



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: GENETICS in MEDICINE
Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa38526
Paper: Stark, Z., Lunke, S., Brett, G., Tan, N., Stapleton, R., Kumble, S., Yeung, A., Phelan, D., Chong, B., et. al. (2018). Meeting the challenges of implementing rapid genomic testing in acute pediatric care. <i>GENETICS in MEDICINE</i> http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/gim.2018.37

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

 Table 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria for rWES study

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Pediatric patient (0–18 years)	Copy-number variant responsible for
Likely monogenic disorder	Previous genetic sequencing test (completed)
Complexity	Single-gene disorder unlikely, e.g., isolated congenital heart disease
 Multiple organ systems involved and/or 	Secure clinical diagnosis of a monogenic disorder, e.g. Apert syndrome, CHARGE syndrome
 Severe condition with high morbidity and mortality and/or 	
 Severe limitations on function and activities of daily living 	
High acuity:	
 Inpatient in an intensive-care unit or 	

neurological deterioration) rWES, rapid singleton whole-exome sequencing.

• Other medical indication (e.g.,

awaiting transplantation or acute

Table 2 Demographics, indications for testing, referral sources, diagnostic and clinical utility, and performance metrics for rWES cohort compared with previously published sWES infant cohort¹

Characteristic	sWES infant cohort (2014–2015) N = 80	rWES cohort (2016–2017) N = 40	P value
Sex		-	NS
Male	50 (62.5%)	22 (55%)	
Female	30 (37.5%)	18 (45%)	
Age at enrollment			
0–6 m	37 (46%)	30 (75%) P o 0.001	P o 0.001
6 m	43 (54%)	10 (25%)	
Median (IQR)	271 (77–409)	28 (12–204)	
Parental	17 (21%)	8 (20%)	NS
consanguinity			
Symptoms present at birth	77 (96%)	28 (70%)	P o 0.001
Principal phenotypic			
Congenital abnormalities and dysmorphic features	43 (54%)	9 (22%)	P = 0.00
Neurometabolic disorder	19 (24%)	17 (43%)	5
Other (e.g., gastrointestinal, renal)	18 (22%)	14 (35%)	
Referral source			
Inpatient consultation	44 (55%)	36 (90%)	P o 0.001
• NICU	33 (41%)	21 (53%)	
• PICU	4 (5%)	10 (25%)	
Other inpatient consultation	7 (8%)	5 (12%)	
Outpatient consultation	36 (45%)	4 (10%)	
Genomic testing initiated during first hospital admission	8 of 44 inpatient referrals (18%)	34 of 36 inpatient referrals (94%)	P o 0.001
Time to ascertainment (tertiary hospital presentation to enrollment), median (IQR)	149 days (13–909)	12 days (2–209)	P o 0.001
Time to result (enrollment to report), median (IQR)	136 days (71–277)	16 days (9–109)	P o 0.001

Result returned during first hospital admission	0 of 44 inpatient referrals	28 of 36 inpatient referrals (78%)	P o 0.001
Diagnostic yield	58%	52.5%	
Change in patient management	16 (20%)	14 (35%)	ND
 Medication started/adjusted 	7	4	
Medication stopped	1	1	
 Surveillance initiated 	9	7	
Surveillance stopped	1	0	
 Avoidance of tissue biopsy 	3	3	
 Redirection to palliative care 	0	2	
Mortality	9 (11%)	9 (23%)	ND
Symptom resolution/diagnosis of nonmonogenic disorder on follow-up	7 (8.75%)	6 (15%)	ND

IQR, interquartile range; ND, not determined (insufficient power); NICU, neonatal intensive-care unit; NS, not significant; PICU, pediatric intensive-care unit; rWES, rapid singleton whole-exome sequencing; sWES, whole-exome sequencing with standard turnaround times.

Table 3 Summary of costs (in AU\$) associated with diagnostic assessments and investigations in patients receiving rWES compared with our previously published infant cohort receiving sWES¹⁵

	sWES infant cohort	sWES infant cohort	rWES cohort 2016-
	2014–2015 Usual care	2014–2015 Usual	2017
	+ conventional	care + sWES, AU\$	AU\$
	sequencing tests, AU\$	n=40	n=40
	n=40		
Clinical assessments			
Clinical geneticist	22,239.24	32,452.97	6,681.54
Genetic counselor	0	14,914.07	1,527.60
Subspecialist (OP)	9,187.73	9,187.73	240.00
Pathology			
Anatomical pathology	14,409.32	14,409.32	3,277.81
Basic biochemistry	4,289.12	4,289.12	2,204.81
Complex biochemistry	9,437.04	9,437.04	17,767.52
Serology/immunology	1,520.72	1,520.72	2,145.41
Imaging	50,165.45	50,165.45	35,198.15
Electrophysiology	22,027.97	22,027.97	20,886.90
Genetic tests			
SNP microarray	23,880.00		23,880.00 23,880.00
Nonsequencing tests	2,663.40	3,863.40	6,403.20
(e.g., methylation)			
Single-gene and panel	22,488.39	0	0
sequencing			
WES	0	80,000.00	157,960.00
Other			
Medical photography	809.62	809.62	0
DNA extraction/	2,541.00	440.00	1,710.00
sample shipping			
OT/anesthesia costs	3,693.53	3,693.53	1,260.00
Total cost	189,352.53	271,090.94	281,142.94
Patients diagnosed	7	25	21
Cost per diagnosis	27,050.36	10,843.60	13,387.76
95% CI	(15,365.51–68,529.77)	(7,487.62–14,090.02)	(9,268.68–17,506,84

CI, confidence interval; OP, outpatient; OT, operating theater; rWES, rapid singleton whole-exome sequencing; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; sWES, wholeexome sequencing with standard turnaround times.

Figure 1 Chronological case-by-case time to report, demonstrating relative contribution of the steps in the rWES laboratory pathway to turnaround times. A timeline for the study is provided on the X-axis, demonstrating the increase in throughput over time, and the principal interventions implemented to reduce time to report

