



# **Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository**

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:	
Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis	
Cronfa URL for this paper:	
http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa36033	
Paper:	
Jacob, N. & Wang, F. (2018). Higher order eigenvalues for non-local Schrödinger operators. C	Communications on
Pure and Applied Analysis, 17(1), 191-208.	
http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2018012	

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

# HIGHER ORDER EIGENVALUES FOR NON-LOCAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

#### NIELS JACOB

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

Feng-Yu Wang\*

Center for Applied Mathematics Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China Department of Mathematics, Swansea University Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

(Communicated by Yuri Latushkin)

ABSTRACT. Two-sided estimates for higher order eigenvalues are presented for a class of non-local Schrödinger operators by using the jump rate and the growth of the potential. For instance, let L be the generator of a Lévy process with Lévy measure  $\nu(\mathrm{d}z) := \rho(z)\mathrm{d}z$  such that  $\rho(z) = \rho(-z)$  and

$$c_1|z|^{-(d+\alpha_1)} \le \rho(z) \le c_2|z|^{-(d+\alpha_2)}, |z| \le \kappa$$

for some constants  $\kappa, c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, 2)$ , and let  $c_3|x|^{\theta_1} \leq V(x) \leq c_4|x|^{\theta_2}$  for some constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$  and large |x|. Then the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \lambda_n \leq \cdots$  of -L+V satisfies the following two-side estimate: there exists a constant C > 1 such that

$$Cn^{\frac{\theta_2\alpha_2}{d(\theta_2+\alpha_2)}} \geq \lambda_n \geq C^{-1}n^{\frac{\theta_1\alpha_1}{d(\theta_1+\alpha_1)}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

When  $\alpha_1$  is variable, a better lower bound estimate is derived.

1. **Introduction.** Spectral asymptotics of Schrödinger operators and more general pseudo-differential operators is since the fundamental work of H. Weyl [39] a rather classical topic. The monographs of M. Reed and B. Simon [30] as well as [10] give a certain overview about techniques and results until the mid 1980s mainly based on functional analytical considerations.

Our understanding of spectral problems has undergone substantial changes with the emergence of micro-local analysis which in particular takes the symplectic structure of the co-tangent bundle and the Hamiltonian system associated with the (principal) symbol of the pseudo-differential operator under investigation into account. Of course this approach is most interesting for operators in mathematical physics, but it requires certain regularity properties of the symbols and the potentials, e.g. smoothness, the existence of a principal symbol, etc. In addition to the treatment

 $<sup>2000\ \</sup>textit{Mathematics Subject Classification}.\ \text{Primary: 35P15, 47G30; Secondary: 60G52}.$ 

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalues, non-local Schrödinger operator, jump process, pseudo differential operator, intrinsic super Poincaré inequality.

The second named author is supported by Supported in part by NNSFC (11431014, 11626245, 11626250).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

in L. Hörmander [15] we refer to the monographs of M.A. Shubin [32] and V.Ya. Ivrii [16], as well as to the related work by C.L. Fefferman [12]. In [17] also a short historical account is provided. More recently, certain pseudo-differential operators which allow certain anisotropic behaviour are treated in [29].

A further shift of the topic was given when at the relation of heat semigroups to spectral geometry was looked in more detail, in particular in the context of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, we refer just to the monographs of P.B. Gilkey [13] and [14]. The interplay of probability theory and positivity preserving one-parameter semi-groups with spectral theory lead to a clarification of the role played by functional inequality, we refer to the monographs [1] and [37].

In this paper, we investigate asymptotics of eigenvalues for the Schrödinger type operator L-V by using the intrinsic super Poincaré inequality introduced in [36]. Here, L is the generator of a jump Markov process, and V is a measurable function. Comparing with Weyl's asymptotic formulae derived in the literature where regularities of L and V are required, we only use conditions on the finite-range jump rate and the growth of the potential V, due to the stability of functional inequalities under rough perturbations.

There is a lot of work done on non-local Schrödinger operators related to certain Lévy or Lévy-type processes, in particular the case of symmetric stable processes is well studied including the situation when it is restricted in some sense to a subdomain, and this is followed by work on Schrödinger operators related to subordinate Brownian motion which includes the so-called relativistic Schrödinger operator. For a general discussion of subordinate Brownian motion we refer to [34, 5].

With respect to a study of such Schrödinger operators we refer to earlier papers of K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski [3, 4], the important paper by Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song [8], to the string of papers by K.Kaleta and co-authors [21, 22, 23, 24], the work of T. Kulczycki [25], and that of M. Kwasnicki [26] as well as the papers by J. Lörinczi and co-authors [27, 33], just to mention a few contributions.

The background of our starting point differs a bit from other investigations. It is meanwhile apparent that Dirichlet form techniques and related stochastic analysis or methods from the theory of functional inequalities have lead to enormous progress in our understanding of jump-type processes, or more precisely Lévy-type processes which should be looked at as processes having as generator a pseudo-differential operator with a negative definite symbol, we refer to the recent survey initiated by R. Schilling [5]. However, it seems that certain problems are out of the reach of our current techniques, for example we lack a geometric interpretation of transition densities as we do have for local, sub-elliptic operators, or when discussing Feynman-Kac formulae and a possible semi-classical asymptotic we essentially do not have a "classical" counterpart.

In [19], see also [6], a suggestion was made to approach the first problem. In more recent work it was started to develop the Hamiltonian dynamics behind certain Lévy processes, i.e. to consider the symbol of a generators as Hamiltonian function. A good starting point is to look at  $H(q,p) = \psi(p) + V(q)$  where  $\psi$  is a certain convex, coercive negative definite function of class  $C^1$  and V is a suitable potential, see [20, 31]. As substitute for the harmonic oscillator it was proposed to consider  $H(q,p) = \psi(p) + \psi^*(q)$  where  $\psi^*$  is the conjugate convex function (Legendre transform) of  $\psi$ . In this context now arises the question whether the study of the symbol  $a(x,\xi) = \psi(\xi) + \psi^*(x)$  on the co-tangent bundle will allow us to derive for example spectral

results as they are known for elliptic differential operators, we only refer to the monograph [15] of L. Hörmander.

Since functional inequalities also can lead to information on eigenvalues, see for instance [37], it was natural to raise the question posed in the beginning. Once the problem was laid out, it was possible to employ techniques and results from the theory of functional inequalities as developed in [35, 36, 38] and to come up with some eigenvalue asymptotic, see Theorem 1.3 below. Of special interest was to include an example constructed with the help of a non-smooth, i.e. not even  $C^2$ , convex and coercive negative definite function which is anisotropic, i.e. not a subordinate Brownian motion, see Examples 2.1 and 2.2 below.

In the next section we introduce two results for  $\alpha$ -stable like Schrödinger operators, where the first allows the power  $\alpha$  varies and the second compares  $\alpha$  with a constant. Concrete examples are addressed to illustrate these results, which are proved in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

2. Main results and examples. By using the intrinsic super Poincaré inequality introduced in [36], the compactness of Schrödinger semigroups have been investigated in [38] under an abstract framework. Let E be a Polish space with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$ . Let  $(L_0, \mathcal{D}(L_0))$  be a symmetric Dirichlet operator on  $L^2(\mu)$  such that the associated Markov semigroup  $P_t^0$  is ultracontractive, i.e.  $\|P_t^0\|_{L^1(\mu)\to L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty$  for t>0. We consider the Schrödinger operator  $L_V:=L-V$ , where V is a locally integrable nonnegative measurable function on E such that  $\mathcal{D}:=\{f\in \mathcal{D}(L_0): \mu(Vf^2)<\infty\}$  is dense in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Then the Friedrichs extension  $(L_V,\mathcal{D}(L_V))$  of  $(L_V,\mathcal{D})$  is a negatively definite self-adjoint operator generating a sub-Markov semigroup  $P_t^V$  on  $L^2(\mu)$ . The following result follows from [38, Theorem 1.1] which indeed applies to a more general setting, see also [33] for an alternative proof when  $L_0=\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([38]). If  $\mu(V \leq r) < \infty$  for  $r \geq 0$ , then for any t > 0,  $P_t^V$  is compact in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

It is well know that the compactness of  $P_t^V$  is equivalent to the absence of the essential spectrum of  $L_V$ . In this case  $-L_V$  has purely discrete spectrum and all eigenvalues, including multiplicities, can be listed as

$$0 \le \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \dots \le \lambda_n \le \dots$$

with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ . In this paper we aim to investigate upper and lower bound estimates of  $\lambda_n$  for  $L_0$  being a non-local symmetric operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Let  $J: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty]$  be measurable such that

(A) J(x,y) = J(y,x) and

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} |z| \cdot |J(x, x + z) - J(x, x - z)| dz < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|z|^2 \wedge 1) J(x, x + z) dz < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $V \geq 0$  be a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the following non-local Schrödinger operator is well defined in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$L_{J,V}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ f(x+z) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle 1_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right\} J(x, x+z) dz$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\{|z|\leq 1\}}\langle\nabla f(x),z\rangle\big(J(x,x+z)-J(x,x-z)\big)\mathrm{d}z-(Vf)(x),\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Moreover, we have the following integration by parts formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (fL_{J,V}g)(x) dx = -\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,g), \quad f,g \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - f(y)) (g(x) - g(y)) J(x,y) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (Vfg)(x) dx.$$

Therefore, the form  $(\mathcal{E}_{J,V}, C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is closable and the closure  $(\mathcal{E}_{J,V}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{J,V}))$  is a symmetric Dirichlet form, the associated generator  $(L_{J,V}, \mathcal{D}(L_{J,V}))$  is the Friedrichs extension of  $(L_{J,V}, C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . Let  $P_t^{J,V}$  be the associated sub-Markov semigroup.

According to [2, Theorem 1.1], under a suitable lower bound condition on J, the Markov semigroup  $P_t^{J,0}$  generated by  $L_{J,0}$  is ultracontractive with respect to the Lebesgue measure. By Theorem 2.2, if  $V(x) \to \infty$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , the essential spectrum of  $L_{J,V}$  is empty. Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots$  be all eigenvalues of  $-L_{J,V}$ . We will estimate  $\lambda_n$  in terms of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  in (A2) and the growth of V(x) as  $|x| \to \infty$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) = 0$  if and only if f = 0. This implies  $\lambda_1 > 0$ .

To estimate  $\lambda_n$  from below, we will use the following intrinsic super Poincaré inequality introduced in [36]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(x) dx \le s \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) + \beta(s) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f\phi|(x) dx \right)^2, \quad s > 0, f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\beta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$  is a decreasing function, and  $\phi\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a reference function. Let

$$\beta^{-1}(r) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \beta(s) \le r\}, \quad r > 0,$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$  by convention. The following result is essentially due to [36], see Section 2 for a complete proof.

**Theorem 2.2** ([36]). Let  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be positive such that  $P_t^{J,V}\phi \leq e^{\lambda t}\phi$  holds for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . If (2.3) holds for some  $\beta$  with  $\beta(\infty) := \lim_{s \to \infty} \beta(s) = 0$  and

$$\Lambda(t) := \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{-1}(r)}{r} \mathrm{d}r < \infty, \quad t > 0,$$

then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ , there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\lambda_n \ge \frac{c}{\Lambda(\varepsilon n)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.4)

According to this result, to derive sharp lower bound of  $\lambda_n$ , we need to prove the inequality (2.3) for as small as possible  $\beta$ . Intuitively, to establish (2.3) with smaller  $\beta$ , we should take larger  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In this spirit, reasonable choices of  $\phi$  will be  $\phi(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-p}$  for some constant  $p > \frac{d}{4}$ , or  $\phi(x) = \varphi_k(|x|)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and p > 1, where

$$\varphi_k(s) := (1+s^2)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \cdot \left( \left\{ \log^{k+1}(e^{k+1} + s^2) \right\}^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{\log^i(e^i + s^2)} \right)^{-1}, \quad s \ge 0, \quad (2.5)$$

 $\log^{k+1} := \log^k \log$  for  $k \geq 1$ , and  $\prod_{i=1}^k := 1$  if k = 0. In general, we consider  $\phi(x) = \varphi(|x|)$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ , the class of decreasing functions  $\varphi \in C^2([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \int_0^\infty s^{d-1} \varphi(s)^2 \mathrm{d} s < \infty; \\ \text{(ii)} & \text{There exists a constant } c > 0 \text{ such that} \end{array}$

$$\sup_{r < 1+s} \left( |\varphi'(r)|(r+r^{-1}) + |\varphi''(r)| \right) + \varphi(s/2) \le c\varphi(s), \quad s \ge 0.$$

By establishing the intrinsic Poincaré inequality (2.3) for  $\phi(x) := \varphi(|x|)$ , we will derive the following main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let J satisfy (A). Assume that for some constant c > 0 and symmetric function  $\alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; (0,2))$  there holds

$$J(x,y) \ge \frac{c_1}{|z|^{d+\alpha(x,y)}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|x-y| \le \kappa\}}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (2.6)

Let  $\mathbf{a}(r) = \inf_{|x| \vee |y| \leq r} \alpha(x, y)$  and  $\Phi(R) := \inf_{|x| \geq R} V(x) \uparrow \infty$  as  $R \uparrow \infty$ . For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ and  $\Phi^{-1}(r) = \inf\{s \ge 0 : \Phi(s) \ge r\}, \ r \ge 0, \ let$ 

$$\Gamma(r) = \inf \big\{ s > 0 : s^{d/\mathbf{a}(\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1})+1)} \varphi \big( \kappa + \Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}) \big)^2 \geq r^{-1} \big\}, \quad r > 0.$$

If

$$\lambda(t) := \int_t^\infty \frac{\Gamma(r)}{r} \mathrm{d}r < \infty, \quad t > 0,$$

then there exist constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_n \ge \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda(\delta_2 n)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.7)

**Remark 2.1.** Condition (2.1) in (A) will be only used to verify the condition

$$P_t \phi(x) \le e^{ct} \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge 0,$$
 (2.8)

where  $P_t$  is the Markov for the jump process with jump kernel  $J(x,y), \phi \in \mathcal{S}$ , and c>0 is a constant depending on  $\phi$ . If the heat kernel of  $P_t$  satisfies the upper bound estimate

$$p_t(x,y) \le ct(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + |x-y|)^{-(d+\alpha)}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0$$
 (2.9)

for some constants c > 0 and  $\alpha \in (0,2)$ , then  $P_t \phi \leq C P_t^{\alpha} \phi$  holds for some constant C>0, where  $P_t^{\alpha}$  is the semigroup of the jump process with jump kernel  $J_{\alpha}(x,y):=$  $|x-y|^{-(d+\alpha)}$  which trivially satisfies condition (2.1). According to the proof of Lemma 3.1 below for  $J_{\alpha}$  replacing J, we have

$$P_{\perp}^{\alpha} \phi < e^{\lambda t} \phi$$

for some constant  $\lambda > 0$ , so that (2.8) follows. Therefore, under the heat kernel estimate (2.9), we can drop (2.1) from assumption (A) in Theorem 2.3.

Consider the following stable-like jump kernel J satisfying

$$J(x,y)1_{\{|x-y|\leq\kappa\}} = \frac{n(x,y)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha(x,y)}}1_{\{|x-y|\leq\kappa\}}, \quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$
 (2.10)

where  $\kappa > 0$  is a constant and

$$n, \alpha : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$$

are measurable and symmetric, such that

- (a) There exists a constant  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varepsilon \leq n(x,y) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}, x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ;
- (b) There exists constants  $\alpha_2 \in (0,2)$  such that  $0 < \alpha(x,y) \le \alpha_2, x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d;$ (c)  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \frac{|n(x,x+z) n(x,x-z)|}{|z|^{d+\alpha_2-1}} \mathrm{d}z < \infty.$

Then assumptions (A) holds, so that Theorem 2.3 applies.

For instance, we have the following concrete example.

**Example 2.1.** Let J be in (2.10) with

$$\alpha(x,y) = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{[\log(\beta_2 + |x| + |y|)]^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where  $\alpha_0 \in (0,2)$  and  $\beta_1 > 0, \beta_2 > 1$  such that  $\frac{\beta_1}{(\log \beta_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \in (0,2-\alpha_0)$ . If  $V(x) \ge c|x|^{\theta}$ 

holds for some constants  $c, \theta > 0$ , then for any  $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta}}{\alpha_0^2} \left(\frac{d(\alpha_0 + \theta)}{\alpha_0 \theta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$  there exists a constant  $c(\delta) > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_n \ge c(\delta) n^{\frac{\theta \alpha_0}{d(\theta + \alpha_0)}} \exp\left[\delta \sqrt{\log n}\right], \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.11)

*Proof.* We may take  $\Phi(r) = cr^{\theta}$  for large r > 0 and  $\mathbf{a}(r) = \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{\{\log(\beta_2 + 2r)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  for r > 0. Taking  $\varphi(r) = (1 + r^2)^d$ , for  $s \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}) \le c_1 s^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad \mathbf{a}(\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}) + 1) \ge \alpha_0 + \frac{\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta}}{\sqrt{\log s^{-1}}} + o((\log s^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

So, there exist constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$s^{d/\mathbf{a}(\Phi(2s^{-1})+1)} \le s^{\frac{d}{\alpha_0} - \frac{d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta}}{\alpha_0^2 \sqrt{\log s^{-1}} + c_1}}$$
$$\le c_2 s^{\frac{d}{\alpha_0}} \exp\left[-\frac{d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta}}{\alpha_0^2} \sqrt{\log s^{-1}}\right], \quad s \in (0, s_0 \wedge e^{-1}].$$

Let  $\varphi(r) = (1+r^2)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \{ \log(e+r^2) \}^{-2}$ . Then  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  and for any constant  $\delta' \in (0, d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta} \alpha_0^{-2})$ , there exists constant  $c_1(\delta') > 0$  such that

$$s^{d/\mathbf{a}(\Phi(2s^{-1})+1)}\varphi\big(\kappa\!+\!\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1})\big)^2\!\leq\! c(\delta')s^{\frac{d(\theta+\alpha_0)}{\theta\alpha_0}}\exp\Big[\!-\!\delta'(\log s^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}\!\Big],\;s\in(0,s_0\wedge\mathrm{e}^{-1}].$$

Then there exists a constant  $c_2(\delta') > 0$  such that

$$\Gamma(r) \le c_2(\delta') r^{-\frac{\theta \alpha_0}{d(\theta + \alpha_0)}} \exp\left[-\delta' \left(\frac{d(\theta + \alpha_0)}{\theta \alpha_0}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\log r}\right], \quad r \ge 1,$$

so that for some constant  $c_3(\delta') > 0$ ,

$$\lambda(t) := \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(r)}{r} dr \le c_3(\delta') t^{-\frac{\theta \alpha_0}{d(\theta + \alpha_0)}} \exp \left[ -\delta' \left( \frac{d(\theta + \alpha_0)}{\theta \alpha_0} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\log t} \right], \quad t \ge 1.$$

Since  $\delta' \in (0, d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta} \alpha_0^{-2})$  is arbitrary, this implies (2.11) for  $\delta \in (0, \frac{d\beta_1 \sqrt{\theta}}{\alpha_0^2} (\frac{d(\alpha_0 + \theta)}{\alpha_0 \theta})^{\frac{3}{2}})$ .

When J is comparable with the  $\alpha$ -stable kernel of finite range, we have the following sharp result. But in general the lower bound estimate (2.13) may be less sharp than that given in Theorem 2.3. For instance, applying Theorem 2.4(1) to Example 2.1 one only derives

$$\lambda_n > cn^{\frac{\theta\alpha_0}{d(\theta+\alpha_0)}}, \quad n > 1$$

which is less sharp than (2.11).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let J satisfy (A) and let  $\theta, \kappa > 0, \alpha \in (0, 2)$  be constants.

(1) If there exists a constant c > 0 such that  $V(x) \ge c|x|^{\theta}$  for large |x|, and

$$J(x,y) \ge \frac{c}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|z| \le \kappa\}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.12}$$

then there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_n \ge \delta n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{d(\theta + \alpha)}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.13)

(2) If there exists a constant c > 0 such that  $V(x) \le c|x|^{\theta}$  for large |x|, and

$$J(x,y)1_{\{|x-y| \le \kappa\}} \le \frac{c}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
 (2.14)

then there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\lambda_n \le \delta n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{d(\theta + \alpha)}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.15)

We now apply Theorem 2.4 to specific models induced by symbols of pseudo differential operators. Let

$$\psi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \cos x \cdot \xi) \nu(\mathrm{d}x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for a Lévy measure  $\nu$  with  $\nu(1 \wedge |\cdot|^2) < \infty$ . Typical examples of  $\psi$  include  $\psi(\xi) = |\xi|^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , or in general

$$\psi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} |\xi_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} \right)^{\beta_j}$$

for some constants  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c_i, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_j > 0$  with  $\beta_j \max_i \alpha_{ij} < 2$ . Let  $\psi(D)$  be the pseudo differential operator induced by  $\psi$ , see for instance [18]. We consider the Schrödinger operator

$$L_{\psi,V} := -\psi(D) + V$$

for a nonnegative potential V.

## Example 2.2. Assume that

$$c_1|\xi|^{\alpha} \le \psi(\xi) \le c_2(|\xi|^{\alpha} + |\xi|^{\alpha'}), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
 (2.16)

holds for some constants  $2 > \alpha \ge \alpha' > 0$  and  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ . If

$$c_3|x|^{\theta} \le V(x) \le c_4|x|^{\theta} \text{ for large } |x|,$$
 (2.17)

holds for some constants  $\theta$ ,  $c_3$ ,  $c_4 > 0$ , then

$$\delta_1 \delta n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{d(\theta + \alpha)}} \le \lambda_n \le \delta_2 n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{d(\theta + \alpha)}}, \quad n \ge 1$$
 (2.18)

holds for some constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that  $L_{\psi,V} = L_{J,V}$  for some jump rate J with J(x,y) = J(0,x-y) = J(0,y-x) so that (A1) holds, and by (2.16), there exists a constant C > 1 such that

$$\frac{1_{\{|x-y|\leq 1\}}}{C|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}\leq J(x,y)1_{\{|x-y|\leq 1\}}\leq \frac{C1_{\{|x-y|\leq 1\}}}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}},\ x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then the proof is finished by Theorem 2.4.

**Example 2.3.** Consider, for instance,  $\psi(\xi) = (|\xi_1|^{r_1} + |\xi_2|^{\beta_1})^{\gamma_1} + (|\xi_1|^{r_2} + |\xi_2|^{\beta_2})^{\gamma_2}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for some constants  $r_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i > 0$  such that  $r_1\gamma_1 = \beta_2\gamma_2, r_2\gamma_2 = \beta_1\gamma_1 \in (0, 2)$ . Then condition (2.16) holds for

$$\alpha_1 := \max\{r_1\gamma_1, \beta_1\gamma_1\}, \quad \alpha_2 := \min\{r_1\gamma_1, \beta_1\gamma_1\}.$$

By Theorem 2.4, if (2.17) holds then eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  of  $-L_{\psi,V}$  satisfies (2.18) for some constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ .

Moreover, for  $r_1\gamma_1>r_2\gamma_2>1$  the function  $\psi$  is coercive, convex, negative definite and satisfies

$$K_0|\xi|^{r_1\gamma_1} \le \psi(\xi) \le K_1(|\xi|^{r_1\gamma_1} + |\xi|^{r_2\gamma_2})$$

for some constants  $K_0, K_1 > 0$ . The convex conjugate function  $\psi^*$  is again coercive, i.e.  $\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} \frac{\psi^*(\xi)}{|\xi|} = \infty$ , and satisfies  $\psi^*(\xi) \le K_2 |\xi|^{(r_1 \gamma_1)^*}$  for  $(r_1 \gamma_1)^* := \frac{r_1 \gamma_1}{r_1 \gamma_1 - 1}$ . With the help of [11, Theorem 3 on page 87], we deduce that  $\psi^* \ge 0$ . Hence we may apply Theorem 2.4 to  $\psi(D) + \psi^*(x)$ .

### 3. **Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We first prove Theorem 2.2 using results in [36].

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Without loss of generality, we may and do assume that  $\mu_{\phi}(\mathrm{d}x) := \phi(x)^2 \mathrm{d}x$  is a probability measure. Simply denote  $P_t = P_t^{J,V} = \mathrm{e}^{tL_{J,V}}$ , the (sub)-Markov semigroup generated by  $L_{J,V}$ . We consider the following symmetric semigroup  $P_t^{\phi}$  on  $L^2(\mu_{\phi})$ :

$$P_t^{\phi} f(x) := \phi^{-1} P_t(f\phi), \quad f \in L^2(\mu_{\phi}), \quad t \ge 0.$$

According to [36, Theorem 3.3(1)] for  $\inf \beta = 0$ ,  $P_t^{\phi}$  has a symmetric heat kernel  $p_t^{\phi}(x,y)$  with respect to  $\mu_{\phi}$ , i.e.

$$P_t^{\phi} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{\phi}(x, y) f(y) \phi(y)^2 dy, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_{\phi}), t > 0,$$

such that

$$\sup p_t^{\phi} = \|P_t^{\phi}\|_{L^1(\mu_{\phi}) \to L^{\infty}(\mu_{\phi})} \le e^{\lambda t} \Lambda^{-1}(\varepsilon t)^2, \quad t > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$$

where  $\Lambda^{-1}(t) := \inf\{r > 0 : \Lambda(r) \le t\} < \infty$ , t > 0. Since  $\Lambda^{-1}$  is continuous, by letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we obtain  $p_t^{\phi} \le e^{\lambda t} \Lambda^{-1}(t)^2$ . Consequently, the heat kernel  $p_t$  of  $P_t$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure has the upper bound estimate

$$p_t(x,y) \le \phi(x)\phi(y)e^{\lambda t}\Lambda^{-1}(t), \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In particular,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, x) dx \leq e^{\lambda t} \Lambda^{-1}(t)$ , t > 0. Combining this with [36, Theorem 2.4], we obtain

$$\lambda_n \ge \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} \log \frac{n e^{-\lambda t}}{\Lambda^{-1}(t)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

With  $t = \Lambda(\varepsilon n)$  this implies

$$\lambda_n \ge \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-\lambda \Lambda(\varepsilon n)})}{\Lambda(\varepsilon n)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (3.1)

Since  $\Lambda(r) \to 0$  as  $r \to \infty$ , there exists  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that  $c_0 := \varepsilon^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \Lambda(\varepsilon n_0)} > 1$ . By the decreasing monotonicity of  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\varepsilon^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(\varepsilon n)} \ge c_0 > 1$  for  $n \ge n_0$ . So, (3.1) implies (2.4) for  $c := \log c_0 > 0$  and  $n \ge n_0$ . Combining this with  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , we conclude that (2.4) holds for  $c := \min\{\log c_0, \lambda_1 \Lambda(\varepsilon n_0)\} > 0$  and all  $n \ge 1$ .

To prove Theorem 2.3 using Theorem 2.2, we first verify  $P_t^{J,V}\phi \leq \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}\phi$  for  $\phi := \varphi(|\cdot|)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For any positive  $\varphi \in C^2([0,\infty)$  satisfying condition (ii), there exists a constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$P_t^{J,V}\varphi(|\cdot|)(x) \le e^{\lambda t}\varphi(|\cdot|)(x), \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ . Then condition (ii) implies

$$\sup_{|z| \le 1} |z|^{-2} |\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle| \le \sup_{|z| \le 1} \|\operatorname{Hess}_{\phi}(x+z)\|$$

$$\le \sup_{r \in [0, 1+|x|]} \left( \frac{|\varphi'(r)|}{r} + |\varphi''(r)| \right) \le c_1 \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(3.2)

for some constant  $c_1 > 0$ . Next, if  $|x+z| \ge \frac{1}{2}|x|$ , then (ii) implies

$$\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) \le \phi(|x|/2) \le c\phi(x)$$

for some constant c>0; while if  $|x+z|<\frac{1}{2}|x|$  then  $\frac{1}{2}|x|\leq |z|\leq \frac{3}{2}|x|$ , so that (ii) gives

$$\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) \le |z| \int_0^1 |\varphi'(|x+sz|)| ds \le c \sup_{r \in (0, \frac{5}{5}|x|]} r|\varphi'(r)| \le c'\phi(x)$$

for some constants c, c' > 0. Combining these with (3.2) we conclude that

$$|\phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle 1_{\{|z| < 1\}}| \le c_2(|z|^2 \wedge 1)\phi(x)$$

holds for some constant  $c_2 > 0$ . Therefore, it follows from condition (2.2) in (A) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle 1_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) J(x, x+z) dz \le c_3 \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (3.3)$$

holds for some constant  $c_3 > 0$ . Moreover, by (i) and condition (2.1) in (A), we have

$$\left| \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle \left( J(x, x+z) - J(x, x-z) \right) dz \right|$$

$$\le c_4 \phi(x) \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} |z| \cdot \left| J(x, x+z) - J(x, x-z) \right| dz \le c_5 \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some constants  $c_4, c_5 > 0$ . This together with (3.3) yields

$$L^{J,0}\phi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \phi(x+z) - \phi(x) - \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle 1_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \right) J(x, x+z) dz$$
$$+ \int_{\{|z| \le 1\}} \langle \nabla \phi(x), z \rangle \left( J(x, x+z) - J(x, x-z) \right) dz \le \lambda \phi(x)$$

for some constant  $\lambda \geq 0$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Therefore, letting  $X_t^x$  be the jump process with jump rate J starting at x, we obtain

$$P_t^{J,0}\phi(x):=\mathbb{E}[\phi(X_t^x)]\leq \mathrm{e}^{\lambda t}\phi(x),\ \ t\geq 0, x\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

By Feynman-Kac formula and  $V \geq 0$ , we conclude that

$$P_t^{J,V}\phi(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[\phi(X_t^x)e^{-\int_0^t V(X_s^x)ds}\right] \le P_t^{J,0}\phi(x) \le e^{\lambda t}\phi(x), \quad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* According to Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, it suffices to estimate the rate function  $\beta$  in (2.3).

Let  $\phi(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ . We first prove the following local super Poincaré inequality

$$\int_{B(0,r)} f^{2}(x)dx \le s\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) + c\alpha(r,s) \left( \int_{B(0,r+1)} (|f|\phi)(x) dx \right)^{2}, \quad s > 0, r \ge 1,$$
(3.4)

for some constant c > 0, where

$$\alpha(r,s) := \frac{c(1+s^{-\frac{d}{\mathbf{a}(r+1)}})}{\varphi(r+1)^2}.$$
(3.5)

Indeed, for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $t \in (0, \kappa \wedge 1]$ , let

$$f_t(x) = \frac{1}{|B(0,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

According to step (ii) in the proof of [7, Proposition 3.5], we have

$$\int_{B(0,r)} f^{2}(x) dx \leq 2 \int_{B(0,r)} |f(x) - f_{t}(x)|^{2} dx + 2 \int_{B(0,r)} f_{t}^{2}(x) dx 
\leq \frac{2}{|B(0,t)|} \left\{ \int_{B(0,r) \times B(x,t)} |f(x) - f(y)|^{2} dx dy + \left( \int_{B(0,r+t)} |f(y)| dy \right)^{2} \right\}.$$
(3.6)

Since  $t \le \kappa \land 1$ , by (2.12) for  $(x, y) \in B(0, r) \times B(x, t)$  we have

$$J(x,y) \ge \frac{c_1}{|x-y|d+\alpha(x,y)|} \ge \frac{c_2}{t^{d+\mathbf{a}(r+1)}},$$

so that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} |f(x) - f_t(x)|^2 dx \le c_2^{-1} t^{d+\mathbf{a}(r+1)} \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f).$$
(3.7)

Moreover, since  $\phi(x) = \varphi(|x|)$  is decreasing in |x|, it holds that

$$\int_{B(0,r+t)} |f(y)| dy \le \frac{1}{\varphi(r+1)} \int_{B(0,r+t)} |f\phi(y)| dy, \quad t \in (0, 1 \land \kappa].$$

Combining this with (3.6) and (3.7), we may find a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} f^2(x) dx \le c_3 t^{\mathbf{a}(r+1)} \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) + \frac{c_3}{t^d \varphi(r+1)^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f\phi|(x) dx \right)^2,$$

$$t \in (0, 1 \wedge \kappa], r \ge 1.$$

Taking  $s = c_3 t^{\mathbf{a}(r+1)}$  and noting that  $\kappa^{\mathbf{a}(r+1)} \ge 1 \wedge \kappa^2$ , we may find out constants  $c_4, s_0 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} f^2(x) \mathrm{d} x \le s \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) + \frac{c_4 s^{\frac{d}{\mathbf{a}(r+1)}}}{\varphi(r+1)^2} \bigg( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f\phi|(x) \mathrm{d} x \bigg)^2, \quad s \in (0,s_0], r \ge 1.$$

This implies (3.4).

We now estimate  $\beta$  in (2.3) using (3.4) and the growth function  $\Phi$  of V. We first assume inf V > 0 then extend to the general case.

By [7, Proposition 3.5], condition (2.12) implies the following local super Poincaré inequality holds for

(a) Let  $K := \frac{1}{2} \inf V > 0$ . Then

$$\Phi(R) := \inf_{|x| \ge R, V(x) > K} V(x) = \inf_{|x| \ge R} V(x),$$

$$\Theta(R) := \text{vol}(\{x : |x| \ge R, V(x) \le K\}) = 0. \quad R > 0.$$

Combining this with (3.5), we may apply [7, Theorem 2.1] for  $r_0 = 0$  to obtain (2.3) with

$$\beta(s) = \delta_3 \alpha \left( \Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}), s/2 \right)$$

$$\leq \delta_4 \left( 1 + s^{-d/\mathbf{a}(\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1})+1)} \right) \varphi (1 + \Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}))^{-2}, \quad s > 0$$

for some constants  $\delta_3, \delta_4 > 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , when  $s \ge \lambda_1$ , (2.3) holds for  $\beta(s) = 0$ . Therefore, there exists a constant  $\delta_5 > 0$  such that (2.3) holds for

$$\beta(s) := \delta_5 s^{-d/\mathbf{a}(\Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1})+1)} \varphi(1 + \Phi^{-1}(2s^{-1}))^{-2} =: \delta_5 \tilde{\beta}(s), \quad s > 0.$$

Then, for  $\Gamma$  in Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\beta^{-1}(r) := \inf\{s > 0 : \beta(s) \le r\} = \inf\{s > 0 : \tilde{\beta}(s) \le \delta_5^{-1}r\} = \Gamma(\delta_5 r), \quad r > 0.$$

Thus.

$$\Lambda(t) := \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{-1}(r)}{r} dr = \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\delta_{5}r)}{r} dr = \lambda(\delta_{5}t), \quad t > 0.$$

According to Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, this implies (2.7) for some constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ .

(b) In general, let  $\bar{V} = V + \lambda_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) \leq \mathcal{E}_{J,\bar{V}}(f,f)$ , (2.3) also holds for  $\mathcal{E}_{J,\bar{V}}$  replacing  $\mathcal{E}_{J,V}$ . Since inf  $\bar{V} \geq \lambda_1 > 0$ , by (a) we see that (2.7) holds for the eigenvalues  $\bar{\lambda}_n$  of  $-L_{J,\bar{V}}$ , i.e.

$$\bar{\lambda}_n \ge \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda(\delta_2 n)}, \quad n \ge 1$$

holds for some constants  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ . Noting that  $\bar{\lambda}_n = \lambda_n + \lambda_1 \leq 2\lambda_n$ , we prove (2.7) for  $2\delta_1$  replacing  $\delta_1$ .

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.4.** We first present a general result on the lower bound estimate by using [36, Theorem 2.4]. Let  $E, \mu, (L_0, \mathcal{D}(L_0)), P_t^0, (L_V, \mathcal{D}(L_V))$  and  $P_t^V$  be in the beginning of Section 2. Assume that  $P_t^0$  and  $P_t^V$  are realized by a Markov process  $X_t^i$ ; i.e. for any  $x \in E$ ,  $X_t^x$  is a Markov process on E starting at x such that

$$P_t^0 f(x) = \mathbb{E}f(X_t^x), \quad P_t^V f(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^x)e^{-\int_0^t V(X_s^x)ds}\right]$$
 (4.1)

holds for  $x \in E, t \geq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E) \cap L^2(\mu)$ . We have the following result.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that

$$\rho_1(t) := \|P_t^0\|_{L^1(\mu) \to L^\infty(\mu)} < \infty, \quad \rho_2(t) := \int_E e^{-2tV} d\mu < \infty, \quad t > 0.$$

Then  $-L_V$  has purely discrete specturm and the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_n^V)_{n\geq 1}$  satisfies

$$\lambda_n^V \ge \inf_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \log \frac{n+1}{\rho_1(t)\rho_2(t)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E) \cap L^2(\mu)$ . By (4.1) and the Schwarz/Jensen inequalities, we obtain

$$P_t^V f(x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[f(X_t^x) e^{-\int_0^t V(X_s^x) ds}\Big] \le \sqrt{P_t^0 f^2(x)} \mathbb{E}e^{-2\int_0^t V(X_s^x) ds}$$
$$\le \sqrt{\rho_1(t)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t P_s^0 e^{-2tV}(x) ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This implies that  $P_t^V$  has a density  $p_t^V(x,y)$  with respect to  $\mu$  and

$$p_{2t}^{V}(x,x) = \int_{E} p_{t}^{V}(x,y)^{2} \mu(\mathrm{d}y) \le \frac{\rho_{1}(t)}{t} \int_{0}^{t} P_{s}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{-2tV}(x) \mathrm{d}s.$$

Since  $\mu$  is  $P_s^0$ -invariant, this implies

$$\mu(p_{2t}^V) := \int_E \rho_{2t}^V(x, x) \mu(\mathrm{d}x) \le \rho_1(t) \rho_2(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, [36, Theorem 2.4] gives

$$\lambda_n^V \geq \inf_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \log \frac{n+1}{\mu(p_{2t}^V)} \geq \inf_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \log \frac{n+1}{\rho_1(t)\rho_2(t)}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We will also use the following variational formula of  $\lambda_n$ :

$$\lambda_n = \inf_{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sup_{u \in B(u_1, \dots, u_n)} \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(u, u), \quad n \ge 1, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $B(u_1, \dots, u_n) := \{u \in \operatorname{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)^2 dx = 1\}$ , and  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$  means that

$$u_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{J,V}), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_i u_j)(x) dx = 1_{\{i=j\}}, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n.$$
 (4.3)

Proof of Theorem 2.4(1). Let  $p_t^{\alpha,\theta}(x,y)$  be the heat kernel of the Schrödinger semi-group  $P_t^{\alpha,\theta}$  generated by

$$L_{\alpha,\theta} := -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - |\cdot|^{\theta}.$$

It is well known that

$$\rho_1(t) := \sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{\alpha}(x,y) \le c_1 t^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}}, \quad t > 0$$

holds for some constant  $c_1 > 0$ . Next, there exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\rho_2(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2|x|^{\theta}} dx \le c_2 t^{-\frac{d}{\theta}}, \quad t > 0.$$

So, by Proposition 4.1, the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n^{\alpha,\theta}\}_{n\geq 1}$  of  $L_{\alpha,\theta}$  satisfy

$$\lambda_n^{\alpha,\theta} \ge \inf_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \log \left[ \frac{n+1}{c_1 c_2} t^{\frac{d(\alpha+\theta)}{\alpha\theta}} \right] \ge c_3 n^{\frac{\alpha\theta}{d(\alpha+\theta)}}, \quad n \ge 1$$
 (4.4)

for some constant  $c_3 > 0$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\theta}$  be the Dirichlet form associated to  $L_{\alpha,\theta}$ . By (2.12) and  $V(x) \geq c|x|^{\theta}$  for large |x|,

$$\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) \ge c_4 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\theta}(f,f) - c_5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(x) dx$$
 (4.5)

holds for some constants  $c_4, c_5 > 0$ . Combining this with (4.2) and (4.4), we prove (2.13) for some constant  $\delta > 0$  and large enough n. Since  $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_1 > 0$ , (2.13) holds for some constant  $\delta > 0$  and all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.4(2).* Similarly to (4.5), condition (2.14) and  $V(x) \leq c|x|^{\theta}$  for large |x| imply

$$\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(f,f) \le c' \mathcal{E}_{\alpha,\theta}(f,f) + c' \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^2(x) dx$$

for some constant c' > 0. Combining this with (4.2), we may and do assume that

$$V(x) = |x|^{\theta}, \quad J(x,y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (4.6)

(1) To construct suitable functions  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , let

$$\xi(k) = k^{\frac{\alpha}{\theta + \alpha}}, \quad k \ge 1,$$

$$h_k(s) = \min \left\{ (s - \xi(k))^+, (\xi(k+1) - s)^+ \right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, k \ge 1.$$

Obviously, there exists a constant  $c_0 > 1$  such that

$$c_0^{-1} k^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}} \le \xi(k+1) - \xi(k) \le c_0 k^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}}, \quad k \ge 1.$$
 (4.7)

Next, for any  $n \geq 1$ , let

$$G_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^d = \{(k_1, \dots, k_d) : 1 \le k_i \le n, 1 \le i \le d\}.$$

Define

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{d} h_{k_i}(x_i), \quad \mathbf{k} := (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in G_n.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}'})(x) \mathrm{d}x = 0, \quad \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}',$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_{\mathbf{k}}(x)^2 dx = \prod_{i=1}^d \left( 2 \int_{\xi(k_i)}^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi(k_i) + \xi(k_i + 1))} (s - \xi(k_i))^2 ds \right) 
= \prod_{i=1}^d \left( 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi(k_i + 1) - \xi(k_i))} s^2 ds \right) = \frac{1}{6^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \left( \xi(k_i + 1) - \xi(k_i) \right)^3.$$
(4.8)

So, there exists a constant  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 \prod_{i=1}^{d} k_i^{-\frac{3\theta}{\theta+\alpha}} \le I_{\mathbf{k}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_{\mathbf{k}}(x)^2 dx \le c_2 \prod_{i=1}^{d} k_i^{-\frac{3\theta}{\theta+\alpha}}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in G_n, n \ge 1.$$
 (4.9)

Since every  $u_{\mathbf{k}}$  is Lipschitz continuous with compact support, we have  $u_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_{J,V})$ . Since  $G_n$  contains  $n^d$  many numbers, we have  $\left(I_{\mathbf{k}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}u_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{k} \in G_n\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{n^d}$  so that by (4.2),

$$\lambda_{n^d} \le \sup_{u \in B(u_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{k} \in G_n)} \mathcal{E}_{J,V}(u, u), \quad n \ge 1.$$
(4.10)

(2) To estimate the upper bound in (4.10), we first bound  $\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(u_{\mathbf{k}}, u_{\mathbf{k}})$  by  $I_k$ . We Observe that

$$u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \neq 0 \text{ implies}$$
  
 $\xi(k_i) - |z_i| \leq x_i \leq \xi(k_i+1) + |z_i| \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$  (4.11)

Indeed,  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \neq 0$  only if at leat one of  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z)$  and  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x)$  is non-zero, so that either  $\xi(k_i) \leq x_i \leq \xi(k_i+1)$  or  $\xi(k_i) \leq x_i + z_i \leq \xi(k_i+1)$  holds, which ensures the assertion. For any  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we take

$$z^{0} = 0, \quad z^{i} = (z_{1}, z_{2}, \dots, z_{i}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad 1 \le i \le d.$$

By (4.6), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \right)^{2} J(x, x+z) dz$$

$$\leq d \sum_{i=1}^{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i}) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1}) \right)^{2} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}.$$

$$(4.12)$$

According to (4.11),  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^i) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1}) \neq 0$  implies

$$\xi(k_i) - |z_i| \le x_i \le \xi(k_i + 1) + |z_i|.$$

This together with the Lipschitz continuity of  $u_{\mathbf{k}}$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} &1_{\{|z_{i}| \leq \xi(k_{i}+1) - \xi(k_{i})\}} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i}) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1})\right)^{2} \\ &\leq 1_{\{|z_{i}| \leq \xi(k_{i}+1) - \xi(k_{i})\}} 1_{\{\xi(k_{i}) - |z_{i}| \leq x_{i} \leq \xi(k_{i}+1) + |z_{i}|\}} z_{i}^{2} \prod_{j \neq i} (h_{k_{j}}(x_{j} + (z^{i-1})_{j}))^{2} \\ &\leq 1_{\{|z_{i}| \leq \xi(k_{i}+1) - \xi(k_{i})\}} 1_{\{2\xi(k_{i}) - \xi(k_{i}+1) \leq x_{i} \leq 2\xi(k_{i}+1) - \xi(k_{i})\}} z_{i}^{2} \prod_{j \neq i} (h_{k_{j}}(x_{j} + (z^{i-1})_{j}))^{2}, \\ &x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}. \end{aligned}$$

As in (4.8) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \prod_{j \neq i} (h_{k_j} (x_j + (z^{i-1})_j))^2 \prod_{j \neq i} dx_j = 6^{1-d} \prod_{j \neq i} (\xi(k_j + 1) - \xi(k_j))^3.$$

Combining these with (4.7) and (4.9), we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} dx \int_{\{z:|z_{i}| \leq \xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i})\}} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i})-u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1})\right)^{2} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz$$

$$\leq c_{3} \left\{ \prod_{j\neq i} (\xi(k_{j}+1)-\xi(k_{j}))^{3} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i}) \right\}$$

$$\times \int_{\{z:|z_{i}| \leq \xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i})\}} z_{i}^{2} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz$$

$$\leq c_{4} I_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}^{\frac{2\theta}{\theta+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i})} s^{2} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} (|s+|\tilde{z}|)^{-(d+\alpha)} d\tilde{z}$$

$$\leq c_{5} I_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}^{\frac{2\theta}{\theta+\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i})} \frac{s^{2}}{s^{1+\alpha}} ds$$

$$= c_{5} I_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}^{\frac{2\theta}{\theta+\alpha}} \frac{(\xi(k_{i}+1)-\xi(k_{i}))^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}$$

$$\leq c_{6} I_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}^{\frac{2\theta}{\theta+\alpha}} k_{i}^{-\frac{\theta(2-\alpha)}{\theta+\alpha}} = c_{6} I_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{\theta+\alpha}}, \quad k \in G_{n}, 1 \leq i \leq d, n \geq 1$$

for some constants  $c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6 > 0$ . On the other hand, by (4.7),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx \int_{\{z:|z_i|>\xi(k_i+1)-\xi(k_i)\}} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^i) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1})\right)^2 |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz 
\leq 2 \int_{\{z:|z_i|>\xi(k_i+1)-\xi(k_i)\}} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^i)^2 + u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z^{i-1})^2\right) dx 
= 4I_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\{z:|z_i|>\xi(k_i+1)-\xi(k_i)\}} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz$$

$$\leq 4I_{\mathbf{k}} \int_{\{|z| > \xi(k_i+1) - \xi(k_i)\}} |z|^{-(d+\alpha)} dz$$

$$\leq c_7 I_{\mathbf{k}} (\xi(k_i+1) - \xi(k_i))^{-\alpha}$$

$$\leq c_8 I_{\mathbf{k}} k_i^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{\theta+\alpha}}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in G_n, 1 \leq i \leq d, n \geq 1$$

holds for some constants  $c_7, c_8 > 0$ . Combining this with (4.12), (4.13), and that  $k_i \leq n$  for  $\mathbf{k} \in G_n$ , we arrive at

$$\mathcal{E}_{J}(u_{\mathbf{k}}, u_{\mathbf{k}}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} \left( u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \right)^{2} J(x, x+z) dx dz \le c_{9} I_{\mathbf{k}} n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{\theta + \alpha}}, \ \mathbf{k} \in G_{n}, n \ge 1$$

$$(4.14)$$

for some constants  $c, c_9 > 0$ . Finally, by (4.6) and noting that supp  $u_{\mathbf{k}} \subset \{|\cdot| \leq d\xi(n+1)\}$  for  $\mathbf{k} \in G_n$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 V)(x) dx \le c_{10} I_{\mathbf{k}} \xi(n+1)^{\theta} \le c_{11} I_{\mathbf{k}} n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{\theta + \alpha}}, \quad \mathbf{k} \in G_n, n \ge 1$$

$$(4.15)$$

for some constants  $c_{10}, c_{11} > 0$ .

(3) Now, for any  $u \in B(u_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{k} \in G_n)$ , we have

$$u = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in G_n} a_k \frac{u_\mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{I_k}}, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in G_n} a_k^2 = 1.$$

Since  $u_{\mathbf{k}}u_{\mathbf{k}'} = 0$  for  $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}'$ , (4.15) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u^2 V)(x) dx = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in G_n} a_k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 V)(x) dx \le c_{11} n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{\theta + \alpha}}. \tag{4.16}$$

On the other hand, by (4.11),  $u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \neq 0$  implies

$$\xi(k_i) - |z_i| \le x_i \le \xi(k_i + 1) + |z_i|, \quad 1 \le i \le d.$$

So, for any  $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in G_n$ ,

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \cdot |u_{\mathbf{k}'}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}'}(x)| \neq 0$$
 (4.17)

only if

$$|z_i| \ge \frac{1}{2} \{ \xi(k_i \vee k_i') - \xi(k_i \wedge k_i' + 1) \}, \quad 1 \le i \le d.$$

Noting that  $|k_i - k_i'| \ge 2$  implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \xi(k_i \vee k_i') - \xi(k_i \wedge k_i' + 1) \right\} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \xi(k_i \vee k_i') - \xi(k_i \vee k_i' - 1) \right\} \\
\ge c_{12} (k_i \vee k_i')^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}} \ge c_{12} n^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}}$$

for some constants  $c_{12} > 0$ . Then, when  $\|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'\|_{\infty} := \max_{1 \le i \le d} |k_i - k_i'| \ge 2$ , (4.17) implies  $|z| \ge c_{12} n^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}}$ . Combining this with (4.6) and (4.14), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{E}_{J}(u,u) \leq c_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} 1_{\{|z| \geq c_{13}n - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}\}} \frac{|u(x+z) - u(x)|^{2}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dxdz$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} 1_{\{|z| < c_{12}n - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}\}} |u(x+z) - u(x)|^{2} J(x,x+z) dxdz$$

$$\leq 2c_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}} 1_{\{|z| \geq c_{12}n - \frac{\theta}{\theta + \alpha}\}} \frac{u(x+z)^{2} + u(x)^{2}}{|z|^{d+\alpha}} dxdz$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in G_{n}, ||\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'||_{\infty} \leq 1} |a_{\mathbf{k}}a_{\mathbf{k}'}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}}$$

$$|u_{\mathbf{k}}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}}(x)| \cdot |u_{\mathbf{k}'}(x+z) - u_{\mathbf{k}'}(x)| J(x, x+z) dx dz$$

$$\leq 4c_2 \int_{\{|z| \geq c_{12}n^{-\frac{\theta}{\theta+\alpha}}\}} \frac{dz}{|z|^{d+\alpha}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in G_n, ||\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'||_{\infty} \leq 1} \left( \frac{a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \mathcal{E}_J(u_{\mathbf{k}}, u_{\mathbf{k}})}{I_{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{a_{\mathbf{k}'}^2 \mathcal{E}_J(u_{\mathbf{k}'}, u_{\mathbf{k}'})}{I_{\mathbf{k}'}} \right)$$

$$\leq c_{13} n^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{\theta+\alpha}}$$

for some constant  $c_{13} > 0$ , where we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(x+z)^2 dx = 1.$$

This together with (4.16) yields

$$\mathcal{E}_{J,V}(u,u) \le cn^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{\theta+\alpha}}, \quad n \ge 1, u \in B(u_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{k} \in G_n)$$

for some constant c > 0. Therefore, by (4.10) we obtain

$$\lambda_{n^d} \le c n^{\frac{\theta \alpha}{\theta + \alpha}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

For any  $n \geq 1$ , letting  $r_n = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : i \geq n^{\frac{1}{d}}\}$ , we arrive at

$$\lambda_n \le \lambda_{(1+r_n)^d} \le c(1+r_n)^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{\theta+\alpha}} \le Cn^{\frac{\theta\alpha}{d(\theta+\alpha)}}$$

for some constant C > 0.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank Professors Mateusz Kwaśnicki, Jian Wang and the referee for helpful comments. In particular, the sharp lower bound in Theorem 2.4 is observed by Jian Wang.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bakry, I. Gentil and M. Ledoux, Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators, Springer, 2014.
- [2] M. T. Barlow, R. F. Bass, Z.-Q. Chen and M. Kassmann, Non-local Dirichlet forms and symmetric jump processes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **361** (2009), 1963–1999.
- K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski, Potential theory for α-stable Schrödinger operators on bounded Lipschitz domains, Studia Math., 133 (1999), 53–92.
- [4] K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski, Boundary potential theory for Schrödinger operators based on fractional Laplacians, In Potential Theory of Stable Processes and its Extensions. P. Graczyk, A. Stos (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1980, Springer Verlag, 2009, p. 25–55.
- [5] B. Böttcher, R. L. Schilling and J. Wang, Lévy-type Processes: Construction, Approximation and Sample Path Properties, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2099, Springer Verlag, 2013.
- [6] L. Bray and N. Jacob, Some considerations on the structure of transition densities of symmetric Lévy processes, Commun. Stoch. Anal., 10 (2016), 405–420.
- [7] X. Chen and J. Wang, Intrinsic ultracontractivity of Feynman-Kac semigroups for symmetric jump processes, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **270** (2016), 4152–4195.
- [8] Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song, Two-sided eigenvalue estimates for subordinate processes in domains, J. Funct. Anal., 226 (2005), 90–113.
- [9] Z.-Q. Chen and X. Zhang, Heat kernels and analyticity of non-symmetric jump diffusion semigroups, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **165** (2016), 267–312.
- [10] H. Cycon, R. Froese, W. Kirsch and B. Simon, Schrödinger Operators with Application to Quantum Mechanics and Global Geometry, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [11] I. Ekeland, Convexity Methods in Hamiltonian Mechanics, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Ser. 3, Vol. 19, Springer Verlag, 1990.

- [12] C. L. Fefferman, The uncertainty principle, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Series), 9 (1983), 129–206.
- [13] P. B. Gilkey, Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton FL, 1995.
- [14] P. B. Gilkey, Asymptotic Formulae in Spectral Geometry, Chapman & Hall /CRC Press, Boca Baton, Fl, 2004.
- [15] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators IV, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 275, Springer Veralg, 1985.
- [16] V. Ya. Ivrii, Microlocal Analysis and Precise Spectral Asymptotics, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1998.
- [17] V. Ya. Ivrii, 100 years of Weyl's law, Bull. Math. Sci., 6 (2016), 379-452.
- [18] N. Jacob, Pseudo-Differential Operators and Markov Processes, Vol I-III, Imperial College Press, 2001–2005.
- [19] N. Jacob, V. Knopova, S. Landwehr and R. L. Schilling, A geometric interpretation of the transition density of a symmetric Lévy process, Sci. China Ser. A Math, 55 (2012), 1099– 1126.
- [20] N. Jacob and E. Rhind, Aspects of micro-local analysis in the study of Lévy-type generators, (in preparation).
- [21] K. Kaleta, Spectral gap lower bound for the one-dimensional fractional Schrödinger operator in the interval, Studia Math., 209 (2012), 267–287.
- [22] K. Kaleta and T. Kulczycki, Intrinsic ultracontractivity for Schrödinger operators based on fractional Laplacian, Potential Anal., 33 (2010), 313–339.
- [23] K. Kaleta and J. Lörinczi, Pointwise eigenfuction estimates and intrinsic ultra-contractivitytype properties of Feynman-Kac semigroups for a class of Lévy processes, Ann. Prob., 43 (2015), 1350–1398.
- [24] K. Kaleta and J. Lörinczi, Fall-off of eigenfunctions for non-local Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials, *Potential Anal.*, 46 (2017), 647–688.
- [25] T. Kulczycki, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for stable processes, In *Potential Theory of Stable Processes and its Extensions. P. Graczyk*, A. Stos (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1980, Springer Verlag, 2009, p. 73–86.
- [26] M. Kwaśnicki, Spectral gap estimate for stable processes on arbitrary bounded open sets, Probab. Math. Stat., 28 (2008), 163–167.
- [27] J. Lörinczi, K. Kaleta and S. O. Durugo, Spectral and analytic properties of non-local Schrödinger operators and related jump processes, *Commun. Appl. Ind. Math.*, 6 (2015), e-534, 22pp.
- [28] J. Lörinczi and J. Malecki, Spectral properties of the massless relativistic harmonic oscillator, J. Diff. Equations, 253 (2012), 2846–2871.
- [29] F. Nicola and L. Rodino, Global Pseudo-Differential Calculus on Euclidean Spaces, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel 2010.
- [30] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods in Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. IV. Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [31] E. Rhind, Forthcoming PhD-thesis, Swansea University.
- [32] M. A. Shubin, Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory, Transl. from the Russian, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [33] B. Simon, Schrödinger operators with purely discrete spectrum, *Meth. Funct. Anal. Top.*, **15** (2009), 61–66.
- [34] R. Song and Z. Vondracek, Potential theory of subordinate Brownian motion, In Potential Theory of Stable Processes and its Extensions. P. Graczyk, A. Stos (eds.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1980, Springer Verlag, 2009, p. 87–179.
- [35] F.-Y. Wang, Functional inequalities for empty essential spectrum, J. Funct. Anal., 170 (2000), 219–245.
- [36] F.-Y. Wang, Functional inequalities and spectrum estimates: the infinite measure case, J. Funct. Anal., 194 (2002), 288–310
- [37] F.-Y. Wang, Functional Inequalities, Markov Semigroups and Spectral Theory, Science Press, Beijing, 2005.
- [38] F.-Y. Wang and J. Wu, Compactness of Schrödinger semigroups with unbounded below potentials, Bull. Sci. Math., 132 (2008), 679–689.
- [39] H. Weyl, Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenschwingungeneines beliebig gestalteten elastischen Körpers, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 39 (1915), 1–49.

Received April 2017; revised July 2017.

E-mail address: wangfy@tju.edu.cn
E-mail address: N.Jacob@swansea.ac.uk
E-mail address: F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk