* 14:aaf2416.
- 67. Boyles JG, Seebacher F, Smit B, & McKechnie AE (2011) Adaptive thermoregulation in
- endotherms may alter responses to climate change. *Integr Comp Biol* 51(5):676-690.
- 68. McNab BK (1983) Energetics, body size, and the limits to endothermy. J Zool 199:1-29.
- 69. Wright DH (1983) Species-energy theory: An extension of species-area theory. Oikos 1:496-
- 556 506.
- 70. Pyenson ND, Lindberg DR (2011) What happened to grey whales during the Pleistocene?
- The ecological impact of sea level change on benthic feeding areas in the North Pacific
- 559 Ocean. *Plos One* 6(7): e21295.
- 71. Davidson AD, et al. (2012) Drivers and hotspots of extinction risk in marine mammals.
- 561 *PNAS* 109(9):3395-400.
- 562 72. Wilmers CC, Estes JA, Edwards M, Laidre KL, & Konar B (2012) Do trophic cascades
- affect the storage and flux of atmospheric carbon? An analysis of sea otters and kelp forests.
- 564 Front Ecol Environ 10(8):409-415.

- 73. Gradstein FM, Ogg G, & Schmitz M (2012) The Geologic Time Scale 2012 2-Volume Set
- 566 (Elsevier).
- 567 74. Silvestro D, Salamin N, & Schnitzler J (2014) PyRate: A new program to estimate speciation
- and extinction rates from incomplete fossil data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 5:1126-1131.
- 75. Silvestro D., Cascales-Miñana B, Bacon CD, & Antonelli A (2015) Revisiting the origin and
- diversification of vascular plants through a comprehensive Bayesian analysis of the fossil
- 571 record. *New Phytol* 207:425-436.
- 572 76. Hoeting JA, Madigan D, Raftery AE, & Volinsky CT (1999) Bayesian model averaging: A
- tutorial. *Statistical Science* 14:382-417.
- 574 77. Gibbard PL, Head MJ, Walker MJ. (2010) Formal ratification of the Quaternary
- 575 System/Period and the Pleistocene Series/Epoch with a base at 2.58 Ma. *J. Quat. Sci.*
- 576 25(2):96-102.
- 577 78. Laliberté E & Shipley B (2011) FD: Measuring functional diversity from multiple traits, and
- other tools for functional ecology. R package version 1.0-11.
- 579 79. Maire E, Grenouillet G, Brosse S, & Villeger S (2015) How many dimensions are needed to
- accurately assess functional diversity? A pragmatic approach for assessing the quality of
- functional spaces. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 24(6):728-740.
- 80. Kowalewski MI, & Novack-Gottshall PH (2010). Resampling methods in Paleontology.
- Quantitative methods in Paleobiology. Short Courses in Paleontology 16:19-54.
- 81. Foote M (2000) Origination and extinction components of taxonomic diversity: General
- 585 problems. Paleobiology 26(4):74-102.

586 82. Amante C & Eakins BW (2009) ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: Procedures, 587 data sources and analysis (NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, Colorado). 588 83. Hijmans RJ, et al. (2015) Package 'raster'. R Package version 2.5-8. 589 84. Bates D & Martin M (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw 590 67(1):1-48. 591 85. Walsh C, Mac Nally R, & Walsh MC (2003) The hier. part package. R Package version 1.4-0. 592 593 594 **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank M. Sánchez-Villagra for his support during the 595 development of this research, to S. Villegér, A. Antonelli, F. Leprieur, J. Lefcheck and L. 596 Gamfeldt for their valuable suggestions; to C. Ricotta and K. Boersma for their assistance with 597 the use of some R functions, to B. Mcnab and M. Balk for their insights on thermoregulation; 598 and to Jorge Velez-Juarbe for his support assigning marine mammals' traits. We are grateful for 599 the constructive comments that P. Novack-Gottshall and two anonymous reviewers provided us, 600 which significantly improved this work. PyRate analyses were run at the high-performance 601 computing centre Vital-IT of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (Lausanne, Switzerland). C.P 602 was supported by a Forschungskredit postdoctoral fellowship from the University of Zurich (FK-603 15-105), J.G. was supported by a EU Marie Curie Career Integration Grant (FP7 MC CIG 604 61893), D.S. was funded by the Swedish Research Council (2015-04748) and S.V. was first 605 supported by the *Universidad de Alcalá* postdoctoral program, and then by the Alexander von 606 Humboldt Foundation and the Federal Ministry for Education and Research (Germany). This is 607 the Paleobiology Database Publication number xxx.

608 Author Contributions: C.P., J.N.G and C.J. designed the research; C.P. and J.N.G., and M.U. 609 performed the research; C.P., J.N.G., C.F.C., D.S. S.V. and M.U. analyzed the data; C.F.C., S.V., 610 D.S., M.U. and C.J. improved final manuscript; C.P. and J.N.G. wrote the paper. 611 **Additional Information** 612 **Supplementary information** is available for this paper. 613 **Code** utilized to infer origination, extinction, and preservation rates is available here: 614 https://github.com/dsilvestro/PyRate. 615 **Figure Legends** 616 Figure 1 | Elevated extinction rates of marine megafauna in the late Pliocene. a, Extinction 617 rates (grey) within Epochs. The extinction rates in the Pliocene are significantly higher than in 618 any other Epoch in the Cenozoic: they are 2.2 times higher than in the Miocene, 60% higher than 619 in the Pleistocene and three times higher than the average Cenozoic rate (N = 11,241 global 620 occurrences). b, Proportional extinction of the Pliocene megafauna (blue). Dark blue bars denote 621 species associated with coastal environments (i.e. strictly coastal, coastal-terrestrial, coastal-622 oceanic), which represent 86% of the megafauna. Light blue bars denote strictly oceanic species, 623 which represent the remaining 14% of the megafauna. 624 Figure 2 | Changes in coastal marine megafauna functional diversity from the Pliocene 625 (pre-extinction) to the Pleistocene (post-extinction). a-b, Functional space plotted using the 626 first four axes (A1-A4) and the empirical data (i.e. not accounting for differences in sample size).

Pliocene = 49 Functional Entities (FEs); Pleistocene = 46 FEs. Filled dots denote FEs that

changed (i.e. that were either extirpated or originated) whereas open dots denote unchanged FEs (i.e. winners). Note that, since multiple genera can occupy a single FE, the loss or gain of genera does not necessarily result in the lost or gain of a FE. FE codes can be found in Supplementary Table 5. Refer to the methods (Functional Traits and FTUs) for details on the differences between taxonomic levels. a, Pliocene space showing FEs that went extinct and their taxonomic affiliations. Dark blue = FE 25, one genus (Carcharocles, Lamniformes); light blue = FE 36, one genus (*Paratodus*, Lamniformes; red = FE 27, two genera (*Cetotherium* and *Nannocetus*, Mysticeti); green = FE 49, one species (*Herpetocetus morrow*, Mysticeti); light grey = FE 50, one genus (*Nanosiren*, Sirenia); yellow = FE 52 one genus (*Thalassocnus*, Xenarthra); dark grey = FE 46, one genus (*Psephophorus*, Testudines). **b,** Pleistocene space showing the new FEs and their taxonomic affiliations. Pink = FE 47, one genus (*Mirounga*, Pinnipedia); green = FE 13 one species (Orcinus orca, Odontoceti); blue = FE 31, one genus (Proterozetes, Pinnipedia); red = FE 3, one genus (*Ursus*, Carnivora). **c-d.** Taxonomic Richness (# genera) loss after the extinction event. c, Raw genus loss (not including the new genera that originated in the Pleistocene). d, Net genus loss (including the new genera that originated in the Pleistocene). e-f, Functional Richness (functional space volume, FRic³²) loss and shift. Vertical lines are error bars resulting from the 1,000 permutations of the resampled data (see Methods). e, Raw FRic. f, Net FRic. Figure 3 | Reduction of neritic areas as a putative extinction driver. Global extent of neritic areas based on eustatic levels reported in deBoer et al.⁵. Horizontal lines represent mean values for the Pliocene and Pleistocene.

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

Figure 4 | Thermoregulation explains susceptibility of genera to the Pliocene megafauna extinction. a, Hierarchical partitioning output based on generalized linear models showing the proportion of explained deviance in extinction probabilities that can be attributed to each trait. In the full model, traits collectively explained 20% of deviance in extinction probabilities. b, Extinction probabilities among the thermoregulation categories (Supplementary Table 8). Vertical lines denote error bars; asterisk denotes statistical significance compared to both other categories according to Tukey test.







