



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:
International Review of Economics Education
Cronfa URL for this paper:
http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa41179
Paper:
Coombs, N. & Frawley, A. (2018). The value in 'value': An exercise for pluralising economics instruction. <i>International</i>
Review of Economics Education
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2018.07.001
Delegando de la desta de como de Constitu Constituto No. No. Constituto Desta di contituto del CONTRA
Released under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License (CC-BY-NC-ND)
ND).
This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms
of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: The value in 'value': An exercise for pluralising

economics instruction

Authors: Nathan Coombs, Ashley Frawley

PII: \$1477-3880(18)30009-4

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2018.07.001

Reference: IREE 142

To appear in:

Received date: 18-1-2018 Revised date: 14-7-2018 Accepted date: 17-7-2018



Please cite this article as: Coombs N, Frawley A, The value in 'value': An exercise for pluralising economics instruction, *International Review of Economics Education* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iree.2018.07.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The value in 'value': An exercise for pluralising economics instruction

The value in 'value': An exercise for embedding heterodox economics into sociology and social policy education

Nathan Coombs

University of Edinburgh
6.10 Chrystal Macmillan Building
15a George Square
Edinburgh
UK
EH8 9LD
+44 (0)131 6508259
nathan.coombs@ed.ac.uk

Corresponding author:

Ashley Frawley

Swansea University
127 Haldane Building
Singleton Park
Swansea
UK
SA2 8PP
+44 (0)179 2518619
a.frawley@swansea.ac.u

This research did not becave any specic grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-prot sectors.

Abstract

This paper suggests pluralising economics instrucon by introducing dierent concepts of 'value'. We argue the labour theory of value (LTV) and subjected value theory (STV) provide an enlightening pair of contrasng concepts which can impart appreciaon for the relaonship between dierent economic theories and polical ideas of social jusce. We present a series of acre learning exercises designed to introduce students to dierences between LTV and STV. Aer running and modifying exercises over three years as part of economics instrucon for sociology and social policy students, we not have intervenon broadly successful in encouraging students to engage with economic laborations and draw connecons between personal experience, society, economics and polics.

Keywords

Value theory, pluralism, economic sociology, social policy, in quality

1. Introduction

This paper suggests promong pluralism in a onemics instrucon by introducing dierent concepts of 'value' to students, near rs of this journal will be acquainted with the omote pluralism (see e.g. Denis (ed.), 2009). aspiraon of some education lists to beer pleet a variety of liberal and instrumental educaonal Pluralism has been argue goals including prepared g sto lents to be critical and open-minded thinkers and to engage with real world socia and economic life (Clarke and Mearman, 2003; Freeman, 2009; lea man et al., 2012; Cooper and Ramey, 2014). Students themselves Mearman have bee demanding wider curricula encompassing alternave perspecves (Inman, JSIPE 2014; PCES, 2014). Interest in pluralist approaches has been amplied since ancial crisis and space has opened up across the social sciences for consideraon of economic theories (Brown and Spencer, 2014; King, 2016). Following a liberal model of pluralism animated by an interdisciplinary ethos (Mearman et al., 2012), we suggest the concept of 'value' is a fruiul means through which to introduce pluralism and promote its associated educaonal benets both within and outside of economics departments.

The paper proposes a contrast between the labour theory of value (LTV) and subjecve theory of value (STV) as a means of introducing a mul-faceted pluralist perspecve. LTV is associated with eighteenth and early to mid-nineteenth century polical economists (mostly notably, Adam Smith, David Ricardo and Karl Marx), whereas STV emerged in the work of neoclassical pioneers William Stanley Jevons, Leon Walrus and Carl Menger in the 1870s (King and McLure, 2015). Crucially, while LTV seeks to idenfy objecvely from where value in the economy derives – opening the door to ethical criques of wages an profits – STV's idencaon of value with subjecvely-determined market prices implied that under free market condions distribuon will be fair and just (a convicon which reaches its pinnacle in John Bates Clark's [1899] marginal theory of income listing pon).

The paper details a cumulave series of active learning exercises designed to engage students in crical reecon on the analycal and normave implications of these theories. The rst asks students to trade common objects and as cont for the underlying 'value' that makes for a 'fair' trade. The second asks groups to brainstorm soluons to Adam Smith's diamond-water paradox. The nall exercise uses classroom discussion to map students' answers onto a spectrum of political ideologies, from Marxism to libertarianism. In analysis of student feedback across three years and two social science programmes, we not the exercises were successful in prompng engagement, furthering personal and polical quescing, and providing transferrable insights across their degree programmes. The main shallenge was encouraging a leap between theories of value and polical ideologies, which highlights the importance of a cumulave approach.

We begin by outliving underlying raonales for introducing students to a diversity of economic perceeves within their social science degree programmes before elaborang our case for teaching theories of value, delineang the historical, conceptual and ethical desences between LTV and neoclassical STV. The next secon considers pedagogical raonales for introducing contending perspecves through acve learning approaches.

Secon 5 details the exercises while the nal secons analyse student feedback and reect on the eecveness and challenges of teaching value theory in general, and the exercises in particular.

2. Why pluralism, why now?

Following Denis (2009, p. 12) we see pluralist educaon as a 'process of teaching and learning focused on the coexistence of multiple approaches', ulising controversy to equip students to exercise judgment rather than reproduce and apply one parcular theory. While interest was undoubtedly piqued during and aer the 2007-9 nancial crisis, there are more enduring raonales for introducing students to 'a diversity of theorecal perspecves' within economics teaching (Mearman et al., 2012, p. including fostering students' crical thinking and problem-solving skills (Cooper Ramey, 2014). The nature of social reality as a complex, open system supports a allibrisc and hence pluralisc concepon of the role of theory in explanaon and inderstanding levance of (Chick and Dow, 2005; Lawson, 2012). More praccally, perceive a lack highly abstract economics teaching arguably leads to low student engagement and exit of r, 1987; Fourcade et crically-minded graduates from the discipline (Colander and Name al., 2015). Particularly in light of the emergence of the pastc economics movement in the early 2000s and Post-Crash Economics Society 2012, pluralism may be jused on grounds of giving students what they are ing: an expanded toolbox for making holisc sense of the socio-economic world.

g a pluralist agenda centres on introducing One pioneering approach 'contending perspecves' (CP) to cy ricula, aiming to promote 'healthy compeon and cooperative interchange in the pursuit of knowledge' (Barone, 1991, p. 18). In Perry's (1970) terms, the aim to move students from being 'dualisc' or 'right/wrong' thinkers toward more mustlistic and relavisc forms of thinking. Indeed, Barone's introducon of CP skins in College in the 1980s found the results complementary rather than anta onisc to neoclassical thinking. However, pluralism has since gravitated away from wlier 'teacher-led' or course-content focused approach toward a more pedagogical en phasis on how these outcomes are actually achieved in the classroom (Garne and Mearman, 2011). Thus, while teaching compeng theories and paradigms is one way to realise these outcomes, educators have also developed a variety of problem-based and experienal learning methods (ibid.). This 'student-led' view conceptualises educaon not as transming knowledge hierarchically, but rather as an 'epistemically inclusive

enterprise wherein students and instructors interact not as equals but as partners in the process of inquiry' (ibid., 2011, p. 7). In this way, pluralist educaon is not only about content but also about how best to achieve, for instance, intrinsic outcomes like increasing critical awareness about a wide scope of ideas and promong personal independence and autonomy to act on one's own beliefs (Mearman et al., 2012). Marxism in particular has been argued to foster both liberal/intrinsic (crical, analycal or evaluave thinking, comparave thinking and intellectual open-mindedness) and instrumental (accurate reproducon and applicaon of informaon; skill development e.g. employability) goals by its inherent breadth and cricality (Clarke and Mea 2003; Mearman et al., 2012). Its characteristic interdisciplinarity is also wen call for increased engagement with heterodox economic theories across the social sciences discussed below as it is inherently interdisciplinary, increasing history, philosophy and social theory as well as having real world applicaons (Clarke and Mearman, 2003). Although the exercises detailed hereae are t up in the form of a contending perspecves approach to the concept of alue, they are pedagogically movated by a liberal set of aims focused on increasing students' crical awareness and holisc understanding of economic, social phenomena.

Our introducon of these exercises in the economics porons of social policy and Isp modivated by aforementioned calls for increased sociology programmes is engagement with hete obox ecolomic theories across the social sciences (King, 2016). Brown and Spences (20) 4) go furthest in forwarding a programmacally interdisciplinary stance. Encourage by the emergence of a thriving eld of economic sociology since the 1980s studying works, culture and performavity of nancial modelling (e.g. MacKenzie, 2006), they envisage an alliance between heterodox Gran veer, economics and sociology in light of perceived reluctance by economics departments to valising their teaching programmes (Morgan, 2015). If successful, the alliance would culminate in an 'integrated research agenda' seeing sociology curricula 'fruiully modied to include heterodox economics' (Brown and Spencer, 2014, pp. 946-47). Although primarily movated by meeng student demands and seeking a broader audience for heterodox economic theories, their call for an ethos of intellectual diversity resonates with the pluralist agenda. While the approach we suggest was designed for social policy

and sociology students, we contend that it is equally useful within and outside economics departments as a means of fostering the liberal aims discussed above.

3. The value in 'value'

As John Kenneth Galbraith (1987) observes, value theories, understood as aempts to explain price determinaon, have been at the heart of the discipline since its incepon. Yet, that there are dierent ways to conceptualise value is an insight kept at the margin of economics teaching. Mazzucato (2018, p. 8) writes, 'while economics students used to get a rich and varied educaon in the idea of value... today they are taught only that value is determined by the dynamics of price, due to scarcity and preference.' Indeed, it is now possible for students to progress to an advanced level in their economics educaon without being made aware of concepons of value other than those based on the subjecve preferences, indierence curves, and equilibrium theories that form the bedrock of standard microeconomics courses.

Besides aforemenoned benets of theore and versity, we suggest there is parcular value in interrogang dierent concepens of 'value' in economic thought. This choice has three main raonales. First, value theory lies at the historical and analycal roots of two of the most signicant shools or thought in economic history: classical polical economy and neoclassical economic theory. As Dow (2011) argues, interpretaons of history are important to help students grapple with tacit methodological assumpons of theories not easily apparent from their analycal presentaon. This can assist not only in ritical gaining Serspecve on theories but also enrich students' analycal comprehension. Second, LTV and STV provide an enlightening pair of contrasng concepts ght on foundaonal dierences between neoclassical and some heterodox oaches. Third, a connecon can be made between dierent concepts of value and the stances of polical ideologies with respect to social jusce. In this sense, value represents a 'threshold concept' (Meyer and Land, 2003) that, once grasped, can fundamentally change one's thinking about a variety of issues. Diering interpretaons of value have been argued to lie at the heart of, for instance, adequately grasping

capitalist economic recessions (Kliman, 2012) or one's esmaon of the role of government in economic growth (Mazzucato, 2018). Mazzucato stresses this point, observing that ascribing value to certain economic acvities always involves 'malleable socio-economic arguments which derive from a parcular polical perspecve' (Mazzucato, 2018, p. 14). To take just one of her examples, labour-centric concepons of value are likely to arrive at very dierent measurements of national economic output than those including trading of anything aracng a market price (such as nancial services).

Perhaps more importantly, they also cast a very dierent light on ethical and polical quesons concerning the distribution of the social surplus.

With these raonales in mind, this secon provides brief summaries of classical LTV and neoclassical STV. There remain ongoing debates about the precise rehonship between classical polical economy and the marginalist 'revoluon' of neoclassical economics (Steedman, 1997). However, it is sucient for our purposes to the ease out how these conceptualisaons lead to analycal and polical dierenses over the origins and distribution of wealth (Fine and Milonakis, 2008). In Keeping with the learning exercises presented in ensuing secons, these summaritys focus only on aspects of LTV and STV pertaining to the relaonship between value, price determinaon and distribuonal ethics.

3.1. A brief summary of the labour theory of value (LTV)

Before the neocrassical tradion came to idenfy axiomacally market prices with value, the tradion of political economy going back to thinkers such as Sir William Pey and Frances Quesnay saw value as a concept necessary to explain the raos at which commodities trade as well as the reproducon of the economic system. For the French Invariance school, of which Quesnay's Tableau économique is the most famous example, agricultural producon was the source of wealth; tracing its flows could explain transfers of money between dierent classes (Morgan, 2012). But with onset of industrial revoluon and large-scale factory producon, labour replaced agriculture in the classical polical economy of Adam Smith, David Ricardo, and Karl Marx. Their ideas share enough in common to be considered as contribung to the development of a relavely coherent

LTV. Nevertheless, to understand their commonalies it helps to appreciate their dierences.

At their foundaon is the disnoon between use value and exchange value. The former refers to material ulity derived from a commodity or service, whereas the laer is the market price at which it trades. The disncon draws aenon to the fact that some objects may be very useful but due to their plenitude sell for a low price, and vice very (Smith, 1970, p. 132). Use value is thus a prerequisite for exchange value but do s r determine it. Exchange value is instead explained by labour time invested in produco Smith laid the groundwork for LTV by observing that while market prices supply and demand, a single unit of measurement is necessary to explain long run market prices (Smith, 1970, p. 139). For Smith, since the price of labour actions closely to the cost of workers' means of subsistence, the price of corn serves hat uncon. With the cost of labour aached to a single commodity, Smith concludes natural price' of any commodity is that to which 'the prices of all commodes are connually gravitang' (Smith, 1970, p. 160). The cost of a worker's substance, and the volume of labour required to produce a parcular commodit for the price it would trade at if the market conngencies are abstracted away.

Ricardo went further to develop ZTV in to a rigorous and systemac explanaon of wages, profit and rent. His most is portant advance on Smith was to dispense with the idea that it is necessary to it enf) value with a single commodity (Ricardo, 2015, pp. 8–14). For Ricardo, the exchange value of every commodity is only relave to the labour embodied in other ommod . Thus, calculang costs of producon – commodies' exchange adding up the value of the labour involved in the complete producon value hain. Sica do uses the example of stockings. Their value derives from the 'aggregate sum' Labour involved in growing raw coon, transporng it, building the factory, and so on (Ricardo, 2015, pp. 19–20). From this he concludes prots have an inverse relaonship to wages. Since exchange value is the sum of labour embodied in commodies' producon, it follows that 'prots would be high or low, exactly in proporon as wages were low or high' (Ricardo, 2015, p. 24). Unlike in Marx's theory, this did not lead to a crique of capitalist exploitaon, since Ricardo lacked concepts to idenfy the surplus value

generated by workers with capitalist prot. But it opened the door to 'Ricardian socialists' (Mazzucato, 2018, p. 58) who would campaign for a more equitable distribuon between wages and prots.

Marx took the theorecal core of Ricardo's LTV but fashioned it into a crique of capitalist exploitaon. The most important change he introduced was to disnguish between labour and labour-power. Labour-power is what a worker sells to a capitalist before producon process begins, and prot (surplus value) accrues to the capitalist by compensang labourers for the value they add during producon. This Marx exploitaon. Yet for Marx, exploitaon exists only at the structural, macroecol He believed Ricardo erred in assuming a uniform contribuon of labour and machinery across dierent industries (in Marx's terminology, a uniform 'o gari composion of capital'). Abandoning that assumpon, the rate of prot of capital at enterprises is not equal to their rate of surplus-value (Marx, 1969). It is not passing therefore to say in any parcular industry or enterprise that prots derive solely from exploing workers. Marx's LTV thus presents prot as exploitave only in the social aggregate, and unlike the ameliorative measures advocated by Ricardian codalists, its elimination only possible through overthrowing the capitalist system as a whole.

Despite their dierences, the "terlectual trajectory of classical LTV linked commodies" exchange value, wages and prove to ethical and polical crique of the distribuon of the surplus generated by industrial producon. In addion to the socialist ideologies LTV At has aracted numerous analycal criques, parcularly Marx's lends support to mous 'transformaon problem' (Samuelson, 1971; Morishima, formulaor and in 1974). It is b and the scope of this arcle to attend to this debate. It is enough to note nstream economists classical LTV remains at best controversial and at worst hat fo Prolemaic relic of the discipline's history. Some heterodox economists, on the other hand, connue to see LTV as providing insight into distribuonal issues neglected by mainstream economics and have put the theory to work in econometric analyses of inequality trends and the causes of the recent crisis (Moseley, 2015; Kliman, 2012; Lambert, 2012).

3.2. A brief summary of subject value theories (STV)

While Marx was compleng the nal volumes of *Capital*, an alternave neoclassical STV emerged in the work of William Stanley Jevons, Carl Menger, and Léon Walras. Whereas LTV was concerned principally with explaining the distribuon of the social surplus, STV seeks more narrowly to explain how price levels are set by the equilibrium between supply and demand. Subjecve preferences, ulity and scarcity lie at the centre of this framework. The objecve quanty of labour embodied in a commodity is dispensed with, in its place the marginal analysis of diminishing ulity was introduced to set economics on more scienc basis. In keeping with its Benthamite inspiraon, STV interprets ulity in hedonic terms as the pleasure unique to each individual that can be obtained by acquiring a good (a cardinal quanty which declines with addional quanes of the good acquired). Henceforth value comes to be identied with market prices dictated by consumers' subjecve esmaons of their ulity.

The idea of 'value' itself was viewed suspiciously by of the marginal thinkers. Jevons, for instance, cauons against the use of the ecause of its 'excessive ambiguity' (1871, p. 156). He reframed the disnoon between use value and exchange value as the dierence between the total ulity provided by a good and the 'terminal ulity' provided by the last unit of the good in individual is willing to purchase at the equilibrium price (ibid., p. 157). With his loser precimity to the 'literary' style of the classical polical s more at ease with the notion of 'value'. But like Jevons, it refers economists, Menger solely to subject e valutions of goods dependent upon the life situaon of their owners the the neoclassical tradion translates 'value' into its own theorecal (Menger, 1 us be comes synonymous with marginal ulity and equilibrium analyses, as in lexicor a's Theory of Value (1973). Debi

when neoclassical theorists turned aenon to income distribuon (Sgler, 1946), the aim was to demonstrate that in the same way that free markets deliver the greatest ulity to consumers so too is income distributed eiciently, so that workers receive their just deserts relave to their marginal producvity (Clark, 1899). The possibility this theory was

not movated solely by desire for analycal consistency is revealed by Clark's reecons on the ethical and polical implicaons of LTV:

If they [workers] create a small amount of wealth and get the whole of it, they may not seek to revoluonise society; but if it were to appear that they produce an ample amount and get only a part of it, many of them would become revolutionists, and all would have a right to do so. (Clark, 1899, p. 4)

Although Clark's marginal theory of income distribuon has been subjected to with ering cricism by both neoclassical and heterodox economists ever since, it remains facilty included in macroeconomics teaching and economists' defences of it equality since the crisis (Mankiw, 2013; McGoey, 2017). It is therefore reasonable to conjecture that STV's implicit normavity is that given the operaon of free markets, the distribution of income of wealth will be opmal and just.

In sum, these 'value' concepts mark out dierent problem spaces with diering analycal and ethical commitments. That is what makes them such an enlightening pair of contrasng concepts for teaching purposes. While no set of exercises will be capable of grappling with these concepts at the theoretical depth detailed above, they provide rich source material for imparing used set theoretical diversity within economics teaching. The next secon presents the paragogical raonale for our development of specic learning exercises to only which to introduce these concepts to students.

4. In roduling pluralism through active learning approaches

The consects detailed above are highly complex, but not enrely divorced from individual exerience. Nonetheless, care must be taken in their simplicaon and translaon for students with lile to no background in economics. Thus, a series of acve learning exercises were developed to construct a thread of connuity between students' everyday experiences and complex ideas of polical economy. Acve learning approaches like simulaons, cooperave learning and experiments oer a means of increasing student

engagement and uproong taken for granted assumpons as well as oering interacve ways of shedding light on dierent economic approaches (Peterson and McGoldrick, 2009, p.76). The acvities discussed in the next secon pursue an acve learning approach in three ways: 1) pluralism, 2) simulaon, and 3) problem-solving.

Student engagement is pursued rstly through pluralism itself. Denis (2009, p. 15) suggests pluralist learners 'become active, self-directed learners.' Pluralism works to deepen understandings of the roots of controversy, encouraging students to exercise judgments, becoming conscious of the learning process rather than passive absorbers of assumed truths. Further, teaching about and through controversy helps 'p ex pt the confusion which can occur when students are faced with dierent lerspecyes only occasionally' (Mearman, 2007, p. 8).

Secondly, engagement is fostered through an experienal learning exercise involving a simulaon. Experienal (also called 'evidenal', 'involved' on 'situaonal') learning is the incorporaon of acve, parcipatory learning apportunes through which students move from being passive listeners to acce respondents (Hawtrey, 2007, pp.143-144). Experienal learning fosters what Hawtrey (licid., p. 144) calls 'data learning' as opposed to 'assumpon learning'; students 'ngage proacvely with the subject maer 'to express opinions, use inducve reasoning, or work in teams'. The rst experienal learning exercise, a simulaon of crading commodies in a primive 'marketplace', generates the 'data' on which student' and instructors draw in the second exercise and throughout the remainder of the learning experience.

Finally, the second exercise is a problem-solving acvity introducing students to the 'diametal-vater paradox' the resoluon of which requires applicaon of their insights from the previous exercise. Problem-based learning movates students by creang a need to solve an authenc problem (Hung, et al., 2008). The paradox also teaches students about a real historical problem signicant to early discussions of polical economy (described below). Moreover, it oers a clear illustrator of how LTV and STV dier in their consideraon of the origins of value: for LTV, diamonds are more valuable

because of the labour embodied in them, whereas for STV, diamonds are more valuable because people subjectely value them more (in a simplied rendering).

Overall, the intervenon described hereaer represents a 'problem-rst' or 'inducve' approach to teaching value rather than a 'theory rst' approach. It uses examples drawn from students' experiences of commodities in everyday life, thus aiming to maximise accessibility to non-specialists (Vidler, 1993). Moreover, as Reimann (2004) suggests, the problem-rst approach may be more conducive to pluralism as it encourages die ing explanaons of the problems in queson.

5. Activity Details

Value is introduced in the second topic of a rst-year undergraduate module introducing economic concepts to BSc Social Policy students. The actities are spread across three 50-minute sessions (the rst two in one day) beginning with short instructor-led introducons followed by acvies and discussion. The conest size across the three years on which this compulsory module has been run a small, averaging 8 students per year (24 students total). Value is part of an introducen to historical debates about the nature of capitalism in classical and neoclassical conomic thought. The preceding topic takes a historical approach to introducing economics and its signicance to debates about how society is and should be arganised.

The exercises are designed around a core acvity which produces the 'data' connuously drawn upon by students and instructors as the session progresses. The content is split into three parts: 1) trading role-play acvity, 2) diamond-water paradox, and 3) polical spectrum. Throughout, direct contrasts are made between LTV and STV culminang in the agrimplications onto a polical spectrum. As the module progresses, more detail of the spectrum is filled in, focusing more acutely upon liberalism and welfare economics. The overall aims are to present an introducon to economics and polics that is grounded in dierent understandings of what capitalism is and, for social policy students, to introduce quesons of how/whether social policy can approach emergent problems, including inequality.

5.1. Trading Role-Play

Students are asked (Fig. 1) to engage in a role play in which they select any object they have on their person—mobile phones, watches, pens, pencils, etc. They are instructed to trade these objects with their classmates, treang the trades seriously and fairly, as though they are really going to lose/keep the objects. When they have settled on their trades, they are asked to discuss with their trading partner what made them think the trade was 'fair'.

Across three cohorts, a wide range of responses has been oered including how much one wants or paid for the object, senmental value, various a mpts to 'apsell' an object's value and even an object's weight. These responses form the basis for a class discussion of what makes something valuable. Facilitang the discussion, the instructor isolates two types of explanaons: subject raonales (e.g., personal or senmental valuaons) and object raonales (e.g. weight, having paid more for the object). Students are asked to keep these in mind as the concept of 'value' is inially introduced (Fig. 2).

5.2. Diamond-water paradox

To illustrate dierent paths taken by classical polical economy, students are introduced to the 'diamond water paradox', including its origins in the thought of John Locke and Adam Smith. The paragox is explained to students in the form of a puzzle:

Domond cost a huge amount of money, yet are not necessary for life.

Water is very cheap, yet is absolutely necessary for life.

You cannot live more than a few days without water, but you can get through life ne without ever owning a diamond.

Why are diamonds more expensive?

In groups, students develop explanaons for this paradox drawing insights from the preceding discussion. Following feedback on this discussion, responses associated with

Karl Marx and Adam Smith (LTV) are contrasted with those of later neoclassical thinkers (STV), taking care to link these to student responses. From these basic understandings it is gradually explained how contrasng responses to this paradox create dierent repercussions for society and whether or not capitalist society is or can be made fair and just (described in secon 3). In the nal part of the exercises, these understandings are dislled onto opposing ends of a polical spectrum.

5.3. Political spectrum

In order to illustrate the extreme implicaons of these responses, they are mapled onto a polical spectrum, with Marxism on the le and empirical and natural rights libertarianism on the right. Gradually addional informaon is led in the at these viewpoints, linking their understandings of capitalism with their polical outlooks. The comparison concludes with the juxtaposion of phrases foroperty is the' (Proudhon, 1966)¹ and 'taxaon is the' (Nozick in Barr, 2012, p. 35) represent contrasng viewpoints resulng from diering understandings of value and by extension, prot and exploitaon (Fig. 3.).

During the acvity's third year, the exercise was modied slightly and tested in a tutorial for a module in economic sociology. A simplified approach was tested in a single, 60-minute session involving a students. The intervenon included the trading role-play but dierent perspectes an alue were drawn out in discussion rather than mapped onto a polical spectrum. As the ensuing secon shows, it was successful in encouraging engagement but a mai-session approach is necessary to encourage a fuller appreciaon of the content and its import.

6. Saluation

We ulise a qualitave case-based approach ulising a variety of methods to assess the eecveness of the above described intervenons. Case-based evaluaons are well-

¹ It is noted to students that Marx thought Proudhon's 'property is the' wasn't strictly correct and that 'bourgeois' ideas of 'the' applied 'equally well to the "honest" gains of the bourgeois himself' (Marx, 1985, p. 28).

established within educaonal research as they provide rich detail of parcular contexts, the insights from which, while not strictly generalisable, may nonetheless be relatable to other sengs (Mearman, 2014). To increase this relatability, we experimented with a modified version of the exercises for use with Sociology students at another (UK) university.

Student feedback was elicited rst by asking students to write comments or quesons on a 'sticky note' on leaving the room following the nal session and, three years following the rst introduction of the activies, a survey asking all cohorts of social policy students to reect on and gauge the usefulness of having learned about value theories are on their degrees. Recent graduates who had iniated their degrees prior to the introducon of the module were also surveyed to gauge their interest in economics instruction in general and heterodox economic theories in particular. This produced both immediate and long term evaluave feedback. Transferability to sociological centers was gauged through implementaon in a rst-year module as part of a single conours sociology degree, for which short-term feedback was gathered aer the exercise and long-term feedback is pending the progression of students and repetin further development of the acvies in future years.

6.1. Short-term feedback and results

Immediately following the exercises, all cohorts/programmes (n=33) were asked to evaluate the sucon of the module discussing value theories by wring down any comment or quesons on a scky note when leaving the room (Fig. 4).

the material. Common dicules included grasping the relaonship between value theories and polical implicaons and the complexity and volume of informaon. In response to these diculties, mostly encountered during the rst runs of the module, rather than verbally describing the polical implicaons of LTV and STV, the visual mapping of their implicaons onto a simplied polical spectrum was introduced (Fig. 3).

The usefulness of this visualisaon is conrmed by greater confusion amongst sociology students for whom it was omied.

Some students raised deeper questions about the role of money. Instructors aempted to communicate the complexity of money and raonale behind its abstracon when beginning the next meeng. The most common suggeson was to modify the trading acvity so that students were not forced to make trades they considered unfair. Howeve, in forcing trades, instructors are able to encourage discussion about what made races feel 'unnatural' and what might underlie the sense of being treated unfairly.

In the sociological context, a diculty encountered in discussion was that students provided uniformly subjected takes on the value of the traded objected takes dicult to make the contrast with LTV since the idea had to be introduced by the module instructor. However, students subsequently found polical implications of LTV easier to grasp. Inclass verbal feedback indicated that it would be helpful to introduce the structural perspective necessary to appreciate LTV prior to running the exercise as it seemed too great a leap to make from the perspection an additional trading situation. Instructors may wish therefore to foreshadow potential explanaons prior to the introducon of the activity to help make these connecting cle rer. Moreover, it is likely that there are limits to how much the complexity of the subject maer can be simplied, and a mulple session approach, as an object in the social policy context, is preferable if students are to grasp the full meaning and import of the concepts.

In more instrument, terms, while it is dicult to assess eects of a single set of exercises on more long term goals such as employability, in the short term, Social Policy students across all three cohorts have demonstrated the ability to accurately reproduce and apply lev aspects of both theories in the relevant module assessment (2000 word essay). The average score for these essays has been between 60-70%, which indicates, according to university assessment guidance for this level: accurate explanaons of the topic, appropriate personal reecon, and a very good level of skill in ulising currently available informaon. Moreover, their capacity for reasoned comparison indicates a shi from dualisc toward more mulplisc forms of thought.

6.2. Long-term feedback

Longer term feedback was sought through circulaon of an online survey to all three social policy cohorts. It was also sent to students who had completed their degrees the year before the module was introduced. A total of 54 students were sent the survey and 21 responded (a 39% response rate). Figure 5 summarises their responses to a series of yes/no quesons.

Ten recent graduates who had not taken the module responded. When asked on a libert scale how useful they would have found economics instrucon, 70% stated it would have been extremely or moderately useful and 30% that it would be slightly seful. Five students opted to give further detail; all but one referred to the importance of economics to understanding social policy. One student wrote:

I feel that studying modules in economics is esset to sicial policy. When studying my social policy degree there were no examics modules, and I found that undertaking personal independent sture of economics completely benecial in understanding the interdependent recombine between social and economic policy. so ial policy course some economic theories were Although throughout the BS addressed in dierent modules, rere was no provision of materials and teaching that addressed economics it sserce. I believe that an in-depth knowledge of economics and an ability to crically analyse the economics of social policy would have only furthered m Emic ability and appreciaon of social policy.

The majority (80%) of students who did not take the module stated they would be interested in learning more about non-mainstream economic theories. At least for this mall sample of students, the general interest in pluralism on the part of students discussed in other literature (e.g. Becker, 2004; Mearman, 2007; Beggs, 2012; Stockhammer and Yilmaz, 2015) is conrmed.

Eleven respondents (52%) had taken the module (represenng 46% of students enrolled since rst run). Of these, seven (64%) remembered the specic exercises exploring the

concept of value described above. Five were in their nal year or had completed their studies and were thus at least two years removed from the experience. All students who remembered the exercises stated they found them extremely or moderately useful. When asked to specify to what use they had put value theories, they pointed to assessments in other modules and their general understandings of social policy, society and/or economics. Comments included that s/he had used value to 'demonstrate comparisons' in essays and that it 'helped me to understand the dierences between polical theories we were learning in other modules in a deeper way'. One student wrote:

The discussions around what is value and where does value come from has shaped my own beliefs and altered my understanding of a capitalist society. In turn this has fed into MANY of my modules, including disability policy, having policy, social security and my dissertaon.

While responses were mixed in terms of interest in specically heterodox economic theories, the majority of students expressed an laterest in further study of economics more generally. They offered a variety of ration les in free-text responses (Fig. 6). One student wrote:

Whilst I did nd it the most challenging module of my rst year it was also the stand out module, which allowed me to explore my own views for the rst me.

These views have not stopped developing and I feel that further economics would go hand in land with my own interests.

Another student pointed to the ways that the module opened new quesons that s/he wished to explore:

The module got us asking quesons about things like the crisis but we didn't look into things like that. I would have liked to have a whole module on dierent theories about why crises happen.

All students stated that they would recommend the module to others. When prompted to oer further detail, one student commented that it 'was the module which I felt brought the others together. It has helped me to make sense of the connecon between money, power, polics and social problems.' Another wrote:

I now have some understanding of value that I didn't have before, this will help my future career. Also the lectures were very engaging and complex concepts were explained well. It was one of my favourite modules of the year.

While complexity deterred a minority of students, this feedback suggests that at least in the case of this module and specic teaching intervenon, Denis' (2009) suggeson that teaching through pluralism is successful in increasing student orgagement, crical quesoning and interest in economics is conrmed even if results are more mixed in terms of generang interest in heterodox theories specially, their references to comparison again suggest a move toward mulplisc forms of thought. Our introducon of contending perspecves appears at least paralla successful in fostering the liberal outcomes discussed toward the outset of the paper. Students report value theories prompted further personal and polical quesoning, facilitated comparison, and inuenced their thinking on a bread range of issues, helping them to understand the content of other modules in a deeper way.

7. Conclusion

While the intervenons discussed in this arcle were carried out in social policy and social ogy degree programmes, we contend that the concept of value is a fruiul means through which to introduce pluralism and promote its associated educaonal benets been within and outside of economics departments. To our knowledge, this is the rst arcle to propose a comparison of LTV and STV as a rst step toward which this may be accomplished and to oer instructors specic acvies to replicate in their own classrooms.

Counterpoising contending perspecves early on risks introducing too much complexity and crique, jeopardizing students' grasp of basic concepts. However, it appears that complexity need not be an insurmountable barrier as most students felt the challenge to be ulmately rewarding. Nonetheless, there appears to be a limit to the level of simplificaon possible and a cumulave approach across mulple sessions appears most eecve. It is also interesng to note that across all cohorts, students struggled in inial brainstorming sessions to conceptualise value in material, as opposed to subjecve, terms. However, by the end of the section on value theories, they found the mole materialist explanaons and polical implicaons of LTV to be easier to grasp than these associated with STV.

We contend that value is an important concept to introduce early n as assumpons about its nature and sources can become the foundaon on which are built further, possibly unproblemased understandings of the political and al world. Moreover, teaching LTV and STV together as contending perspecves appears successful in increasing understanding of both theories. We consider our aempts to introduce the een broadly successful in encouraging concept in sociology and social policy to have students to engage with the material and think more deeply about the meaning of transacons they carry out every day and to extend and apply these insights across their student feedback indicates success in fostering a number degree programmes. Moreover, Muding prompng personal and polical quesoning, of liberal educaonal ovect mes in facilitang crical compa isons as well as encouraging them to draw connecons between personal ience, society, economics and polics.

References

- Barone, C. (1991). Contending Perspecves: Curricular Reform in Economics. *The Journal of Economic Educaon* 22(1): 15–26.
- Barr, N. (2012). Economics of the Welfare State. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Becker, W. (2004). Economics for a Higher Educaon. *Internaonal Review of Economic Educaon* 3(1): 52–62.
- Beggs, M. (2012). Occupy Economics. The Economists' Voice 9(3): 1-6.
- Brown, A. and Spencer, D. (2014). Understanding the global nancial crisis: Scio bgy, polica economy and heterodox economics. *Sociology*, 48(5): 938-953.
- Chick, V., and Dow, S. (2005). "The Meaning of Open Systems." Surfal of Economic Methodology 12(3): 363–81.
- Clarke, P., & Mearman, A. (2003). Why Marxist economics bould be taught but probably won't be! *Capital & Class*, 27(1): 55-80.
- Clark, J. B. (1899). *The Distribuon of Wealth: A Theory & Wages, Interest and Prots*. London: Macmillan & Company.
- Colander, D., and Klamer, A. (1987). The M. king of an Economist. *Journal of Economic Perspecves* 1(2): 95–111.
- Debreu, G. (1973). Theory of value of axiomac analysis of economic equilibrium. New Haven: Yale University Tress
- Denis, A. (2009). Plan lism in economics educaon. *Internaonal Review of Economics Educaon* 8(2), 6-22.
- Denis, A. (50.) Special Issue on Pluralism in Economics Educaon. *International Review of Economics Educaon* 8(2).
- Dow, S. (2011). Heterodox Economics: History and Prospects. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 35(6): 1151–65.
- File, B., Milonakis, D. (2008). From Polical Economy to Economics. New York: Routledge.
- Fourcade, M, Ollion, E. and Algan, Y. (2015). The Superiority of Economists. *The Journal of Economic Perspecves* 29(1): 89–113.
- Freeman, A. (2009). The Economists of Tomorrow: The Case for a Pluralist Subject Benchmark Statement for Economics. *Internaonal Review of Economics Educaon* 8(2): 23–40.

- Galbraith, J. K. (1987). A History of Economics: The Past as the Present. London: Penguin.
- Garne, R., and Mearman, A. (2011). "Contending Perspecves, 20 Years on: What Have Our Students Learned?" *Internaonal Journal of Pluralism and Economics Educaon* 2(1): 2–18.
- Granoveer, M. (1985). Economic Acon and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology* 91(3): 481–510.
- Hawtrey, K. (2007). Using experienal learning techniques. *The Journal of Economic Eductor* 38(2): 143-152.
- Hung, W., Jonassen, D., and Liu, R. (2008). Problem-based learning. In J. M. Spector, V. G. van Merriënboer, M. D., Merrill, & M. Driscoll (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educaonal communicaons and technology*. Mahwah: Erlbaum.
- Inman, P. (2013). Economics students aim to tear up free-market syllatus. *The Guardian*. 24 October.
- ISIPE. (2014). Open Leer. [online] Available at: http://xww.bipe.net/open-leer/ [Accessed 11 Jul. 2018].
- Jevons, W. S. (1871). The Theory of Polical Economy New York: Macmillan and Co.
- King, J.E. (2016). Pluralist economics in hy meme. In J. Courvisanos, J. Doughney & A. Millmow (Eds.), *Reclaiming Tecalism in Economics*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- King, J.E., and McLure, M. (2025). Val. et History of the Concept. In J. Wright (ed.) *Internaonal Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*. Oxford: Elsevier.
- Kliman, A. (2012). The Failure of Capitalist Production: Underlying Causes of the Great Recession Lordon: Pluto Press.
- Lambert, 7 (2012 Explaining Levels of Inequality in OECD Naons by Using Rates of Surplus Vaue and Prot to Wage Raos. *World Review of Polical Economy* 3(3): 313–26.
- Laws n, T. (2012). Ontology and the Study of Social Reality: Emergence, Organisaon, community, Power, Social Relaons, Corporaons, Artefacts and Money. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(2): 345–85.
- Mankiw, N. (2013). Defending the One Percent. *The Journal of Economic Perspecves* 27(3): 21–34.
- MacKenzie, D. (2006). *An Engine, Not a Camera: How Financial Models Shape Markets*. Cambridge: MIT Press.

- Marx, K. and Engels, F. (1985). *Karl Marx, Frederick Engels: Collected Works (Vol. 20)*. London: Lawrence & Wishart.
- Marx, K. (1969). Theories of Surplus Value: Part II. London: Lawrence & Wishart.
- Mazzucato, M. (2018). *The Value of Everything: Making and Taking in the Global Economy*. London: Allen Lane.
- McGoey, L. (2017). The Elusive Rentier Rich: Piketty's Data Bales and the Power of Absert Evidence. *Science, Technology, & Human Values* 42(2): 257–79.
- Mearman, A. (2007). *Handbook for Economics Lecturers*. Bristol: Economics Network of the Higher Educaon Academy.
- Mearman, A., Wakeley, T., Shoib, G. and Webber, D. (2012). Does Planalist in Economics Educaon Make Beer Educated, Happier Students? A Qualitave Analysis. *Internaonal Review of Economics Educaon* 10(2): 50–62.
- Mearman, A. (2014). How should economics curricula be exaluated *Anternaonal Review of Economics Educaon*, 16: 73-86.
- Menger, C. (1950). Principles of Economics. Chicago: The Free Press.
- Morishima, M. (1974). Marx in the Light of Godel (Economic Theory. *Econometrica* 42(4): 611–32.
- Morgan, M. (2012). *The World in the Model. How Economists Work and Think*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Pres
- Morgan, J. (2015). Is Economic Responding to Crique? What do the UK 2015 QAA Subject Benchmarks Indicate? *Review of Polical Economy*, 27(4): 518-538.
- Moseley, F. (2015). Neey and Marginal Producvity Theory: A Supercial Applicaon of an Incoherent Theory. *International Journal of Polical Economy*, 44(2): 105-120.
- PCES (2011). Economics, Educaon and Unlearning: Economics Educaon at the University of Manchester. University of Manchester.
- York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Peterson, J. and McGoldrick, K. (2009). Pluralism and economic educaon: a learning theory approach. *Internaonal Review of Economics Educaon* 8(2): 72-90.
- Proudhon, P. J. (1966). What is property? New York: Howard Ferg.

- Reimann, N. (2004). First-year teaching-learning environments in economics. *Internaonal Review of Economics Educaon* 3(1): 9-38.
- Ricardo, D. (2015). *On the Principles of Polical Economy, and Taxaon*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1971). Understanding the Marxian Noon of Exploitaon: A Summary of the So-Called Transformaon Problem Between Marxian Values and Compeve Prices. *Journal of Economic Literature* 9(2): 399–431.
- Smith, A. (1970). The Wealth of Naons: Books I-III. London: Penguin Books.
- Steedman, I. (1997). Jevons's Theory of Polical Economy and the 'Marginalist Revoluen.'

 The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought 4(1): 43–4.
- Sgler, G. J. (1946). *Production and Distribuon Theories: The Formave Period*. New York: The Macmillan Company.
- Stockhammer, E., and Yilmaz, D. (2015). Alternave Economics: A Vew Student Movement. *Radical Philosophy* 189(Jan/Feb): 2–8.
- Vidler, C. (1993). Start making sense. Economics and Business Educaon 1(4): 178–181.



Figure capons

Fig. 1. Value Acvity Instrucons

Objective

Trade something that belongs to you with something of equal value belonging to a peer.

Directions

Choose any item on your person (e.g. watch, pencil, mobile phone, laptop) Find someone in the class with whom to trade your item

Take the trade seriously. This is 'for keeps'!

Everyone must make at least one trade

You can choose another item if no one wants to trade with you stay with your trading partner when you have completed you rate.

Discuss

With your trading partner, discuss:

Why do you think you made a good/fair tra

Did you turn down any other trades? What have you think these trades were less fair?

Do you think your items have equal val.

What makes them have equal or the sual value?

Where do you think the value of the bjects comes from?

Fig. 2. Introducing the concept of value

Some kind of underlying **value** makes one thing exchangeable for another Exchange is at the heart of capitalist society (albeit via money) but exactly what makes it possible is not totally clear

nat is, what makes two dierent things 'equal value' so you can trade them? Derent answers to this queson lead down dierent paths in early economic thought...

Fig. 3. Mapping concepts of value onto polical outlooks

Marxism	Libertarianism
You work some of the time for yourself, some of the me for the capitalist	You work some of the time for yourself, some of the me for the state

Alcohor

Comment	Responses containly accomment type
Enjoyment of activies and student	555
discussion	
Engagement and interest in subject	44%
maer	
Too complex	22%
Dicules relang value theories to	22%
polical implications	
More me needed to discuss	11%
implicaons	
Don't force trades) in e students	44%
opportunity to select objects in	
advance	
Quesons regarding role of money	11%

Fig. 5. Yes/No Quesons Students who had taken Economics of Social Policy module

Queson	Yes	No		Unde-
			recall	cided
We did an acvity where you	64%	36%	0%	0%
were invited to trade objects with				
each other and then discuss on				
what basis the trades were				
possible. This was intended to				
help us understand the concept of				\
value. Do you recall this acvity?			()	
Have you found the concept of	55%	0%	15%	0%
value useful in other modules?				
If you had a chance, would you	70%	37%	n/a	0%
study economics in more depth?				
If you had a chance, would you	26%	18%	n/a	45%
take a module dedicated only to	411			
heterodox/radical economic				
theories (e.g. Marxism) in more				
depth?				
Would you recommend others	100%	0%	n/a	0%
take the module Economics of				
Social Policy?				
Students who had not taken the modu	ıle:			
Would you be interested in	80%	10%	n/a	10%
learning about non-mainstream				
conomic theories, e.g. theories				
of Karl Marx?				

Fig. 6. Raonales for level of interest in further economics instrucon (free text responses)

Comment	Responses
Yes. Interesng/unique/enjoyable	40%
Yes. Module one of most interesting/challenging in degree	20%
Yes. Prompted further personal/political quesoning	10%
No. Too complex	20%
No. Personal preference	10%

