



Swansea University
Prifysgol Abertawe



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in:

The Journal of Wildlife Management

Cronfa URL for this paper:

<http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa45079>

Paper:

Wells, K., Cassey, P., Sinclair, R., Mutze, G., Peacock, D., Lacy, R., Cooke, B., O'Hara, R., Brook, B. et. al. (2016). Targeting season and age for optimizing control of invasive rabbits. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 80(6), 990-999.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21093>

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

<http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/>

1

2 **Assessing the Effects of Season, Frequency, and Age-specificity of Control Efforts on**
3 **Controlling Invasive Rabbits**

4 KONSTANS WELLS, *The Environment Institute and School of Biological Sciences, The*
5 *University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia, and Environmental Futures Research Institute,*
6 *Griffith University, Brisbane, QLD 4111, Australia*

7 PHILLIP CASSEY, *The Environment Institute and School of Biological Sciences, The*
8 *University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia*

9 RON G. SINCLAIR, *Natural Resources Management Biosecurity Unit, Biosecurity SA,*
10 *Adelaide, Australia*

11 GREG J. MUTZE, *Natural Resources Management Biosecurity Unit, Biosecurity SA,*
12 *Adelaide, Australia*

13 DAVID E. PEACOCK, *Natural Resources Management Biosecurity Unit, Biosecurity SA,*
14 *Adelaide, Australia*

15 ROBERT C. LACY, *Chicago Zoological Society, Brookfield, Illinois, United States of*
16 *America*

17 BRIAN D. COOKE, *Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, ACT*
18 *2601, Australia*

19 ROBERT B. O'HARA, *Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BIK-F),*
20 *Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

21 BARRY W. BROOK, *School of Biological Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, TAS*
22 *7001, Australia*

23 DAMIEN A. FORDHAM, *The Environment Institute and School of Biological Sciences, The*
24 *University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia*

25

26

27

28 **ABSTRACT**

29 The effectiveness of invasive species control can be influenced by seasonal fluctuations in
30 reproduction in response to environmental conditions. However, it is difficult to determine
31 how the efficacy of different control efforts is affected by the intricate interplay of
32 demography and environmental conditions from field trials alone. We incorporated an
33 ontogenetic growth model into a hierarchical Bayesian mark-recapture model to estimate age-
34 structured seasonal survival rates for rabbits in Australia, based on a 20 year data set. We
35 integrated this demographic information into an individual-based simulation model, which
36 reproduces seasonal birth-death processes, to test the effectiveness of pest-management
37 schemes that differ in intensity, specificity to age groups, and seasonal timing. Control
38 measures that were simulated to only affect juveniles had a negligible effect on population
39 size, whereas targeting subadults led to considerable population declines when applied after
40 the breeding season. Management schemes that affected rabbits of all age groups caused
41 significant population reductions. However, even repeated control efforts that cause 95%
42 mortality each year only resulted in predictions of local population extirpation after an
43 average of 119 calendar weeks in the absence of immigration. Our simulation study supports
44 the use of pest rabbit control schemes that account for demographic dynamics explicitly, and
45 target those individuals with high reproductive potential. More broadly, we show that local
46 and temporal population extirpation, or recovery, depends largely on the trade-off between
47 control intensity and frequency for species with recurrent population oscillations.

48

49 **KEY WORDS** Bayesian mark-recapture, density dependence, invasive species control,
50 management implementation schemes, *Oryctolagus*, population viability analysis.

51

52 The control of undesirable invasive pest species is often costly and time consuming because it
53 can be extremely difficult to significantly reduce or eradicate entire populations (Byers et al.
54 2002). Population recovery can negate previous efforts, especially for species with high
55 fecundity rates (Boyce et al. 1999). The majority of successful control efforts that led to
56 eradication of vertebrate pest species are documented from islands (Gregory et al. 2014) and
57 have been linked to small population sizes and limited migration (Howald et al. 2007, Harris
58 et al. 2012). However, there is an urgent need to better understand the biological processes
59 and social perceptions that characterise unsuccessful eradication or population reduction
60 efforts in many mainland populations (Parkes 1993, Bomford and O'Brien 1995).

61 Optimizing control efforts to minimize populations of pest species can be particularly
62 challenging when the efficacy of management actions varies over space and time and when
63 density-dependent compensatory responses are strong (Kokko and Lindström 1998). For
64 example, actively removing individuals from a population during the breeding season can
65 trigger relative increases in reproduction and/or survival rates of the remaining individuals
66 due to density-dependent compensatory mechanisms (Xu et al. 2005, Mysterud et al. 2009).
67 Selective removal of individuals from different life stages will cause greater reductions in
68 population size if those with the highest reproductive values are removed (Brooks and
69 Lebreton 2001). Likewise seasonally timed control efforts can help to overcome
70 compensatory regulation (Zipkin et al. 2009). Maximizing the efficacy of control efforts
71 requires targeted and well-planned implementation schemes (Simberloff 2003), but financial
72 constraints usually limit the range of pest management actions that can simultaneously be
73 evaluated in field trials. Simulation studies provide a low-cost alternative tool for exploring
74 the potential efficacy of pest management schemes differing in intensity, timing, and
75 frequency of control efforts. In addition, simulation approaches can provide a cost-effective

76 way to evaluate the potential advantage of new control actions that can then be implemented
77 and tested using field trials (Zurell et al. 2010).

78 In practice, pest managers are often forced to make decisions as to whether to
79 implement control measures over a short time period or to spread their finite resources over a
80 longer period. Quantifying the effects of repeated management actions on population control
81 is particularly important because in practice, control (rather than eradication) efforts are
82 likely to be suspended if population size is perceived to be tolerably low. Therefore, rigorous
83 testing of different management actions with simulations can provide important information
84 on control practices that extend beyond the range of scenarios that are feasible to test in the
85 field. Few simulation studies have investigated directly the importance of the timing of
86 control efforts or other components of pest management schemes (e.g., intensity and
87 frequency of control efforts) on population persistence (Cid et al. 2014).

88 European rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) are a severe economic and environmental
89 pest in many temperate and Mediterranean grassland ecosystems (Tablado et al. 2009, Cooke
90 et al. 2013). After they became widely established in Australia in the late 1800s (Williams et
91 al. 1995), early rabbit control actions were widely compromised by conflicting interests of
92 pest control and the commercialization of rabbit products (Cooke et al. 2013). The large-scale
93 economic benefit of rabbit control was only recognised after the deliberate introduction of
94 myxoma virus (MYXV) in 1950 as a control measure, which causes the disease
95 myxomatosis. The more recent release of rabbit haemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV), which
96 causes rabbit haemorrhagic disease (RHD), in 1995/96 led to further widespread population
97 declines (Cooke and Fenner 2002). Both diseases mainly affect juvenile and subadult rabbits,
98 meaning that high proportions of adults in breeding populations are immuno-protected
99 disease-survivors (Robinson et al. 2002, Kerr 2012). Although both diseases continue to
100 affect most Australian rabbit populations, the high initial impact of each disease has declined

101 over time due to adaptive responses, allowing partial recovery of rabbit numbers (Cooke and
102 Fenner 2002).

103 In Australia, numerous field trials to manage invasive rabbits have provided practical
104 guidance for 'best practice' management actions – the application of poison to reduce
105 population levels, followed by deep ploughing (ripping) of warrens to destroy underground
106 burrow systems, and then fumigation of any re-opened warren entrances to kill rabbits that
107 survive the initial actions (Williams and Moore 1995). This approach is most effective during
108 hot and dry weather conditions in mid-late summer for several reasons: 1) poison is more
109 readily accepted after the breeding season when less natural forage is available, rabbit
110 territorial behaviour diminishes and juveniles are old enough to consume baits, 2) rabbit
111 populations have been reduced by the effects of MYXV and RHDV in spring and early
112 summer, 3) warren destruction with machinery is more effective if soils are dry and friable,
113 and, 4) individuals escaping are exposed to heat stress (Williams et al. 1995). This set of
114 control efforts is capable of reducing local rabbit populations with an efficacy of > 98%
115 (Cooke 1981). Warren destruction is a critical component of the process even when
116 population levels are greatly reduced by the initial poisoning treatment because it reduces the
117 survival prospects and the breeding success of remnant populations (Williams et al. 1995).

118 While rabbit managers in Australia acknowledge the general importance of seasonal
119 timing, intensity of control efforts, and age group on managing rabbits (Fig. 1), simulation
120 studies that couple seasonal population dynamics with different control implementation
121 schemes, provide opportunities to systematically test and compare different management
122 actions. This is an important prerequisite, not only for optimizing the cost-benefit ratio of
123 existing control techniques, but for evaluating the feasibility of new control efforts such as
124 the release of novel biocontrol agents.

125 We used a Bayesian hierarchical mark-recapture model (with an integrated
126 ontogenetic body growth model for age classification) to reconstruct details of birth-death
127 processes over a period of > 15 years for a rabbit population in South Australia affected by
128 both RHDV and MYXV (Mutze et al. 2014). Modelling has shown that the seasonal
129 matching of rabbit recruitment and disease-induced mortality largely determines population
130 growth at the site (Wells et al. 2015). We integrated the mark-recapture results into
131 individual-based stochastic simulation models to explore the efficacy of various pest control
132 implementation schemes, which varied in their timing and duration and targeted age-cohorts.
133

134 **STUDY AREA**

135 In Australia, rabbits typically reproduce in response to rainfall-driven increases in food
136 supply (native and invasive grass and herb species). Rainfall widely varies in time and space
137 across Australia, causing wide-scale spatial variation in the duration of rabbit reproduction
138 and seasonal peaks in recruitment (Gilbert et al. 1987, Mutze et al. 2002).

139 Rabbits, and their diseases, have been intensively studied at Turretfield (South
140 Australia, 34°33'S, 138°50'E), where the annual amount of rainfall varies between 215 and
141 700 mm (SD = 96 mm; based on weather station data 1950–2014 from the Australian Bureau
142 of Meteorology). Rabbits at Turretfield have been live-trapped at least every 4–5 consecutive
143 days at 8–12 week intervals since 1996 in an area of ca. 12 hectares (Peacock and Sinclair
144 2009, Mutze et al. 2014). The Turretfield study represents the largest long-term capture-
145 mark-recapture monitoring of wild free-living rabbits in the world, providing important
146 insights in population and disease dynamics (Cooke 2014).

147

148 **METHODS**

149 **Hierarchical Mark-recapture Model**

150 We analysed mark-recapture data collected at Turretfield between April 1998 and November
151 2013. Our analysis included 3,736 individuals with a total of 7,340 capture records of marked
152 individuals over 80 capture sessions. For most captures (98 %), body mass measures were
153 available (to the nearest ± 25 grams using spring balances) and 249 individuals were
154 recovered as carcasses, which makes the time of death of these individuals known. We
155 employed a Bayesian hierarchical mark-recapture model, in which we included an
156 ontogenetic (population-level) growth model to infer individual birth dates from body mass
157 measures (Unnsteinsdottir et al. 2014). We assumed that all weight measures – some obtained
158 as sequences from consecutive captures of the same individuals over known time intervals –
159 represent the population-level ontogenetic growth of rabbits at our field site. Therefore, the
160 birth dates of all individuals can be estimated as a random variable based on body mass
161 information during individual capture histories “(Fig. S1, available online at
162 www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com)”.

163 We modelled body mass according to the generic West-Brown-Enquist model (West
164 et al. 2001). Estimates of individual birth dates based on the ontogenetic growth function
165 allowed us to estimate the most likely age of individuals (with reduced precision for
166 larger/older rabbits) throughout their capture history and their individual fates. Initial analysis
167 did not reveal any effect of sex on ontogenetic growth or survival, and we therefore did not
168 consider sex as a covariate in our analysis. We used this detailed analytical approach to
169 estimate age-structured survival rates and also provide insights into longevity of individuals
170 that cannot be inferred from the raw data alone. For recovered carcasses, we considered
171 individuals to have died at the time between carcass recovery and previous capture session.
172 We did not infer demographic structure from last capture records (Pradel 2005) because it is
173 biased towards younger ages of individuals (Pradel 2005). Estimates of survival rates were

174 used to inform the simulations (see below), while estimates of longevity were used to
175 interpret the output of the statistical model.

176 Using a Bayesian state-space capture-recapture modelling approach allowed us to
177 account for various sources of uncertainty in model outputs, including incompleteness of
178 observations, which is difficult to account for in classical maximum likelihood approaches
179 (Brooks et al. 2000, Buckland et al. 2004). Incorporating multiple data streams, such as body
180 mass and recovery data into the state-space framework, reduces uncertainty in estimates of
181 individual fate and survival (Schofield and Barker 2011, King 2012). Accordingly, we
182 constrained birth dates to estimates based on body mass measures and informed the (partially
183 unknown) state-variable of individual presence by known times of deaths. For estimating
184 age-structured survival probabilities, we classified the age of each individual in a capture
185 session in five age categories: ≤ 90 days old (juveniles), 91–120 days (subadults), 121–365
186 days (young adults < 1 year old), 366–1460 days (adults between 1–4 years old; estimated
187 average longevity), 1460–2920 days (adults between 4–8 years old). The juvenile class
188 included only those animals that survived long enough to enter the trappable population (i.e.
189 ~ 21 days old), so the estimated survival rates do not account for mortality of rabbit kittens
190 inside the warrens.

191 We did not explicitly partition the effects of RHD and myxomatosis on survival rates
192 in this study, but rather, we assumed that these effects are included in the estimated survival
193 rates. We used a posterior predictive model check (Bayesian p-value) to assess model skill.
194 The metric compares the distribution of randomly drawn data generated from joint posterior
195 estimates to the observed data (Gelman et al. 2005). “Details and model code for the
196 Bayesian state-space capture-recapture model are available online at
197 www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com”.

198

199 **Simulations of Population Dynamics**

200 We parameterised an individual-based population model using the posterior mode estimates
201 of survival rates from our Bayesian model. This model was previously shown to replicate
202 inter- and intra-annual variation in rabbit abundance, using independent field data and
203 approximate Bayesian computation (Wells et al. 2015). We assumed a mortality rate of 50 %
204 of rabbit nestlings during weaning time because the mark-recapture analysis (outlined above)
205 provided relatively low estimates of per capita-birth rates during the main breeding season
206 (Australian winter). We assumed an average ovulation rate of pregnant rabbits in South
207 Australia of 6 (SD = 1) and an average litter size (embryos carried to term) of 6 (SD = 2)
208 based on data of 2,563 examined females at a nearby field site (Belton, Flinders Range)
209 between 1968 and 1993 (Wells et al., in review). We modelled the demographic dynamics of
210 rabbits at weekly time steps. We assumed an annual peak in recruitment (*RepPeak*) in
211 calendar week 39 and the relative distribution of breeding efforts in all other calendar weeks
212 to follow a Gaussian distribution with one SD (*RepVar* = 4) and a total annual reproductive
213 effort (*RepEff*) of 132 % (Wells et al. 2015). A total annual reproductive effort >100%
214 allows some females to reproduce multiple times in a given year. We simulated a single
215 rabbit population with density dependent reproduction (Rödel et al. 2004) and a maximum
216 carrying capacity of ~1,000 individuals. We did not account for immigration and
217 recolonization events, which can be important if neighbouring rabbit populations are only a
218 few kilometres away from each other (Ramsey et al. 2014). This is because, as a first step,
219 our aim was to better establish the relationship between local seasonal population dynamics
220 and different pest control implementation schemes.

221 We modelled environmental stochasticity in birth and survival rates, since it can
222 considerably impact population dynamics. Models were built using the VORTEX 10
223 population viability package (<http://www.vortex10.org>; version 10.0.7.9), which is a freely

224 available tool for building stochastic, individual age- and sex-structured demographic models
 225 (Lacy et al. 2013). VORTEX is widely used in invasion biology and pest management
 226 (Prowse et al. 2013) and has been used in wildlife population viability analysis for almost 3
 227 decades, with regular updates, and is readily accessible for practitioners (Lacy 2000,
 228 Lindenmayer et al. 2000, Lurgi et al. 2015). All demographic events and state transition
 229 dynamics are inherently probabilistic. Furthermore, environmental variation can be simulated
 230 using probability distributions and catastrophes. “Details of model specification and
 231 implementation in the VORTEX software are available online at
 232 www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com”.

233

234 **Pest Control Scenarios**

235 We simulated different pest control implementation schemes (termed ‘scenarios’), which
 236 were modelled as additional mortality on top of the weekly survival rates. Pest control
 237 implementation schemes were characterized by the combinations of 4 different parameters
 238 which we sampled from discrete values based on expert knowledge:

239 1. *Control season* ($Seas_{Contr}$): Seasonal timing of pest control efforts simulated as 4 possible
 240 values of the (first) calendar week of each year, corresponding to, respectively, the middle
 241 and end of summer, and the middle and end of the main reproductive period; $Seas_{Contr} \in 3,$
 242 13, 35, 45 (‘ \in ’ indicates that a parameter value used in the simulations is element of the
 243 given number set);

244 2. *Control time* (t_{Contr}): Allocation of the total annual control effort over consecutive weeks;
 245 $t_{Contr} \in 1, 3, 5$;

246 3. *Control efficacy* (Eff_{Contr}): Efficacy of control efforts, given as the mortality rates of
 247 individuals on top of their natural mortality rates in a population during control efforts;

248 $Eff_{Contr} \in 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 0.95$. For model implementation, mortality based on Eff_{Contr} was
 249 assumed as the total effect over the duration of t_{Contr} ;

250 4. *Control age group* (Age_{Contr}): Classification of the different age groups affected by control
 251 efforts with Age_{Contr} (*juv*) individuals < 13 weeks old, Age_{Contr} (*subad*) individuals < 26 weeks
 252 old (including juveniles), and Age_{Contr} (*all*) all individuals.

253 These pest-control regimes resulted in 144 different scenarios (i.e. all combinations of
 254 the four parameters listed above), and we ran 100 samples (stochastic replicates) for each
 255 scenario. We also ran a baseline model under a no control scenario consisting of 100 samples
 256 with no pest control effects ('untreated population'). We initiated all simulations with 800
 257 juvenile rabbits with an equal proportion of male and females (replicating the number of
 258 juveniles in relatively large local population) and used a 25-year burn-in period with no pest
 259 control efforts to attain a stable age structure (Wells et al. 2015). We then ran each simulation
 260 for a total period of 10 years. We deemed a simulation period of 10 years sufficient to
 261 investigate the effects of different control schemes, since management efforts are typically
 262 constraint to periods of <10 years.

263 We also included disease-free simulations. To do this we repeated all simulations with
 264 the survival rate of subadults set to those of juveniles, and all else being equal. We did this to
 265 test whether the low survival rates of subadults, from our statistical analysis (likely due to the
 266 detrimental effect of recurrent RHD outbreaks) (Mutze et al. 2014), affects the efficacy of
 267 different pest control regimes.

268

269 **Statistical Analysis**

270 The primary outputs from the simulation model were 10-year time series of weekly
 271 population sizes. This was converted to a binary measure of whether a population was
 272 extirpated (local population pool is zero after some time of treatment) or not. For all samples

273 in which populations experienced extirpation, we calculated the average time to extirpation.
274 Further, we calculated the minimum population size during the 10 years of treatment for
275 persistent model runs (McCarthy and Thompson 2001).

276 We used boosted regression tree (BRT) analyses via the *gbm.step()* routine in the R
277 package *dismo* (Elith et al. 2008) to estimate the relative importance of different pest control
278 parameters for explaining variation in population extirpation (binomial error structure,
279 learning rate $l = 0.001$, tree complexity of $tc = 3$) time to extirpation (Gaussian error
280 structure, $l = 0.001$, $tc = 3$) and minimum population size (Poisson error structure, $l = 0.001$,
281 $tc = 3$). We treated the pest control parameters as categorical model variables.

282 For testing the sensitivity of the baseline model to variation in selected input
283 parameters, we sampled 1,000 different values for mortality rates of the different age classes
284 (samples ranged between $\pm 10\%$ of apparent survival rates), maximum litter size (sample
285 range between 5 and 8) and overall yearly reproductive effort (sample range between 100 and
286 200 %) with a Latin hypercube design (Stein 1981). We then tested which parameters were
287 most influential on changes in mean population size and variation in population size
288 (averaged over the 10-year output period) using BRT analyses.

289

290 **RESULTS**

291 **Rabbit Demography**

292 Analysis of the mark-recapture data showed survival rates of rabbits at Turretfield (a
293 population affected by myxomatosis and RHD) were particularly low for subadults (68 % of
294 individuals surviving the 30-day time window of 90–120 days of age, corresponding to a
295 weekly survival rate of 0.92, CI: 0.92–0.95) compared to those of juveniles (83 % of
296 individuals surviving the 21–90 day period after emergence from the warren, corresponding

297 to a weekly survival rate of 0.98, CI: 0.97–0.99) and older individuals. There was
298 considerable variation in survival rates over years for all age classes.

299 We were able to infer individual birth dates and ages with reasonable confidence. The
300 credible intervals (95%) of estimated birth dates were < 100 days for 64% of individuals
301 (2,406 out of 3,736). However, estimates of longevity were less precise, with only 463 out of
302 3,736 estimates (12 %) having credible intervals \leq 100 days uncertainty. Because of this
303 uncertainty, longevity was deemed to be unrealistically high, at 7–8 years for 31 % of
304 individuals. The overall demographic structure of the rabbit population was characterised by
305 as much as 60 % of recorded individuals dying before one year of age (Fig. 2). Capture
306 probabilities varied over seasons and time periods between 0.07 (CI: 0.07–0.08) and 0.37 (CI:
307 0.35–0.38) being highest in spring (Sept–Nov) and increasing towards the end of the study
308 period.

309 The simulation model predicted population size fluctuations (without control actions)
310 to oscillate between ~ 350 and 650 individuals (Fig. 3), which corresponds to the observed
311 numbers in the field at Turretfield when accounting for non-trappable juveniles. Sensitivity
312 analysis showed that fluctuations in population size (based on observed differences from a
313 baseline model without control efforts) were most sensitive to changes in survival rates of
314 juveniles and subadults (i.e. the age classes with lowest survival rates): 56 % and 29 % BRT
315 relative importance weights, respectively. Replacing the low survival rates of subadults with
316 those of juveniles in ‘disease-free’ simulations resulted in population oscillations between ~
317 570–800 individuals (Fig. 3).

318

319 **Efficacy of different Control Scenarios**

320 Only control scenarios that affected all age groups ($Age_{Contr} (all)$) and with control efficacies
321 (Eff_{Contr}) of \geq 60 % resulted in significant rabbit population reduction or extirpation (Fig. 4).

322 Age specificity had the strongest influence on population extirpation, followed by control
323 efficacy, with BRT relative importance weights of 66 % and 33 %, respectively (Fig. 5). For
324 those populations that experienced extirpation, control efficacy (Eff_{Contr}) had the greatest
325 impact (90 % relative importance) on time to extirpation. Notably, even the highest simulated
326 control efficacies of 95 % led to extirpation in only 88 % of simulations, occurring after an
327 average of 119 ± 23 (i.e., \pm SD) weeks (Fig. 6).

328 Removing both juveniles and subadults in control scenarios ($Age_{Contr} (subad)$) induced
329 considerable reductions in minimum population sizes but did not cause extirpation (Fig. 4).
330 These control scenarios reduced populations most effectively when applied outside the
331 breeding season (end of the year or early in the year with $Seas_{Contr} \in 3, 45$). However, the
332 seasonal timing of control scenarios had less impact on population reductions (6 % relative
333 importance) than control efficacy (93 % relative importance) (Fig. 5).

334 In our ‘disease -free’ simulations (equal survival rates for juveniles and subadults),
335 rabbit extirpation was also restricted to those control scenarios that affected all age groups
336 ($Age_{Contr} (all)$). However, higher control efficacies (Eff_{Contr}) of ≥ 90 % were needed to cause
337 extirpation. Overall, reductions in rabbit populations followed similar patterns in the two sets
338 of simulations, demonstrating the additive nature of control efforts on top of possible disease
339 effects.

340

341 **DISCUSSION**

342 Deciding on the optimum manner to control invasive and unwanted species is often
343 challenging for pest managers. This is partly because of a lack of empirical and experimental
344 support for alternative control actions (Cacho et al. 2006, Hauser and McCarthy 2009).
345 Management success can be influenced by multiple factors, including control intensity,
346 timing, and method, all of which work in synergy with the demographic dynamics of the

347 targeted species (Abrams 2009). Therefore, a strong understanding of the interplay of
348 demographic processes, environmental stochasticity, and pest control implementation
349 schemes is critical for guiding ongoing and future pest control actions.

350 In Australia, European rabbits have been actively managed for more than a century
351 and, although control measures are highly cost effective when properly applied in agricultural
352 landscapes (Williams et al. 1995), rabbits continue to damage agricultural production and
353 have detrimental effects on native biodiversity (Cooke et al. 2013). Our model simulations
354 revealed that overall control efficacy is the key factor for reducing the abundance of rabbits
355 (at least at local scales), but only if control efforts target the age cohort of rabbits with high
356 reproductive values (adults). Moreover, our simulations indicate that even highly effective
357 control efforts (i.e., removing 95 % of individuals) require repeated control actions over
358 multiple years for local populations to eventually experience extirpation. Why then have field
359 studies demonstrated long-term suppression of Australian rabbit populations by intensive,
360 short-term application of conventional control methods in arid rangelands (Mutze 1991) and
361 high-rainfall agricultural landscapes (McPhee and Butler 2010)? The key to understanding
362 this apparent contradiction may be that our model simulated immediate population reductions
363 without incorporating subsequent changes in vital rates under novel conditions, such as
364 increased exposure to predation and heat stress following warren destruction. This particular
365 case of novel conditions after warren destruction can limit the recovery of suppressed
366 populations without further control actions (Mutze 1991). Our results support the view that
367 poisoning alone can provide only short-term suppression of rabbit populations and previous
368 recommendations that poisoning should be used in conjunction with warren destruction
369 unless warrens are inaccessible or rabbits are living in surface habitats that cannot be
370 removed. We therefore argue that using general insights from simulation models is a useful
371 first step towards informing the planning of on-ground management actions. However,

372 follow-up field trials will always be needed to account for variability in site-based conditions
373 and model uncertainty. This information can be used to further improve model predictions
374 following an adaptive management type approach (Chee and Wintle 2010).

375 To parameterize our simulation study as accurately as possible, we refined a previous
376 mark-recapture analysis (Fordham et al. 2012) by directly accounting for the age of
377 individuals throughout their capture history and by using a state-space approach to
378 incorporate the uncertainty in birth dates and lifetimes of all individuals. This new analysis
379 showed that survival probabilities of subadults are much lower than those of juveniles
380 (captured after emergence from the burrow). We expect that the low relative survival rates of
381 subadults to be due to a disproportionate effect of RHD on subadults; juveniles have lower
382 mortality rates from RHD (Robinson et al. 2002) and most adults are immune due to having
383 survived infection at a younger age (Mutze et al. 2014). The advantage of the state-space
384 approach is that it accounts for missing data on the fates and ages of individuals by using a
385 likelihood approach to account for the probability that individuals survive beyond last capture
386 dates. We were unable to estimate the ages of older rabbits with a high level of confidence
387 due to moderate field-based capture rates. More precise lifetime estimates would require
388 continuously tracking the fate of individuals using more intense capture efforts (which is not
389 feasible, considering that field efforts at our study site are already very high; see Methods) or
390 individual logger devices; such information would better inform the birth-death processes in
391 our mark-recapture analysis (King 2012).

392 Baseline simulations (i.e. no control actions) parameterised firstly with subadult
393 survival equal to the empirically based low survival rate and secondly, a higher survival rate
394 (equal to that of juveniles) to replicate a ‘disease-free’ population, led to recurrent
395 oscillations, albeit with different population sizes (Fig. 3). Population size in the former
396 scenario was much lower than in the latter scenario. This suggests that RHDV – which

397 causes RHD predominantly in subadults after protection from maternal antibodies has
398 vanished – reduces rabbit populations effectively. This finding is in strong agreement with
399 observations that natural rabbit populations affected by MYXV and RHDV maintain lower
400 densities than before the release of these viruses as biocontrol agents (Bowen and Read 1998,
401 Mutze et al. 1998).

402 We show that any further increases in subadult mortality, through additional control
403 actions (poisoned baiting, warren ripping, etc.) or new biocontrol agents, are likely to lead to
404 considerable reductions in population sizes. Therefore, assessing the feasibility of future
405 potential pest-management actions for controlling species with high fecundity rates, such as
406 rabbits, needs to account for the recruitment process by targeting life stages with the highest
407 reproductive value. Furthermore, we show that, increasing the virulence of established
408 biocontrol agents for rabbits will not necessarily cause a negative long-term effect on the
409 population growth rate if mostly juveniles die from disease. In this situation, high virulence
410 can cause high severity (relatively high number of severe cases), yet have little effect on
411 population growth due to compensatory regulation. This finding is supported by field
412 experiments, which revealed increased survival of rabbits after suppressing reproduction
413 (Williams et al. 2007). Pathogen strains and biocontrol agents (with the same virulence) that
414 cause older rabbits to die are more likely to depress long-term population growth.

415 The results from our simulation modelling also suggest that the dynamics of host-
416 pathogen interactions need to be considered when evaluating the efficacy of novel biocontrol
417 agents. If certain pathogens are only active in certain seasonal time windows, the interaction
418 between timing of pathogen susceptibility and target age cohort can potentially be as
419 important as virulence in determining the long-term effect of biocontrol agents on host
420 populations. Seasonal matching of host demography and virus activity, for instance, can

421 largely impact disease severity from RHDV and other immunizing diseases (Wells et al.
422 2015).

423 We emphasize that our study provides insights that are relevant at a local (but not
424 necessarily regional) scale and for short time periods. Furthermore, our simulations are likely
425 to be sensitive to spatial variation in birth and death rates. Future research should focus on
426 determining whether survival and recruitment rates change following control actions such as
427 warren destruction, the potential recolonization of extirpated patches (Fordham et al. 2013,
428 Ramsey et al. 2014), the economic cost of different management options, and the role of
429 socio-economic factors that may impair the local implementation of control actions (Stenseth
430 et al. 2003).

431

432 **MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS**

433 Our simulations provide a theoretical basis to support recommended ‘best practice’ for rabbit
434 control, which was originally established from field observations of rabbit management
435 operations. We show that 1) control efforts will be optimized if subadult rabbits are targeted
436 after the breeding season (i.e., individuals with the highest reproductive potential); and 2)
437 repeated control efforts must cause 95% mortality of all individuals for more than two
438 consecutive years to result in extirpation. More generally, we show that simulation studies
439 provide a useful platform for understanding how complex interactions between demography,
440 seasonality and pest management schemes are likely to affect rabbit control in Australia.

441

442 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

443 The study was funded by an Australian Research Council (ARC) Linkage Project Grant (LP-
444 1202002A). R.C.L. was supported by US NSF grant DEB-1146198.

445

446 **LITERATURE CITED**

- 447 Abrams, P. A. 2009. When does greater mortality increase population size? The long history
448 and diverse mechanisms underlying the hydra effect. *Ecology Letters* 12:462-474.
- 449 Bowen, Z., and J. Read. 1998. Population and demographic patterns of rabbits (*Oryctolagus*
450 *cuniculus*) at Roxby Downs in arid South Australia and the influence of rabbit
451 haemorrhagic disease. *Wildlife Research* 25:655-662.
- 452 Boyce, M. S., A. R. E. Sinclair, and G. C. White. 1999. Seasonal compensation of predation
453 and harvesting. *Oikos* 87:419-426.
- 454 Brooks, E. N., and J.-D. Lebreton. 2001. Optimizing removals to control a metapopulation:
455 application to the yellow legged herring gull (*Larus cachinnans*). *Ecological*
456 *Modelling* 136:269-284.
- 457 Brooks, S. P., E. A. Catchpole, and B. J. T. Morgan. 2000. Bayesian animal survival
458 estimation. *Statistical Science* 15:357-376.
- 459 Buckland, S. T., K. B. Newman, L. Thomas, and N. B. Koesters. 2004. State-space models
460 for the dynamics of wild animal populations. *Ecological Modelling* 171:157-175.
- 461 Byers, J. E., S. Reichard, J. M. Randall, I. M. Parker, C. S. Smith, W. M. Lonsdale, I. A. E.
462 Atkinson, T. R. Seastedt, M. Williamson, E. Chornesky, and D. Hayes. 2002.
463 Directing research to reduce the impacts of nonindigenous species. *Conservation*
464 *Biology* 16:630-640.
- 465 Cacho, O. J., D. Spring, P. Pheloung, and S. Hester. 2006. Evaluating the feasibility of
466 eradicating an invasion. *Biological Invasions* 8:903-917.
- 467 Chee, Y. E., and B. A. Wintle. 2010. Linking modelling, monitoring and management: an
468 integrated approach to controlling overabundant wildlife. *Journal of Applied Ecology*
469 47:1169-1178.

- 470 Cid, B., F. M. Hilker, and E. Liz. 2014. Harvest timing and its population dynamic
471 consequences in a discrete single-species model. *Mathematical Biosciences* 248:78-
472 87.
- 473 Cooke, B. 1981. Rabbit Control and the conservation of native Mallee vegetation on
474 roadsides in South Australia. *Wildlife Research* 8:627-636.
- 475 Cooke, B., P. Chudleigh, S. Simpson, and G. Saunders. 2013. The economic benefits of the
476 biological control of rabbits in Australia, 1950–2011. *Australian Economic History*
477 *Review* 53:91-107.
- 478 Cooke, B. D. 2014. *Australia's war against rabbits. The story of rabbit haemorrhagic disease.*
479 CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia.
- 480 Cooke, B. D., and F. Fenner. 2002. Rabbit haemorrhagic disease and the biological control of
481 wild rabbits, *Oryctolagus cuniculus*, in Australia and New Zealand. *Wildlife Research*
482 29:689-706.
- 483 Elith, J., J. R. Leathwick, and T. Hastie. 2008. A working guide to boosted regression trees.
484 *Journal of Animal Ecology* 77:802-813.
- 485 Fordham, D. A., H. R. Akçakaya, B. W. Brook, A. Rodriguez, P. C. Alves, E. Civantos, M.
486 Trivino, M. J. Watts, and M. B. Araujo. 2013. Adapted conservation measures are
487 required to save the Iberian lynx in a changing climate. *Nature Climate Change* 3:899-
488 903.
- 489 Fordham, D. A., R. G. Sinclair, D. E. Peacock, G. J. Mutze, J. Kovaliski, P. Cassey, L.
490 Capucci, and B. W. Brook. 2012. European rabbit survival and recruitment are linked
491 to epidemiological and environmental conditions in their exotic range. *Austral*
492 *Ecology* 37:945-957.
- 493 Gelman, A., J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, and D. B. Rubin. 2005. *Bayesian data analysis.* 2nd
494 edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, USA.

- 495 Gilbert, N., K. Myers, B. D. Cooke, J. D. Dunsmore, P. J. Fullagar, J. A. Gibb, D. R. King, I.
496 Parer, S. H. Wheeler, and D. H. Wood. 1987. Comparative dynamics of Australasian
497 rabbit populations. *Australian Wildlife Research* 14:491-503.
- 498 Gregory, S. D., W. Henderson, E. Smee, and P. Cassey. 2014. Eradications of vertebrate
499 pests in Australia: A review and guidelines for future best practice. *Invasive Animals*
500 *Cooperative Research Centre*.
- 501 Harris, D. B., S. D. Gregory, L. S. Bull, and F. Courchamp. 2012. Island prioritization for
502 invasive rodent eradications with an emphasis on reinvasion risk. *Biological Invasions*
503 14:1251-1263.
- 504 Hauser, C. E., and M. A. McCarthy. 2009. Streamlining 'search and destroy': cost-effective
505 surveillance for invasive species management. *Ecology Letters* 12:683-692.
- 506 Howald, G., C. J. Donlan, J. P. Galvan, J. C. Russell, J. Parkes, A. Samaniego, Y. Wang, D.
507 Veitch, P. Genovesi, M. Pascal, A. Saunders, and B. Tershy. 2007. Invasive rodent
508 eradication on islands. *Conservation Biology* 21:1258-1268.
- 509 Kerr, P. J. 2012. Myxomatosis in Australia and Europe: a model for emerging infectious
510 diseases. *Antiviral Research* 93:387-415.
- 511 King, R. 2012. A review of Bayesian state-space modelling of capture-recapture-recovery
512 data. *Interface Focus* 2:190-204.
- 513 Kokko, H., and J. Lindström. 1998. Seasonal density dependence, timing of mortality, and
514 sustainable harvesting. *Ecological Modelling* 110:293-304.
- 515 Lacy, R. 2000. Structure of the VORTEX simulation model for population viability analysis.
516 *Ecological Bulletins* 48:191-203.
- 517 Lacy, R. C., P. S. Miller, P. J. Nyhus, J. P. Pollak, B. E. Raboy, and S. L. Zeigler. 2013.
518 Metamodels for transdisciplinary analysis of wildlife population dynamics. *PloS one*
519 8:e84211.

- 520 Lindenmayer, D. B., R. C. Lacy, and M. L. Pope. 2000. Testing a simulation model for
521 population viability analysis. *Ecological Applications* 10:580-597.
- 522 Lurgi, M., B. W. Brook, F. Saltré, and D. A. Fordham. 2015. Modelling range dynamics
523 under global change: which framework and why? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*
524 6:247-256.
- 525 McCarthy, M. A., and C. Thompson. 2001. Expected minimum population size as a measure
526 of threat. *Animal Conservation* 4:351-355.
- 527 McPhee, S. R., and K. L. Butler. 2010. Long-term impact of coordinated warren ripping
528 programmes on rabbit populations. *Wildlife Research* 37:68-75.
- 529 Mutze, G., P. Bird, J. Kovaliski, D. Peacock, S. Jennings, and B. Cooke. 2002. Emerging
530 epidemiological patterns in rabbit haemorrhagic disease, its interaction with
531 myxomatosis, and their effects on rabbit populations in South Australia. *Wildlife*
532 *Research* 29:577-590.
- 533 Mutze, G., B. Cooke, and P. Alexander. 1998. The initial impact of rabbit hemorrhagic
534 disease on European rabbit populations in South Australia. *Journal of Wildlife*
535 *Diseases* 34:221-227.
- 536 Mutze, G. J. 1991. Long-term effects of warren ripping for rabbit control in semi-arid South
537 Australia. *The Rangeland Journal* 13:96-106.
- 538 Mutze, G. J., R. G. Sinclair, D. E. Peacock, L. Capucci, and J. Kovaliski. 2014. Is increased
539 juvenile infection the key to recovery of wild rabbit populations from the impact of
540 rabbit haemorrhagic disease? *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 60:489-499.
- 541 Mysterud, A., N. G. Yoccoz, and R. Langvatn. 2009. Maturation trends in red deer females
542 over 39 years in harvested populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78:595-599.
- 543 Parkes, J. P. 1993. Feral goats: designing solutions for a designer pest. *New Zealand Journal*
544 *of Ecology* 17:71-83.

- 545 Peacock, D. E., and R. G. Sinclair. 2009. Longevity record for a wild European rabbit
546 (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) from South Australia. *Australian Mammalogy* 31:65-66.
- 547 Pradel, R. 2005. Multievent: An extension of multistate capture-recapture models to uncertain
548 states. *Biometrics* 61:442-447.
- 549 Prowse, T. A. A., C. N. Johnson, R. C. Lacy, C. J. A. Bradshaw, J. P. Pollak, M. J. Watts, and
550 B. W. Brook. 2013. No need for disease: testing extinction hypotheses for the
551 thylacine using multi-species metamodels. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82:355-364.
- 552 Ramsey, D. S. L., S. R. McPhee, D. M. Forsyth, I. G. Stuart, M. P. Scroggie, M. Lindeman,
553 and J. Matthews. 2014. Recolonisation of rabbit warrens following coordinated
554 ripping programs in Victoria, south-eastern Australia. *Wildlife Research* 41:46-55.
- 555 Robinson, A. J., P. T. M. So, W. J. Muller, B. D. Cooke, and L. Capucci. 2002. Statistical
556 models for the effect of age and maternal antibodies on the development of rabbit
557 haemorrhagic disease in Australian wild rabbits. *Wildlife Research* 29:663-671.
- 558 Rödel, H. G., A. Bora, J. Kaiser, P. Kaetzke, M. Khaschei, and D. Von Holst. 2004. Density-
559 dependent reproduction in the European rabbit: a consequence of individual response
560 and age-dependent reproductive performance. *Oikos* 104:529-539.
- 561 Schofield, M. R., and R. J. Barker. 2011. Full open population capture-recapture models with
562 individual covariates. *Journal of Agricultural Biological and Environmental Statistics*
563 16:253-268.
- 564 Simberloff, D. 2003. How much information on population biology is needed to manage
565 introduced species? *Conservation Biology* 17:83-92.
- 566 Stein, M. 1981. Large sample properties of simulations using latin hypercube sampling.
567 *Technometrics* 29:143-151.
- 568 Stenseth, N., H. Leirs, A. Skonhøft, S. Davis, R. Pech, H. Andreassen, G. Singleton, M.
569 Lima, R. Machang'u, R. Makundi, Z. Zhang, P. Brown, D. Shi, and X. Wan. 2003.

- 570 Mice, rats, and people: the bio-economics of agricultural rodent pests. *Frontiers in*
571 *Ecology and the Environment* 1:367-375.
- 572 Tablado, Z., E. Revilla, and F. Palomares. 2009. Breeding like rabbits: global patterns of
573 variability and determinants of European wild rabbit reproduction. *Ecography* 32:310-
574 320.
- 575 Unnsteinsdottir, E. R., P. Hersteinsson, J. P. Jonasson, and B. J. McAdam. 2014. Using
576 Bayesian growth models to reconstruct small-mammal populations during low-
577 trapping periods. *Journal of Zoology* 292:206-211.
- 578 Wells, K., B. W. Brook, R. C. Lacy, G. J. Mutze, D. E. Peacock, R. G. Sinclair, N.
579 Schwensow, P. Cassey, R. B. O'Hara, and D. A. Fordham. 2015. Timing and severity
580 of immunizing diseases in rabbits is controlled by seasonal matching of host and
581 pathogen dynamics. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 12:
582 doi:10.1098/rsif.2014.1184.
- 583 West, G. B., J. H. Brown, and B. J. Enquist. 2001. A general model for ontogenetic growth.
584 *Nature* 413:628-631.
- 585 Williams, C., and R. Moore. 1995. Effectiveness and cost-efficiency of control of the wild
586 rabbit, *Oryctolagus cuniculus* (L.), by combinations of poisoning, ripping, fumigation
587 and maintenance fumigation. *Wildlife Research* 22:253-269.
- 588 Williams, C. K., C. C. Davey, R. J. Moore, L. A. Hinds, L. E. Silvers, P. J. Kerr, N. French,
589 G. M. Hood, R. P. Pech, and C. J. Krebs. 2007. Population responses to sterility
590 imposed on female European rabbits. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44:291-301.
- 591 Williams, K., I. Parer, B. Coman, J. Burley, and M. Braysher. 1995. Managing vertebrate
592 pests: rabbits. Bureau of Resource Sciences/ CSIRO Division of Wildlife and
593 Ecology.

594 Xu, C., M. S. Boyce, and D. J. Daley. 2005. Harvesting in seasonal environments. *Journal of*
595 *Mathematical Biology* 50:663-682.

596 Zipkin, E. F., C. E. Kraft, E. G. Cooch, and P. J. Sullivan. 2009. When can efforts to control
597 nuisance and invasive species backfire? *Ecological Applications* 19:1585-1595.

598 Zurell, D., U. Berger, J. S. Cabral, F. Jeltsch, C. N. Meynard, T. Münkemüller, N. Nehrbaß,
599 J. Pagel, B. Reineking, B. Schröder, and V. Grimm. 2010. The virtual ecologist
600 approach: simulating data and observers. *Oikos* 119:622–635.

601 *Associate Editor:*

602

603 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

604 Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the
605 publisher's website.

606

607

608

609 **FIGURE LEGEND**

610 **Figure 1.** Illustration of possible constraints and sensitivities of different rabbit control
611 actions on seasonal population dynamics. Control actions differ in their chance to kill adults
612 (*grey rabbits*) and juveniles/subadults (*blue rabbits*). The broken diagonal lines signify
613 rabbits eliminated from the population through active control. Note that shooting is only
614 included for conceptual illustration, but is not deemed to be a reasonable action for large-
615 scale rabbit control in Australia.

616

617 **Figure 2.** Demographic population structure of rabbits at Turretfield (South Australia) shown
618 as a frequency distribution of posterior modes of estimated longevity in days (*d*) or years (*yr*).
619 Numbers at the right of each bar represent the average posterior range of individual birth date
620 (*BD*) and longevity (*LT*) estimates for each age class using credible intervals. Individuals
621 with uncertainty in *BD* > 100 days have been excluded from the plot i.e., those mainly with
622 age estimates of 7-8 years.

623

624 **Figure 3.** Seasonal population fluctuations of rabbits simulated over 10 years. The left panel
625 (*Disease-burdened*) shows simulated population size at weekly intervals based on apparent
626 survival rates from the capture-mark-recapture analyses (see results). The right panel
627 (*Disease-free*) shows simulations with the apparent survival rate of subadults set to those of

628 juveniles ($\phi_{\text{subadult}} = 0.42$ rather than 0.03 as in the Disease-burdened scenario), all else being
 629 equal. Grey lines represent single simulation outputs after a burn-in period of 25 years, the
 630 black lines show the mean over 100 samples. Dashed lines represent year intervals.

631

632 **Figure 4.** Expected minimum population size for all scenarios (mean values are shown as
 633 symbols and \pm SD as error bars). Panels show the effect of control scenarios on minimum
 634 population size for different age groups (*Juv* = management of only juveniles; *Juv & Subad* =
 635 management of juveniles and subadults; *All* = management of juveniles, subadults and
 636 adults). Within panels, scenarios are sorted by control efficacy in increasing order (i.e.,
 637 control efficacy = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 0.95), separated by dashed lines. Different symbols represent
 638 different calendar weeks when control efforts are conducted ($\blacksquare=3$, $\blacklozenge=13$, $\blacktriangle=35$, $\bullet=45$).
 639 Panel *NC* shows minimum population size for all ages under a no control scenario.

640

641 **Figure 5.** Relative importance of parameters in the pest control scenarios on three measures
 642 of rabbit population decline (population extirpation, time to extirpation, minimum population
 643 size). The parameters are *control age group* (Age_{Contr}), *control efficacy* (Eff_{Contr}), *control time*
 644 (t_{Contr}) and *control season* ($Seas_{\text{Contr}}$). See methods for further details.

645

646 **Figure 6.** Box-Whisker plot of the average time to extirpation in weeks for pest control
 647 scenarios with different control efficacy (% mortality on top of natural mortality rates),
 648 affecting all age groups. Extirpation did not occur with control efficacies of ≤ 30 %.