



# **Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository**

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research
Cronfa URL for this paper:
http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa38240
Paper:
Williams, N., Russell, M., Cook, C. & Kilduff, L. (2018). The Effect of Ischemic Preconditioning on Maximal Swimming Performance. <i>Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research</i> , 1
http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000002485

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

1	Title: The effect of ischemic preconditioning on maximal swimming performance
2	
3	Authors: Williams, N.a, Brussell, M.c, Cook, C.J.d, Kilduff, L.P.a, d
4	
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> Applied Sports Technology Exercise and Medicine Research Centre (A-STEM), Swansea
7	University, Swansea, United Kingdom
8	<sup>b</sup> Sport Wales, Welsh Institute of Sport, Sophia Gardens, Cardiff, United Kingdom
9	<sup>c</sup> School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, United Kingdom
10	<sup>d</sup> Welsh Institute for Performance Solutions
11	
12	
13	Corresponding Author: Liam P.Kilduff
14	L.Kilduff@swansea.ac.uk
15	
16	Article type: Original investigation
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

29	Title:
30	The effect of ischemic preconditioning on maximal swimming performance
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	

#### **ABSTRACT**

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

57

The effect of ischemic preconditioning (IPC) on swimming performance was examined. Using a randomized, crossover design, National-and International-level swimmers (n=20; 14 males, 6 females) participated in three trials (Con, IPC-2h, IPC-24h). Lower-body IPC (4 x 5 min bi-lateral blood-flow restriction at 160-228 mmHg, and 5 min reperfusion) was used 2- (IPC-2h) or 24-h (IPC-24h) before a self-selected (100 m, n=15; 200 m, n=5) swimming time-trial (TT). The Con trial used a sham intervention (15 mmHg) 2h prior to exercise. All trials required a 40-min standardized precompetition swimming warm-up (followed by 20-min rest; replicating pre-competition call room procedures) 1h before TT. Capillary blood (pH, blood gases and lactate concentrations) was taken immediately pre-and post-IPC, pre-TT and post-TT. No effects on TT for 100 m (P=0.995; IPC-2h: 64.94±8.33 s; IPC-24h: 64.67±8.50 s; Con: 64.94± 8.24 s), 200 m (P=0.405; IPC-2h: 127.70±10.66 s; IPC-24h: 129.26±12.99 s; Con: 130.19±10.27 s) or combined total time (IPC-2h: 84.27±31.52 s; IPC-24h: 79.87±29.72 s; Con: 80.55±31.35 s) were observed following IPC. Base excess (IPC-2h: -13.37±8.90 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -13.35±7.07 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: -16.53±4.65 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>), pH (0.22±0.08; all conditions), bicarbonate (IPC-2h: -11.66±3.52 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -11.62±5.59 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: -8.47±9.02 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>), total carbon dioxide (IPC-2h: -12.90±3.92 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -11.55±7.61 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>; IPC-24h: 9.90±8.40 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>), percentage oxygen saturation (IPC-2h: -0.16±1.86%; Con: +0.20±1.93%; IPC-24h: +0.47±2.10%) and blood lactate (IPC-2h: +12.87±3.62 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: +12.41±4.02 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: +13.27±3.81 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) were influenced by swimming TT (P<0.001), but not condition (all P>0.05). No effect of IPC was seen when applied 2- or 24-h before swimming TT on any indices of performance or physiological measures recorded.

79

Key words: Time-trial, lactate, blood gases, ergogenic aid

81

80

82

#### INTRODUCTION

During international swimming events athletes are required to perform two to three maximal efforts following months or even years of training and preparation, with marginal differences of <0.5% separating medal and non-medal positions (e.g. difference between sixth and third place in the men's and women's 100 m at World Championships; FINA, World Championship results 2017 - 8). In addition to the benefits of training, previous research has shown the importance of competition warm-up intensity (24), timing of warm-up (36) and use of active heating and land-based activation exercises (21, 22) as competition-day strategies to improve subsequent swimming performance. Ischemic preconditioning (IPC), involving cycles of ischemia and reperfusion achieved through the application of cuffs to the arms or thighs (11), has also been reported to improve indices of athletic performance when used between 15 mins and 8h before performance assessments (12).

The benefits of IPC to improve athletic performance have been previously observed in time to exhaustion (e.g. 9), anaerobic specific performance tests (e.g. 14) and repeated sprint ability (e.g. 26). It has been reported that IPC induces acute vascular adaptations, resulting in local vasodilation and enhanced blood flow (34). Consequently, enhanced functional sympatholysis may speed and increase oxygen extraction by means of matching demand with supply (13), facilitating an increased aerobic contribution during subsequent exercise. Reports suggest that IPC can cause a faster uptake of acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) by mitochondria thus maintaining lactate accumulation at a metabolically acceptable level due to greater contribution of aerobically generated adenosine triphosphate (ATP) for exercise (14). Recruitment of higher order motor units via enhanced central motor efferent command also results from IPC (4), allowing for exercise to be completed beyond the individual's critical threshold by increasing or maintaining the rate of force development and improving subsequent performance.

However, only one study (31) relating to sports performance has differentiated between the observed early and late phase of IPC reported within the clinical literature, implementing IPC 24h prior to a 5

km running time trial (TT). Research suggests that there are two phases resulting from IPC; the early phase which begins soon after reperfusion and lasts 3-4h, whereas the late phase starts 12–24h after IPC (16) and last 48–96h (27, 33). The release of endogenous substances is thought to stimulate post-translational modifications in proteins within the early phase, whereas in the late phase this leads to synthesis of new proteins and altered gene expression (34). Accordingly, owing to the timing of pre-competition practices and regulations in athletic competitions (e.g., the use of pre-competition call-rooms within 20 min of competition starting), the late phase of IPC may offer another practical option, to coincide with competition timings to further optimize swimming performance on the day of competition.

With a specific emphasis on swimming performance, IPC may be beneficial for 100 to 400 m swimming performance due to the resultant increase in contribution of ATP generated from the aerobic system (28). To date, four studies (7, 14, 17, 20) have identified a positive effect of implementing IPC prior to swimming performance. For example, Jean-St-Michel et al. (14) reported that five min of ischemia followed by five min of reperfusion, repeated for four cycles, implemented 45 min prior to 100 m swimming TT improved personal best swimming times by 1.1%. Most recently, Lisbôa et al. (17) applied IPC 1h, 2h and 8h preceding a 50 m TT performance, with performance improvements of 1.0% and 1.2% in 2h and 8h conditions, respectively. The previous research relating to IPC and swimming performance has investigated the effects of the early phase of IPC on performance as application has been <12h prior to performance. However, for short duration events (i.e. 10-90 s), a recent meta-analysis showed that a longer duration between IPC and exercise resulted in a higher effect size; suggesting that IPC may be dependent on the timing of the preconditioning strategy relative to the start of subsequent performance (30). Research is yet to investigate if the delayed phase of IPC can enhance swimming performance when applied at least 12h prior to competition, a strategy which may be attractive for coaches and swimmers. Consequently, the purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of IPC on swimming TT performance 2h (early phase) and 24h (late phase) after eliciting IPC in competitive swimmers.

#### **METHOD**

## EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM

Twenty National and International-level swimmers participated in a randomized, crossover design that involved three sessions (Con, IPC-2h, IPC-24h) separated by seven days. Timing of IPC completed in conditions were implemented in line with previous research complete by Seeger et al. (31) and Lisbôa et al. (17). Occlusion cuffs were applied bi-laterally at the most proximal point of each thigh and intermittently inflated to an individualized cuff pressure determined from thigh girth and resting blood pressure for a total of 40 min in IPC-2h and IPC-24h. In Con, cuffs were applied for the same duration (total 40 min), however cuff pressure was inflated to 15 mmHg. A self-selected (100 or 200 m) swimming TT (assessing total time, 50 m split times, stroke count; SC, and stroke rate; SR, time underwater off starts and turns) followed intervention administration and physiological markers (pH, blood gases and lactate concentrations) were assessed at pre-IPC, post-IPC, pre-TT and post-TT.

## **SUBJECTS**

Following ethical approval from Swansea University ethics committee, twenty (6 females, 14 males) National- and International-level swimmers (age; 20±2 y, mass; 71.1±9.6 kg, stature; 178.4±9.6 cm, Training experience; 9.6±2.7 y) participated in the study. All subjects had qualified for, and competed at British swimming National competitions. Subjects were informed of the experimental procedures, the purpose and possible risks associated with the study, and provided written informed consent before participation.

## **PROCEDURES**

After familiarization, participants were required to attend the testing venue on three occasions (Con, IPC-2h, IPC-24h) in a randomized order. Main trials were performed in an enclosed 50 m swimming pool within the subject's normal training environment. To minimize the effects of biological rhythms, the timing of measurements was consistent between trials. To control for varying levels of weekly fatigue, testing was conducted on the same day of the week in a stable, maintenance phase of training.

Subjects were required to refrain from alcohol and intense physical exercise in the 24h preceding trials and between IPC and swimming TT performance.

On arrival for main trials, subjects were required to rest for 10 min to allow for resting blood pressure to be recorded (Omron Healthcare, Europe; systolic >140 mmHg and/or diastolic >90 mmHg precluded further study involvement). Once blood pressure was recorded, thigh girth was measured for determination of cuff pressure and a capillary blood sample was taken. Occlusion cuffs were then applied to the most proximal point of the thighs, with subjects assuming a supine position. The cuff (10 cm) contained a pneumatic bag along its inner surface that was connected to a pressure gauge and manually inflated to either 15 mmHg (Con) or an individualized cuff pressure (IPC-2h, IPC-24h) for a total of 40 min consisting of four cycles of five min occlusion and five min reperfusion. The individualized cuff pressures were calculated from Loenneke et al. (18) with values ranging from 160 to 228 mmHg. Cuff pressure was 15 mmHg in the Con condition; based on previous research showing that 10-20 mmHg (e.g. 1, 14, 26) caused no alteration to the arterial inflow but allowed increased control over the placebo effect as cuffs were worn in both conditions.

Following the completion of the IPC protocol, subjects rested accordingly for 24h or 2h; intense physical activity was restricted during the 24h and all subjects arrived at the swimming pool and rested for 3h prior to TT regardless of the condition, cuffs were applied during this period for IPC-2h and Con. A standardized race swimming warm up (40-min) was performed 1h prior to a swimming TT and a 20-min post-warm-up rest period at the swimming pool replicated pre-competition call room requirements. This was immediately followed by a maximal swimming TT (100 m: n=15, 200 m: n=5), completed on the subjects' chosen stroke, in accordance with FINA rules. Subjects completed the TT individually, starting from a block and taking off after an audible starting signal. Rating of perceived exertion was recorded using the Borg (2) scale on completion of the race. From the TT, SR, SC, 50 m split times, time underwater off the start and turns and total time were calculated retrospectively from video recordings. Equation 1 was used to determine SR; for each 25 m of the TT SR was calculated, the mean  $\pm$  SD was then calculated for each 50 m. To ensure acceptable reliability

of the SR measurement, intra-observer tests were completed. The analyst viewed two randomly selected TT performances ten times over a two-week period under the same conditions. The coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated to identify the measurement error; this resulted in a low, acceptable percentage of error (CV = 0.2%).

- Equation 1: Stroke rate = (Number of complete strokes over 25 m  $\times$  60)
- 202 (Time of hand entry 1 time of hand entry 2)

Where hand entry 1 is the first-hand entry at the start of 25 m and hand entry 2 is the hand entry at the end of 25 m, recorded in seconds.

A capillary blood sample was taken pre-IPC, post-IPC, pre-TT and post-TT to measure blood lactate, pH, percentage of oxygen saturation (sO<sub>2</sub>%), partial pressure of oxygen (PO<sub>2</sub>), partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO<sub>2</sub>), total carbon dioxide (TCO<sub>2</sub>), bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>) and Base Excess. This was analyzed using a portable analyser (ISTAT 1; 300G) and associated cartridges (CG4+; Abbott, point of care testing, Arbroath, UK). Prior to data collection the analyzer was calibrated according to the manufacturer's specifications and cartridges were stored as per manufacturer's instructions (2-8°C) and removed to room temperature ~5 min prior to use. The capillary blood sample was immediately expelled from the capillary tube into the sample well of the cartridge. Blood gases and pH were analyzed using these methods which have previously been compared (35) against two auto-calibrated analyzers (r >0.993). Dascombe et al. (5) also confirmed intra-test reliability of the analyzer; intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) for all analytes were observed to be strong following maximal intensity exercise (ICC = 0.77-0.95; where 0.7-0.9 deemed a strong correlation) and technical error of measurement (TEM) <15% was deemed acceptable (pH; 0.24%, blood lactate; 3.12%, all other measured blood gas parameters 2.02-8.85%).

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSES

All data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Following confirmation of parametric assumptions, repeated measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with Bonferroni adjustment assessed between-trial differences for variables with multiple time points per trial (i.e. blood lactate, pH, sO<sub>2</sub>%, PO<sub>2</sub>, PCO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub> and Base Excess). One-way ANOVA assessed between-trial differences for all performance variables from the swimming TT and RPE recorded post-TT. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Chicago, IL) with significance being accepted at P≤0.05.

## RESULTS

Exercise significantly affected blood parameters; following swimming TT, pH decreased by 0.22±0.08 in all conditions (P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.866$ ) (Figure 1). Blood lactate increased pre-to post-TT (P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.923$ ) by 12.87±3.62 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, 12.41±4.02 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup> and 13.27±3.81 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup> in IPC-2h, Con and IPC-24h, respectively (Figure 1). Base excess (IPC-2h: -13.37±8.90 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -13.35±7.07 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: -16.53±4.65 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.857$ ), HCO<sub>3</sub> (IPC-2h: -11.66±3.52 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -11.62±5.59 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: -8.47±9.02 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.849$ ), TCO<sub>2</sub> (IPC-2h: -12.90±3.92 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; Con: -11.55±7.61 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; IPC-24h: 9.90±8.40 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.939$ ) and sO<sub>2</sub>% (IPC-2h: -0.16±1.86 %; Con: +0.20±1.93 %; IPC-24h: +0.47±2.10 %; P<0.001;  $\eta^2 = 0.130$ ) were significantly different pre-TT to post-TT. However, there were no differences between trials in any of the blood parameters (P>0.05).

# \*\*\*\*\* INSERT FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE \*\*\*\*\*

Trial did not affect performance for 100 m (P=0.995; IPC-2h: 64.94±8.33 s; IPC-24h: 64.67±8.50 s; Con: 64.94± 8.24 s), 200 m (P=0.405; IPC-2h: 127.70±10.66 s; IPC-24h: 129.26±12.99 s; Con: 130.19±10.27) or combined total time (IPC-2h: 84.27±31.52 s; IPC-24h: 79.87±29.72 s; Con: 80.55±31.35 s). No significant effects between conditions for any of the performance variables were

observed; being, total time (P=0.723), split time for the first 50 m (P=0.968), split time for the second 50 m (P=0.874), start time (P=0.817), turn time at 50 m (P=0.924), SC for first 50 m (P=0.559), SC for second 50 m (P=0.570), SR for first 50 m (P=0.726), SR for second 50 m (P=0.988) and RPE (P=0.723) (Table 1).

## \*\*\*\*\* INSERT TABLE 1 NEAR HERE \*\*\*\*\*

## **DISCUSSION**

In this study IPC did not affect 100 or 200 m swimming performance in National-level swimmers when applied 2h or 24h prior to performance assessment. These findings, particularly for IPC-2h, oppose previous research that found IPC applied acutely improved subsequent swimming performance (7, 14, 17, 20). Consistent with previous research (31), no change in swimming performance was identified when IPC was applied 24h before the TT. Likewise, no differences were identified in physiological markers following IPC-2h or IPC-24h. Therefore, IPC applied 2h or 24h had no influence, either positive or negative, on swimming performance or physiological markers.

For short duration events (i.e. 10-90 s), a recent meta-analysis showed that a longer duration between IPC and exercise resulted in a higher effect size; suggesting that IPC may be dependent on the timing of the preconditioning strategy relative to the start of subsequent performance (30). Previous research in swimming has implemented IPC between 10 min and 8h (7, 14, 17, 20) before performance assessment and found beneficial effects; findings which contradict those reported here when IPC was applied 2h before exercise. Several methodological differences between the present study and previous literature may explain this lack of agreement in findings. Specifically, there is little consensus regarding optimal cuff pressures used in IPC as a range of pressures have been reported (i.e., 200-230 mmHg or 15-50>SBP) which are universally applied across all individuals within studies. A standardized cuff pressure may not cause the same percentage of blood flow restriction in every individual, especially considering the volume and type of tissue surrounding the blood vessels which may influence the pressure exerted on the vasculature (19). Therefore, the percentage of blood

flow restriction may affect the success of IPC as a pre-competition strategy (10). Recent research by Loenneke et al. (18) recommended the use of individualized cuff pressure calculated from thigh girth and resting blood pressure, which was adopted in the current study. However, individual blood flow restriction was not confirmed using a Doppler due to practicality, which offers a limitation to the current study as blood flow restriction was calculated in alignment with results from previous research (18), rather than according to a measured pressure. A protocol to individualise cuff pressure needs to be determined, identifying the differences between a standard cuff pressures and the use of thigh girth and blood pressure to calculate individual pressures in comparison to Doppler assessment. The results of these three methods to determine cuff pressure need to be identified and the resultant effect on performance tested to establish recommendations for practical use.

To explain the current results, another methodological difference should be considered regarding the location of the cuff, with application previously reported on the lower or upper body. The present study applied occlusion cuffs to the thighs which contrasts previous research in swimming whereby cuffs were applied to the upper body (7, 14, 17, 20). Although limited research still exists on the working mechanism of IPC and athletic performance, it has been suggested that IPC induces a systemic change in blood flow through a change in sympathetic activity. Due to the nature of swimming and controlled breathing, which can result in exercise induced arterial hypoxemia, decreased pH (3, 32) and consequently a significant contributor of fatigue (25), a systemic increase in blood flow and oxygen delivery could be speculated to improve performance, reducing hypoxemia and metabolic acidosis. However, in the current study no differences were identified between conditions in the physiological measures. Alternative research has suggested that IPC may also cause local changes in the muscle at the site of the cuff (e.g. increase oxygen uptake or change in mitochondrial activity) which may contribute to an increase muscle oxygenation (13, 15, 29). In swimming, the contribution of propulsive force is approximately 90% for the upper extremities (6, 23), therefore, the local changes achieved by application of the cuffs to the upper limbs, may increase effectiveness of limb IPC to improve swimming performance. In comparison to previous results applying cuffs to the thighs to induce a systemic response, this may help to explain the inconsistency

in the current results, highlighting this as an area warranting further investigation to determine the impact of systemic versus local blood flow restriction on athletic performance.

To date, one study has examined the use of IPC applied 24h prior to performance to determine if the late phase of IPC, originally used in a clinical setting, may also improve athletic performance. The current study replicated research completed by Seeger et al. (31) but within swimming, with the only other methodological difference being individualizing of cuff pressures. Similarly, no difference in performance time between conditions was identified. However, results from the current study were not consistent with previous research investigating IPC in swimming as previously a benefit has been identified in the early phase (10 min – 2h) within the literature which was not consistent in our study. Therefore, methodological differences could have influenced these findings as stated above regarding cuff location, consequently IPC applied 24h prior to performance should be further investigated in swimming while ensuring that cuffs are applied to the upper body.

In conclusion, the current study demonstrated swimming TT performance of 100 or 200 m was not influenced when it was preceded 2h or 24h by four cycles of IPC, at an individualized cuff pressure. Speculatively, this may have been due to the difference in cuff placement on the lower limbs as opposed to upper limbs as in previous IPC and swimming research. Therefore, the use of IPC 24h prior to swimming TT performance should be investigated with cuffs applied to the upper limbs to identify if the late phase of IPC can also improve performance, as this would have greater practical application completing the IPC protocol 24h before competition rather than in close proximity to the start of an athletic event.

## PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Despite this study concluding swimming performance was not influenced by IPC applied at 2h or 24h, there are several practical points of relevance for application in sport. These results provide baseline data for the use of IPC in swimming when cuffs are applied to the thighs, identifying that this strategy had no detrimental effect on physiological responses. Most prominently, the combination of previous

332	research and the current study suggest recommendations for application of the cuffs to the upper body
333	to improve swimming performance.
334	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
335	None to declare. There was no financial support for this study. This research did not receive any
336	specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The results
337	of the present study do not constitute endorsement by the NSCA.
338	
339	
340	
341	
342	
343	
344	
345	
346	
347	
348	
349	
350	
351	
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	
357	
358	
359	

362

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Bailey, T.G. et al. Effect of ischemic preconditioning on lactate accumulation and running performance. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 44(11), 2084–2089, 2012
- Borg, G. Psychophysiological bases of perceived exertion. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 14(5), 377-381, 1982.
- Craig, A.B. Breath holding during the turn in competitive swimming. *Medicine And Science In Sports And Exercise*, 18(4), 402–7, 1986.
- Crisafulli, A. et al. Ischemic preconditioning of the muscle improves maximal exercise
   performance but not maximal oxygen uptake in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology*,
   111(2), 530–536, 2011
- Dascombe, B.J. et al. The reliability of the i-STAT clinical portable analyser. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 10(3), 135–140, 2007.
- Deschodt, J. V., Arsac, L.M. & Rouard, A.H. Relative contribution of arms and legs in
   humans to propulsion in 25-m sprint front-crawl swimming. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, 80(3), 192–199, 1999.
- Ferreira, T.N. et al. Ischemic Preconditioning and Repeated Sprint Swimming: A Placebo and
   Nocebo Study. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(10), 1967–1975, 2016.
- 8. FINA World Championship results 2017 <a href="http://www.fina.org/event/17th-fina-world-">http://www.fina.org/event/17th-fina-world-</a>
   championships/results
- De Groot, P.C.E. et al. Ischemic preconditioning improves maximal performance in humans.
   *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 108(1), 141–146, 2010.
- 383 10. Hargens, A.R. et al. Local compression patterns beneath pneumatic tourniquets applied to arms and thighs of human cadavera. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, 5(2), 247–252, 1987.
- 385 11. Heusch, G. et al. Remote ischemic conditioning. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 65(2), 177–195, 2015.
- 387 12. Horiuchi, M. Ischemic preconditioning: Potential impact on exercise performance and

- underlying mechanisms. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 6(1), 15–23,
   2017.
- 13. Horiuchi, M., Endo, J. & Thijssen, D.H.J. Impact of ischemic preconditioning on functional
   sympatholysis during handgrip exercise in humans. *Physiological Reports*, 3(2), e12304–
   e12304, 2015.
- 393 14. Jean-St-Michel, E. et al. Remote Preconditioning Improves Maximal Performance in Highly
   394 Trained Athletes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 43(7), 1280–1286, 2011.
- 395 15. Kjeld, T. et al. Ischemic preconditioning of one forearm enhances static and dynamic apnea.
   396 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 46(1), 151–155, 2014.
- 397 16. Kuzuya, T. et al. Delayed Effects of Sublethal Ischemia on the Acquisition of Tolerance to
   398 Ischemia. *Circulation Research*, 72(6), 1293–1299, 1993.
- 17. Lisbôa, F.D. et al. The Time Dependence of the Effect of Ischemic Preconditioning on
   Successive Sprint Swimming Performance. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 20(5),
   507-511, 2017.
- Loenneke, J.P., Allen, K.M., et al. Blood flow restriction in the upper and lower limbs is
   predicted by limb circumference and systolic blood pressure. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 115(2), 397–405, 2015.
- 405
   19. Loenneke, J.P. et al. Effects of cuff width on arterial occlusion: implications for blood flow
   406
   406 restricted exercise. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 112(8), 2903–2912, 2012.
- 407 20. Marocolo, M. et al. Are the Beneficial Effects of Ischemic Preconditioning on Performance
   408 Partly a Placebo Effect? *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 36(10), 822–825, 2015.
- 409 21. McGowan, C.J., Pyne, D.B., et al. Elite sprint swimming performance is enhanced by
   410 completion of additional warm-up activities. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(2), 1–7, 2016.
- 422. McGowan, C.J., Thompson, K.G., et al. Heated jackets and dryland-based activation
   exercises used as additional warm-ups during transition enhance sprint swimming
   performance. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 19(4), 354–358, 2016.
- 414 23. Morouço, P. et al. Relationship between tethered forces and the four swimming techniques performance. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 27(2), 161–169, 2011.

- 416 24. Neiva, H.P. et al. Warm-up and performance in competitive swimming. *Sports Medicine*,
- 44(3), 319–330, 2014.
- 418 25. Noakes, T.D. Physiological models to understand exercise fatigue and the adaptations that
- 419 predict or enhance athletic performance. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in
- 420 Sports, 10(3), 123–145, 2000.
- 421 26. Patterson, S.D. et al. The effect of ischemic preconditioning on repeated sprint cycling
- performance. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 47(8), 1652–1658, 2015.
- 423 27. Pell, T.J. et al. Renal ischemia preconditions myocardium: role of adenosine receptors and
- 424 ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *The American Journal of Physiology*, 275(5 Pt 2), H1542–
- **425** H1547, 1998.
- 426 28. Rodriguez, F. & Mader, A. Energy metabolism during 400 and 100-m crawl swimming:
- computer simulation based on free swimming measurement. Biomechanics and Medecine in
- 428 Swimming VIII, (January 2003), 373–390, 2003.
- 429 29. Saito, T. et al. Ischemic preconditioning improves oxygenation of exercising muscle in vivo.
- *Journal of Surgical Research*, 120(1), 111–118, 2004.
- 431 30. Salvador, A., et al. Ischemic preconditioning and exercise performance: A systematic review
- and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 11(1), 4-14,
- 433 2016.
- 434 31. Seeger, J.P.H. et al. Is Delayed Ischemic Preconditioning As Effective on Running
- Performance During a 5-Km Time Trial As Acute Ipc? Journal of Science and Medicine in
- 436 *Sport*, 20(2), 208–212, 2017.
- 437 32. Sharp, R.L., Williams, D.J. & Bevan, L. Effects of controlled frequency breathing during
- exercise on blood gases and acid-base balance. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*,
- 439 12(1), 62–5, 1991.
- 33. Singh, D. & Chopra, K. Evidence of the role of angiotensin AT(1) receptors in remote renal
- 441 preconditioning of myocardium. Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical
- *Pharmacology*, 26(2), 117–22, 2004.
- 34. Tapuria, N. et al. Remote Ischemic Preconditioning: A Novel Protective Method From

444	Ischemia Reperfusion Injury-A Review. Journal of Surgical Research, 150(2), 304–330,
445	2008.
446	35. Verwaerde, P. et al. The accuracy of the i-STAT portable analyser for measuring blood gases
447	and pH in whole-blood samples from dogs. Research in Veterinary Science, 73(1), 71-75,
448	2002.
449	36. West, D.J. et al. Influence of post-warm-up recovery time on swim performance in
450	international swimmers. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 16(2), 172–176, 2013.
451	
452	
453	
454	
455	
456	
457	
458	
459	
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	

## FIGURES AND TABLES

**Figure 1**: Change in blood markers from pre-ischemic preconditioning (IPC) to post-IPC and Pretime trial (TT) to post-TT

**Table 1**: Performance variables from the swimming time trial (100 and 200 m combined) for the three conditions

Condition	SC 50	SC 100	SR 50 (SPM)	SR 100 (SPM)	Start (s)	Turn 50 (s)
Con	19.3±2.4	22.2±3.2	45.3±8.0	42.5±7.1	4.9±1.4	4.2±1.6
<b>Confidence Interval</b>	18.1-20.5	20.6-23.8	41.3-49.3	39.0-46.0	4.2-5.5	3.4-5.0
IPC-2h	18.8±2.6	$21.3 \pm 3.2$	43.9±8.1	42.3±7.4	4.9±1.4	4.2±1.7
<b>Confidence Interval</b>	17.5-20.0	19.7-22.8	40.1-47.7	38.9-45.8	4.3-5.6	3.4-5.0
IPC-24h	18.4±2.6	21.1±3.7	43.4±8.7	42.1±6.3	5.1±1.1	4.4±1.7
<b>Confidence Interval</b>	17.1-19.7	19.2-23.0	38.9-47.8	38.8-45.5	4.6-5.7	3.5-5.3

\*SC50 = stroke count for the first 50 m, SC 100 = stroke count for the second 50 m, SR 50 = stroke rate for first 50 m, SR 100 = stroke rate for second 50 m, start = time from dive start to first stroke, Turn 50 = turn time at 50 m. Confidence intervals reported at ninety-five-percent.

Condition	SC 50	SC 100	SR 50 (SPM)	SR 100 (SPM)	Start (s)	Turn 50 (s)
Con	19.3±2.4	22.2±3.2	45.3±8.0	42.5±7.1	4.9±1.4	4.2±1.6
<b>Confidence Interval</b>	18.1-20.5	20.6-23.8	41.3-49.3	39.0-46.0	4.2-5.5	3.4-5.0
IPC-2h	18.8±2.6	$21.3 \pm 3.2$	43.9±8.1	42.3±7.4	4.9±1.4	4.2±1.7
<b>Confidence Interval</b>	17.5-20.0	19.7-22.8	40.1-47.7	38.9-45.8	4.3-5.6	3.4-5.0
IPC-24h	18.4±2.6	21.1±3.7	43.4±8.7	42.1±6.3	5.1±1.1	4.4±1.7

3.5-5.3

4.6-5.7

\*SC50 = stroke count for the first 50 m, SC 100 = stroke count for the second 50 m, SR 50 = stroke
rate for first 50 m, SR 100 = stroke rate for second 50 m, start = time from dive start to first stroke,
Turn 50 = turn time at 50 m. Confidence intervals reported at ninety-five-percent.

489
490

**Confidence Interval** 17.1-19.7 19.2-23.0 38.9-47.8 38.8-45.5

