Graph convolutional neural network for multi-scale feature learning
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Abstract

Automatic deformable 3D modeling is computationally expensive, especially when considering complex position, orientation and scale variations. We present a volume segmentation framework to utilize local and global regularizations in a data-driven approach. We introduce automated correspondence search to avoid manually labeling landmarks and improve scalability. We propose a novel marginal space learning technique, utilizing multi-resolution pooling to obtain local and contextual features without training numerous detectors or excessively dense patches. Unlike conventional convolutional neural network operators, graph-based operators allow spatially related features to be learned on the irregular domain of the multi-resolution space, and a graph-based convolutional neural network is proposed to learn representations for position and orientation classification. The graph-CNN classifiers are used within a marginal space learning framework to provide efficient and accurate shape pose parameter hypothesis prediction. During segmentation, a global constraint is initially non-iteratively applied, with local and geometric constraints applied iteratively for refinement. Comparison is provided against both classical deformable models and state-of-the-art techniques in the complex problem domain of segmenting aortic root structure from computerized tomography scans. The proposed method shows improvement in both pose parameter estimation and segmentation performance.

1 Introduction

The use of contextual and local information is common in numerous domains, from understanding scene context in images to modeling sentence structure within speech [Oh et al., 2017, Yu et al., 2016]. The idea of a scale-space is introduced by Koenderink [1984] and discussed by Lindeberg [1996] and Tony [2008], in which a multi-resolution decomposition of an input signal is an ordered set of signals at increasingly coarser representations, reducing the finer scale features of an input domain and providing an increasingly generalized representation of the data [Florack et al., 1996]. Given that an observed dataset may describe a sampling of a problem domain in which the spatial scale of the target may be unknown, it can be beneficial to represent the observation across multiple scales. Lindeberg [1996] discusses that the use of feature descriptors are often dependent on the relationship between the size of points of interest within the data and the size of the operators which are to be applied to them. Developing feature extractors that are able to provide information from various levels of scale has been an important area of research in vision communities and is closely linked to drop off in resolution for biological vision systems [Curcio et al., 1990, Lindsay and Norman, 1977], as shown by the topology of the photoreceptors within the human eye, Figure 1. The density of sensory structures within the eye provides a region of focal acuity, whilst the reduction in density towards the outer field of view leads
Figure 1: Spatial resolution of visual sensory receptors within the human eye. The resolution of peripheral vision gradually reduces as the angle of observation deviates from the foveal region (0 degrees). Image from Lindsay and Norman [1977].

to a reduced resolution. Rosenholtz [2016] explains that the loss of acuity in peripheral vision should not result in the perception of a blurred scene, as appears within Figure 2, despite the drop in resolution as angular distance increases from the fovea and center of focus. The understanding of scale and the utilization of contextual information is an important task in computer vision and its use in pattern recognition, and methodologies have been explored which look to handle changes in scale and the relation between an object and its wider context.

Current deep learning approaches incorporate scale information by either producing filters of different sizes or input streams of different resolutions. Both approaches keep the local and contextual information separate until they are fused downstream. In order to explore the use of spatial representation learning on incorporated contextual and local features, we present a generalized methodology for constructing a multi-resolution graph using an irregularly spaced patch sampling method. By using a novel multi-resolution pooling method to create a relatively small patch which contains both local and contextual structural information, we are able to learn features from raw intensities; avoiding the inefficiencies of large patches and the need to train numerous Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models for each scale. This Graph-based Convolutional Neural Network (Graph-CNN) network acts as a detection classifier for a search space optimization framework, which not only eliminates the burden of defining hand-crafted local and contextual features during training, but also significantly reduces the number of potential object pose parameter hypotheses at the testing stage.

The presented study is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a background to the proposed methods. Section 3 introduces an application domain of medical segmentation, on which we evaluate the multi-resolution sampling and deep learning on the irregular spatial domain of the non-uniformly sampled grid. Section 4 outlines the proposed pipeline for automated segmentation using deep learning on the irregular domain. Section 5 presents multi-resolution deep feature learning to drive Marginal Space Learning (MSL) for position-orientation pose parameter estimation, and deformable model segmentation is utilized to obtain accurate regularized meshes. In Section 6 we evaluate the proposed pipeline on the case study of aortic root detection and segmentation on Computerised Tomography (CT) scans of the human torso, providing qualitative and quantitative analysis in Section 7. Conclusions and discussions on the results found are given in Section 8.
2 Related Methodology

2.1 Feature Scale and Contextual Information

Many methods, such as Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) and scale cascades have been developed to utilize hand-crafted descriptor sets in previous years; including the Haar, tilted-Haar, and steerable Haar features seen in many image based object detectors [Bay et al., 2008, Freeman and Adelson, 1991, Lienhart and Maydt, 2002, Lowe, 1999, Viola and Jones, 2001]. Cascade based methods, such as those presented in the Viola-Jones cascade detector, [Viola and Jones, 2001], aim to speed up detection by detecting on contextual features before moving on to local information, utilizing the fast computation of descriptors to discard regions which do not match the learned context.

In more recent years however, the use of deep learning algorithms have become a popular alternative, capable of learning feature descriptors by combining inputs and adjusting their related importance weighting [LeCun et al., 2015]. Standard neural networks have been shown to perform well in domains which exhibit no assumption of spatial relation between input features, and recently the usage of CNNs in domains residing on a regular Cartesian grid, such as 2D images and 3D volumes, has shown that spatially localized features can present beneficial descriptors for problems such as object recognition and detection [Krizhevsky et al., 2012, LeCun et al., 1998, Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014]. Given that the appearance of local structure can significantly vary, contextual structures are often just as important for detection as local details. Using CNNs on large enough 3D patches to capture both local and contextual features is computationally impractical, often requiring complicated networks to capture information at various scales [Cai et al., 2016, Milletari et al., 2016]. Kamnitsas et al. [2017] formulated a CNN architecture with multiple branches. A similar branching scheme is proposed by Kawahara and Hamarneh [2016], with multiple resolutions being kept separate along different tracts of the architecture before being combined as input to a fully-connected architecture. He et al. [2014] proposes a spatial pyramid pooling layer, maintaining local spatial information and removing the need to fix input sizes to a CNN when computing a fixed-length output vector. Ren et al. [2016] combine a object proposal scheme with a CNN classifier to learn spatial information through a region-of-interest pyramid of reference boxes, with a Region Proposal Network identifying key areas for the Fast R-CNN classifier to focus its attention. Figure 3 gives an overview of current approaches to multi-scale deep learning.

2.2 Marginal Space Learning

The estimation of pose parameters is often necessary for 3D object detection, for example there may be 3 optimal parameters each for position (x, y, z), orientation (ω, φ, θ), and local scale (Sx, Sy, Sz). Detection can often be formulated as a classification problem; however to exhaustively represent or search all pose combinations in a single high-dimensional space, Ψ, is computationally impractical. Most anatomical structures have some natural alignment (i.e. the aortic root is near the left ventricle) and therefore it is observed that the probability distribution is clustered in a small localized region of Ψ. The idea of MSL, [Zheng and Comaniciu, 2014], is that the full similarity search space can be marginalized in an attempt to reduce complexity for each increasing level of pose estimation:

Ψa ⊂ Ψab ⊂ Ψabc = Ψ,

where Ψa is the position search space, Ψab is the position-orientation space, and Ψabc is the position-orientation-scale space. It is assumed that the optimal hypothesis Π is contained within the highest probability hypotheses of all marginal spaces, such that

Π = Πabc ⊂ Πab ⊂ Πa.

Given three marginal spaces in (1), three classifiers Ca, Cabc and Cabc can be trained. At the testing stage,
$C_a$ can eliminate the vast majority of false hypotheses in $\Psi_a$, leaving high probability hypotheses $\Pi_a$. These are then passed through $C_{ab}$ to leave $\Pi_{ab}$, which are subsequently passed through $C_{abc}$ to leave $\Pi_{abc} = \Pi$. MSL therefore dramatically alleviates the high computation needed for exhaustive search and has been shown to reduce the number of test hypotheses significantly for applications in 3D volumes [Palmer et al., 2015, Zheng et al., 2012]. When training both position and orientation estimator models, a positive hypothesis must satisfy the condition that

$$|P_k - P^*_k|/S_k \leq 1 \quad \forall k,$$

(3)

where $P_k$ is a single pose hypothesis, $P^*_k$ is its ground truth, and $S_k$ is the corresponding parameter search step.

Formulation of the problem is made as a binary classification task over a regression approach in order to obtain probabilities for selection of a set of hypothesis regions, decreasing the search space without confining the search too much. Deep learning approaches to pose estimation from medical volumes have seen a recent advance [Gessert et al., 2018], however MSL is approached as a binary hypothesis detection problem. It is possible to utilize a logistic regression for the binary classification, however the non-linear activations of a network architecture are able to model more complex boundary decisions and as such can often more accurately reflect more complex problem domains when avoiding local minima traps [Goodfellow et al., 2016].

### 2.3 Graph-based Convolutional Neural Networks

Due to the irregular spatial domain that is provided by a sampling operator which contains information from a non-uniform multi-resolution operation, it is non-trivial to apply standard CNN operators to the patches. We can use fully-connected NN models to learn features for our marginal space classifiers, however such architectures have no constraint on the spatial localization of features from the input space, as would be learned by CNN classifiers on the regular Cartesian domain. Due to their assumption of the regular kernel as a sampling operator, such CNN operators are ill-defined for use on non-euclidean domains. To make use of spatial relationships between the input features in an irregular domain we can formulate the sampling operator as an irregularly spaced sampling of the underlying domain and by defining the multi-resolution patch topology as a graph $G$, with the input intensities forming a graph signal $f$ that resides on $G$ we can utilize the graph Laplacian as a method of encoding the underlying spatial topology of the domain for the purpose of defining localized information. By utilizing Graph-CNN operators, spatially localized features can be learned via spectral filtering techniques developed in the field of deep learning and signal processing on graphs [Defferrard et al., 2016, Edwards and Xie, 2016, Henaff et al., 2015, Kipf and Welling, 2017, Shuman et al., 2013]. This allows end-to-end learning of features on
the irregular space that allow our model to simultaneously observe local features and low-resolution wider contextual information without the overhead of learning a different CNN model for each scale. The utilization of deep learning approaches which utilize graph structures is growing rapidly in recent years, including the learning of graph-wise signals that reside on the graph [Bruna et al., 2014, Edwards and Xie, 2016, 2017], node-wise segmentation [Defferrard et al., 2016, Kipf and Welling, 2017, Monti et al., 2016, Qi et al., 2017, Wang et al., 2018] and graph structure learning [Ying et al., 2018].

From graph construction we obtain the edge weighting and diagonalized adjacency matrices, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ respectively. This allows us to construct the non-normalized Laplacian matrix representation of the graph structure, $L \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, by $L = D - W$. Given a complete Laplacian decomposition we can formulate a Fourier basis for the graph domain and utilize the Graph-CNN operators, comprised of the eigenvector matrix $U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ ordered by its associated decreasing eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Such a basis allows us to represent a given graph signal $f \in \mathbb{R}^N$ in the spectral frequency domain of the graph by computing the spectral signal $\hat{f}$ via the Graph Fourier Transform (GFT):

$$\hat{f} = U^T f .$$

By defining a convolution in the spatial domain as the element-wise multiplication in the frequency domain, we are able to produce spectral filtering operations on $\hat{f}$ with a set of spectral multipliers $k$ by

$$f * k = \hat{f} \circ \hat{k}$$

where $*$ defines the convolutional operation, and $\circ$ represents the element-wise product. Optimizing the weightings of spectral multipliers via back-propagation allows the training of a self-learning feature mining architecture, rather than arduously defining hand-crafted features for a complex domain topology. To ensure that localized features are learned in the spatial domain, we can utilize the property of smoothness in the frequency domain providing spatial locality on the spatial domain [Edwards and Xie, 2016, Henaff et al., 2015]; thus the network tracks $n < N$ weights for each filter, interpolating them up to $N$ for use in (5).

Another core operation in the CNN architectures is the use of pooling, striding across the regular spatial domain of the input feature map with an appropriate max or mean operator, to produce a coarsened resolution map as output. Such pooling operations provide two main benefits, firstly the memory and computational complexity for convolution is reduced for smaller sized feature maps, secondly the learned features are generalized by compression of feature map resolution [Boureau et al., 2010]. The standard CNN pooling operator maintains the spatial regularity of the domain, taking a Cartesian grid as input and returning a Cartesian grid feature map as output. The use of element-wise spectral filtering within the Graph-CNN convolutional operator means each layer of a Graph-CNN would possess a graph signal with $\mathbb{R}^N$ vertices per feature map, with an increasing number of output maps leading to scaling inefficiencies without a pooling operation. Such a graph coarsening strategy looks to remove or aggregate vertices in a given graph, while retaining key information in the graph structure. The coarsening of $G = \{V,W\}$ into a reduced graph, $\hat{G} = \{\hat{V},\hat{W}\}$, is non-trivial, with a wide variety of methods into reducing graph and signal complexity, [Liu et al., 2014, Safro, 2009, Safro et al., 2012, Shuman et al., 2016, Ying et al., 2018]. Since the graph convolution via the GFT requires a fixed Fourier basis, it is possible to pre-compute the required graphs for the architecture before training, and look them up for convenience. Two methods for graph coarsening are the use of Algebraic Multigrid (AMG) and Kron’s reduction pyramids [Safro, 2009, Shuman et al., 2016], a comparison of which is shown on an example of an irregularly sampled 2D grid in Figure 4. Selecting a collection of vertices to keep in the coarsened graph can take several forms, including a selection criteria based on the polarity of the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue, $\hat{V} = \{U_{N,i}\}; U_{N,i} >= 0$, or the use of spectral clustering of the vertices via k-medoids over the eigenvectors [Liu et al., 2014, Safro et al., 2012]. Once we have defined the graph-based convolutional network operators we are able to construct a Graph-CNN architecture as we would with
a CNN, with initial feature mining layers and a subsequent fully connected head. Our proposal utilizes the outlined multi-resolution sampling operation and the use of a Graph-CNN driven MSL approach, upon which we will provide a case study in medical volume structure segmentation.

3 Case Application: 3D Medical Image Segmentation

The use of multi-scale learning is beneficial in many domains, and the proposed usage of multi-resolution sampling and deep learning on the irregular domain is generalizable to the overarching problem of multi-scale representation learning. In this study we provide evaluation of the proposed multi-resolution Graph-CNN on the domain application of medical segmentation, in which the understanding of detailed localized information and the general wider context of the human anatomy is beneficial in detecting small-scale anatomical structures with accuracy [Chen et al., 2015, Gao et al., 2014, Li et al., 2017, Yan et al., 2017, Zhang et al., 2017]. Recently, there has been tremendous work in the application of neural network methods to medical image analysis [Jiang et al., 2010], and in particular CNNs for anatomical organ detection [Kamnitsas et al., 2017, Shin et al., 2016] and unsupervised learning [Shin et al., 2013].

Segmentation is a key area in image analysis and many applications make use of segmentation methods to process a volume into meaningful parts, especially medical volume analysis. Such methods often attempt to label each voxel of a volume into a given class of interest, utilizing appearance information or some structural features extracted from the volume. One such application of medical volume understanding is the segmentation of the aortic valve. Aortic valve stenosis is a common heart disease affecting 3% of the global population, with many cases requiring surgical treatment and the 3D segmentation of the aortic root is beneficial for patient selection, procedural planning and post-evaluation. It is therefore vital to reliably and accurately identify aortic root structure within a patient, Figure 5.

Due to image noise and other ambiguities, non-model based approaches are often unable to detect subtle boundaries between classes in a volume, e.g.
Figure 6: Illustration of proposed Marginal Space Learning classifier. Multi-resolution pooling reduces a large patch into a contextually and locally informative graph signal for use in a Graph-CNN architecture. A Graph-CNN architecture is then constructed using graph convolution and pooling layers to obtain a prediction on position or position-orientation of the observed patch. Color of nodes within the graph representation indicates resolution of a given layer, i.e. lowest outer resolution sampling to the volume edge (blue), highest resolution in central core (red).

Figure 7: Our testing pipeline for the proposed segmentation. Output segmentation magnified for clarity.

those between the valve and left ventricular output tract [Zheng et al., 2012]. However, given an initial shape, deformable models are able to identify this boundary, and have successfully been used for segmentation of the root structure [Grbić et al., 2012, Palmer et al., 2015, Zheng et al., 2012]. Structure generalization and application of priors are often key in methods that perform detection and segmentation of medical imaging data.

Supervised automatic 3D deformable modeling is not only computationally demanding during the testing stage, but it is also labor intensive in preparing training data, e.g. in establishing correspondence for smooth 3D structures. Parameters for effective model regularization as well as useful feature extraction are chosen carefully depending on the application, which can be extremely time consuming. For example, model regularization regularly requires building a statistical model which often demands additional manual labeling [Cootes et al., 1995]. Similarly, choosing optimized discriminative features for both object and boundary detection can be an excessively lengthy process. In this work, we aim to alleviate the burden of feature crafting, as well as implementing an efficient segmentation method using a bottom-up approach with prior regularization.

Appropriate automatic solutions to building statistical models are not well reported in the literature. Notably however, Frangi et al. [2002] proposed finding mesh correspondences based on image data rather than the meshes themselves. The meshes were locally transformed to an atlas and anatomical points were propagated across the set. The transformation was estimated with intensity-based mutual information which is not suitable for noisy images with relatively low contrast between soft tissues, such as car-
diac CT data. As such, we propose estimating the transformation using a mesh-based similarity metric and learned correspondences between training samples. The proposed method eliminates the process of manual landmark labeling, enabling a larger set of fiducial points per shape and providing a reduction in overall time taken to construct a shape model. To initialize deformable models, they need to first be automatically aligned with the test image by performing object detection. Exhaustive pose parameter search in 3D is highly impractical due to possible position, orientation and scale permutations. Alternatively, MSL has been proposed for efficient 3D organ detection [Grbić et al., 2012, Palmer et al., 2015, Zheng et al., 2012] by incrementally searching position, position-orientation and position-orientation-scale spaces. Zheng et al. [2009] presents a method for further reducing the parameter space by further constraining the initial search space based on the statistical correlation between pose parameters in the observed training data, further removing the testing of unnecessary hypotheses. Choosing the appropriate features for classifiers is challenging, as feature type, orientation and scale must be considered, and pathological structures often look significantly different between observations. MSL introduced by Zheng et al. [2008] and Zheng et al. [2012] provides a hand-crafted steerable feature extractor which is used as to produce input for the pose estimation classifiers. We argue that a feature learning based approach should be adopted, such as those obtained through deep learning architectures. The mining of such features has shown marked improvements on the start of the art in numerous image analysis problems [LeCun et al., 2015], and we further propose that incorporating a sense of spatial context into the learning strategy can improve the accuracy of the classifier model produced for MSL. Irregular sampling within MSL has been approached before, notably by Ghesu et al. [2016], where a sparse sampling is taken from within a localized area of fixed resolution and fed to a fully-connected neural network architecture to drive the pose estimation classifiers. The use of a fully-connected network removes the intrinsic spatial relationships between the input features, whereas the proposed method differs from this approach by introducing the use of a patch sampling from across multiple resolutions and by incorporating spatial relationships between the input features by training a Graph-CNN architecture. The proposed multi-resolution sampling provides local and contextual information to the network during training. We also introduce an automated shape model landmark detection approach, providing data-driven statistical shape model generation and reducing user input. Overall, we present a novel pipeline method of deep learning on the graph representation of an irregular multi-resolution spatial domain for identifying target position and orientation hypotheses in aortic root detection. Raw local and contextual intensity features are used in a novel Graph-CNN architecture to mine spatially related features on an irregular spatial topology, avoiding relying upon hand-crafted features or an increased overhead from large patches. A marginal space learning approach is taken to reduce the search space complexity of the large 3D parameter space for segmentation initialization. An initial shape model is learned in an automated fashion by detecting a set of landmark features across the training meshes; reducing the manual effort of
labeling fiducial landmarks on each mesh and allowing for a larger set of landmarks to be identified. A deformable segmentation framework is proposed that does not rely heavily on top-down constraints, instead presenting a non-iterative deformation and shape model regularization step for the initial segmentation of the volume. This is then followed by an iterative refinement of the mesh with local deformations and mesh-based regularization based on a strong boundary detection network. The use of Statistical-Shape-Modelling (SSM) shape constraints and mesh regularization utilizes prior information regarding learned shape context in order to produce a data-driven segmentation with reduced mesh entanglement and user guidance. Results on the proposed method show strong performance benefits in both aortic root pose estimation for the purpose of marginal space learning, and an accurate segmentation of the aortic root structure. Evaluation of the proposed approach is given in the medical segmentation domain.

4 Proposed Approach

The proposed method looks to improve the efficiency of the overall volume processing pipeline in an automated fashion, removing the need to manually label landmark points on numerous training samples by hand, introduce multi-scale learning into the MSL pipeline and to utilize constrained deformable segmentation to produce regularized meshes. Our multi-resolution graph-based sampling produces a patch without overlapping regions, reducing the number of elements sampled in comparison to the equivalent area covered with a conventional regularly sampled patch. Through the use of multi-resolution sampling and Graph-CNN we are able to use a local and contextual sampling patch to feed the network whilst learning localized filters on the multi-resolution graph via graph signal processing operators, Figure 6.

The proposed testing framework is shown within Figure 7. Localization and alignment parameters for the initial mesh, a median mesh from the training set, is efficiently carried out using a novel Graph-CNN-Based Marginal Space Learning (Graph-CNN-MSL) approach. Deformable segmentation is composed of boundary detection and 3D mesh regularization allowing large-scale movements by setting long search paths at the boundary detector stage, with shape constraints applied to avoid mesh and shape irregularities. Local refinement is then performed using deformable segmentation in an iterative fashion, where each iteration is capable of small movements, followed by generic mesh processing.

5 Methodology

The proposed method consists of two major parts; the use of Graph-CNN-MSL to reduce the complex-
ity of parameter search space, and SSM supported segmentation to generate an accurate and regularized mesh of the aortic root, Figure 7. The following section outlines the proposed pipeline components.

5.1 Marginal Space Learning

We train two classifiers which act as detectors for a positive position and orientation within the search space. For our MSL estimators we define the search space criteria as outlined in Section 5.1. The position estimator is composed of search parameters \( P = (x,y,z) \), \( P^t = (x_t,y_t,z_t) \), \( S = (1,1,1) \) voxels, and the input layer features are the intensities from our globally aligned pooling. For the orientation space about the origin-orientation estimator \( P = (x,y,z,\omega,\phi,\theta) \), \( P^t = (x_t,y_t,z_t,\omega_t,\phi_t,\theta_t) \), \( S = (1,1,1,10,10,10) \), and the patches are aligned with the orientation hypothesis. An example volume, with 512 \( \times \) 512 \( \times \) 512 voxels and full 360° orientation space about the X, Y, and Z axes, would result in over \( 6.26 \times 10^{15} \) pose parameter hypotheses. MSL allows us to first search \( 1.34 \times 10^8 \) position parameters, select the top 10 probable position hypotheses, and then search roughly \( 4.66 \times 10^8 \) position-orientation parameters. This is an overall reduction on the order of \( 10^7 \) over exhaustive search of the pose space. Finally, for simplicity, we use the mean local scale of the training meshes to yield a 9-element pose estimation vector \( (x,y,z,\omega,\phi,\theta,S_x,S_y,S_z) \). The use of mean scale incorporates the base scale information used in the multi-resolution sampling, simplifying the process over creating an appropriate scale search space.

5.2 Multi-Resolution Graph-CNN

To produce a graph representation of the multi-resolution sampling operation, for each selected resolution level \( l \), we generate a set of Cartesian coordinates, \( P^l \), sampled at the given resolution rate. We then remove points from \( P^l \) that are spanned by \( P^{l-1} \), discarding observed regions of overlap. Inter-layer edge weighting is calculated as

\[
w_{(i,j)} = e^{-\frac{|v_i - v_j|}{\sigma}}
\]

on an epsilon nearest neighborhood of vertex \( v_i \), with a search radius of \( \epsilon = l \), the current sampling resolution, where \( |v_i - v_j| \) is the \( l^2 \) distance between the vertices \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) and \( \sigma = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \). This returns the 4-way Von Neumann neighborhood relationships of the vertices within a resolution layer. Inter-layer edges connect the lower-resolution layer vertices to the higher-resolution core via the \( l \) nearest neighbor vertices, relating wider contextual features to the high-resolution region of interest within the patch. Weighting for inter-layer edges are defined by scaling Eq. 6 down by the current resolution factor, with \( v_i \) representing a vertex in the current layer, and \( v_j \) a vertex in the high-resolution core.

For this application the selected graph pooling operation is an AMG graph coarsening, selecting vertices in the finer graph resolution for aggregation into coarser vertices within the pooled graph and avoiding an explosion of edges in the coarsened graph when compared to the use of Kron’s reduction [Safro, 2009], Figure 4. Aggregation takes spatially localized subsets of \( V \) from \( G \), and generates a singular vertex for each subset in the new set of vertices \( \hat{V} \) in the output graph \( \hat{G} \). The graph signal, \( f_{1,N} \), associated with \( G \) is then down-sampled to reside on \( \hat{G} \) as the coarser graph signal \( f_{1,n} \), where \( N \) and \( n \) are the original number of vertices and the pooled number of vertices respectively. The AMG operation produces a set of projection matrices, restriction matrix \( R \) and interpolation matrix \( I \), for down-sampling and up-sampling transform of the graph signals between the finer and coarser graph scales. To coarsen the graph signal \( f^0 \) from residing on \( G^l \) to \( f^1 \) on the coarsened graph \( G^l \) we utilize the restriction matrix \( R^0 \) by

\[
f^1 = f^0 R^0 \tag{7}
\]

and to reconstruct the signal we can interpolate through \( f^1 \) as

\[
f^0 = f^1 I^1 \tag{8}
\]

The Graph-CNN models are built as follows. 1) A graph representation of the multi-resolution volume space is generated; 2) Grayscale voxel intensities from the volume are samples using the multi-resolution sampling scheme; 3) Multi-resolution pooling yields
5.3 Statistical Shape Model

The proposed segmentation stage first uses the predicted hypothesis pose parameter vector \( (x, y, z, \omega, \theta, S_x, S_y, S_z) \) to align the initial shape model, a median shape from the training set, to the volume. A boundary detector is then used to guide a non-iterative local deformation that is then constrained via a shape regularization step. Mesh refinement is then obtained via an iterative application of local deformations.

To automatically label training data and identify a SSM for deformation regularization we propose locally transforming meshes to a reference mesh and propagating points across the set. The automated landmark detection allows for a larger set of landmarks to be identified with little impact on the user.

To construct the initial shape model, a target mesh \( M_t = (V_t, E_t, F_t) \) with \( |V_t| = n \) vertices is randomly selected from the training set, and a subset of \( m \) fiducial point vertices are labeled such that \( P_t \subset V_t \), and \( m \ll n \). All other meshes in the set are regarded as source meshes, such that \( M_s = (V_s, E_s, F_s) \) where \( |V_s| = p \), and \( n \neq p \). The aim is to find a subset of \( m \) vertices \( P_s \subset V_s \), that are correspondent with \( P_t \). We work on the assumption that finding correspondences between two shapes becomes much easier if the shapes are similar to each other. Therefore we apply a transformation \( T(x, y, z) : M_s \mapsto M_t \), consisting of global \( T_g(x, y, z) \) and local \( T_l(x, y, z) \) transformations.

\( T_g \) globally aligns both meshes and is formulated as an affine transformation from ground truth vectors. \( T_l \) then takes into account the local differences in shape, and is estimated using (10), (11), and a similarity metric

\[
E(V_t, V'_s) = \sqrt{\sum_i^n (V_i - V''_i)^2}, \quad (9)
\]

where \( V''_s \) are the corresponding nearest neighbor vertices in \( V'_s \) with respect to \( V_t \). For every point in \( P_t \), its nearest neighbor based on Euclidean distance is found in \( V'_s \), resulting in \( P''_s \). Finally, applying \( T_l^{-1} \) to \( P''_s \) yields \( P_s \).

5.4 Deformable Segmentation

After alignment of the initial mesh with pose parameters identified by MSL, the non-iterative deformation stage utilizes appearance features to adjust the vertex set by defining a search path along the normal direction. A boundary detector is trained to find the path coordinate with the optimal boundary response, and landmark vertices are deformed to these positions. In order to avoid hand-crafting features, we again utilize feature learning. For boundary detection we use a shallow fully-connected Neural Network (NN), learning low-level features from a small set of intensities on a local patch, centered at the search path coordinate and aligned with the path direction. The small area of observation ensures iterative refinement of the mesh is based upon response to localized boundary features, with little interference from wider appearance. A 3×3 patch is extracted from each point along a boundary search path, vectorized, and input to a single-layer neural network.

Boundary detection now results in new vertex positions \( V' \), however there is potential for mesh entanglement amongst the new set of vertices. To counter this we use B-spline based 3D mesh regularization, Palmer et al. [2015], where a non-rigid transformation \( T(x, y, z) \) is estimated between \( V \) and \( V'' \) before performing a free-form-deformation (FFD) on \( V \) to fit \( V'' \). To estimate \( T(x, y, z) \), control points \( \phi_{i,j,k} \) separated by \( \delta \), are moved which warp an underlying 3D voxel lattice. Given a set of control points, the
transformation is formulated as follows:

\[ T(x, y, z) = \sum_{l=0}^{3} \sum_{m=0}^{3} \sum_{n=0}^{3} B_l(u) B_m(v) B_n(w) \phi_{i+l,j+m,k+n} \]

(10)

where \( B_l \) represents the \( l \)th basis function of the B-spline, \([i,j,k]\) are the voxel positions, and \([u,v,w]\) are the fractional positions. The positions of \( \phi_{i,j,k} \) are optimized using gradient descent consisting of a smoothness cost and a sum-of-squared-difference similarity metric between \( V \) (after warping) and \( V' \). The final transformation is estimated at multiple resolutions \( R \), similar to FFD registration [Rueckert et al., 1999]:

\[ T^R(x, y, z) = \sum_{r=1}^{R} T^r(x, y, z). \]

(11)

For our purpose, \( R = 3 \), and at each mesh resolution the control point spacing is \( \delta_r = \delta_0/2^r \), which controls the FFD degrees-of-freedom. After applying SSM constraints during segmentation, only corresponding fiducial points are regularized. Thin plate spline warping is used to interpolate remaining vertices, resulting in \( \sim 8000 \) final corresponding vertices.

The next stage of the pipeline is to take the regularized mesh and perform iterative refinement of the mesh boundary by applying repeated rounds of mesh deformation with the NN boundary detector. This avoids a heavy top-down constraint on the segmentation, instead having a single round of top-down shape constraint followed by an iterative data-driven refinement stage. Vertices are iteratively deformed by identifying boundaries along the normal direction as above. A final generic mesh-processing step rounds out the pipeline to regularize and smooth the mesh for output.

6 Implementation

For evaluation of fully automated Graph-CNN-MSL and segmentation, we provide an example application on aortic valve segmentation from 3D-CT scans. We perform 3-fold cross-validation via segmentation on 36 3D-CT volumes of size 512×512×(500 ∼ 800) and voxel size 0.48mm × 0.48mm × 0.62mm. Benefits of utilizing Graph-CNN architectures to learn spatially related features for MSL are evaluated in comparison to use of standard NN and hand-crafted feature classifiers. Comparison of the proposed segmentation stage is given against a state-of-the-art method and traditional statistical shape model based segmentation.

6.1 Marginal Space Learning

Our multi-resolution position estimator consists of a patch comprised of 3 resolutions; an inner core of \( 1 \times 1 \times 1 \), a middle region of \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \), and an outer region of \( 3 \times 3 \times 3 \) times the mean local scale. Multi-resolution layers were pooled at resolutions of \( \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{16}, \) and \( \frac{1}{56} \), resulting in a graph with 395 vertices. Position-orientation inputs were taken from a patch at 3 resolutions; an inner core of \( 1 \times 1 \times 1,2,1 \) a middle region of \( 2 \times 2 \times 2,2 \), and an outer region of \( 4 \times 4 \times 4 \) times the mean local scale. Regions were pooled at resolutions of \( \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}, \) and \( \frac{1}{56} \), respectively, resulting in a graph with 591 vertices. The inner high-resolution core of this patch is cuboid in shape, extending along the Z-axis to provide further high detail information about the ascending aorta to assist with orientation estimation. Coordinates generated from this multi-resolution setup were then used to generate the graph structure for the Graph-CNN operators as defined in Section 5. Figure 9 shows the resulting graph structure for both classifiers utilized in Graph-CNN-MSL, whilst Figure 10 outlines the intra-layer connectivity for each of the sampled resolutions. The graph signals residing on this graph representation correspond to the voxel intensities sampled via the multi-resolution sampling scheme, with the values at each node aligned to the grayscale intensity of the original image sampled at that node’s respective resolution and location.

Two separate Graph-CNN architectures, Figure 11, are utilized to estimate position and position-orientation parameters for shape model alignment. The aortic root position estimation architecture was empirically defined as \( C_{50}P_{25}C_{10} \), where \( C^o \) is a graph convolutional layer with \( o \) output feature maps and \( P \) is an AMG graph pooling layer. Each graph convolutional layer is followed by Rectified Linear
Unit (ReLU) activation, batch normalization, and dropout to further support generalization of features and reduce model overfitting. A binary classification outputs predicted labels and provides a back-propagation target for training. Networks were trained using an ADAGRAD optimization strategy [Duchi et al., 2011], with an initial learning rate of $10^{-3}$ and batch size of 32. Training samples were selected with an object/non-object ratio of $1/50$. The orientation estimator architecture was $C_25C_10$, and utilized an object/non-object ratio of $1/25$.

In order to identify the benefit of utilizing a localized feature extraction constraint provided by the Graph-CNN architecture, a fully-connected neural network was constructed where $C^0$ layers are replaced with fully-connected layers of the same size as in architectures above. These fully-connected networks had no intrinsic representation of spatial relationships between features, essentially representing a fully-connected and equally edge-weighted graph, as represented in Figure 12. Training hyper-parameters of the neural network implementations were kept the same as with the Graph-CNN, utilizing ADAGRAD optimization with a learning rate of $10^{-3}$ and batch size of 32.

Our boundary detector was trained with an equal boundary/non-boundary ratio using intensities from a $3 \times 3$ local patch. Patches were fed through shallow fully-connected network in order to learn low-level boundary features. A comparison hand-crafted feature based approach utilized steerable features and a boosted tree ensemble classifier, as per Zheng et al. [2012].

### 6.2 Segmentation

To generate the required initial shape model for deformable segmentation, we label 70 fiducial points on a single target mesh, which were propagated across the remaining training set as set out in Section 5. We compared the proposed segmentation pipeline with two competing methods: a modified Active-Shape-Modelling (ASM) implementation, and another deformable modeling method [Zheng et al., 2012]. Zheng et al. [2012] consisted of a boundary detector trained with steerable features, followed by Taubin mesh smoothing in an iterative fashion for mesh refinement. For fair comparison, we included a 3D mesh regularization stage in our implementation of ASM.
Figure 11: Graph-CNN MSL network architectures. Top: Graph-CNN position estimator. Bottom: Graph-CNN orientation estimator. Input graph signals result from the multi-resolution patch sampling outlined in Section 6.1.

Figure 12: Examples of graph constructions for a 3×3 regularly spaced grid structure. Left to right: Von Neumann Neighborhoods (4 way), Moore Neighborhoods (8-way), fully-connected (non-spatial if equally weighted). Choice of a suitable graph construction approach is required as the graph represents underlying spatial relationships within the domain.

7 Comparative Analysis and Results

We report evaluation on both MSL and deformable segmentation portions of the pipeline, outlining contribution of Graph-CNN feature learning for aortic root position and orientation parameter estimation, and non-iterative shape deformation with regularization for segmentation.

7.1 Marginal Space Learning

A comparison of classifier methods utilized for MSL is presented in Table 1. The self-learning feature mining methods of NN and Graph-CNN outperform use of hand-crafted features for both position and position-orientation estimation, with Graph-CNN further improving over NN architecture. Being able to accurately and reliably provide hypothesis regions on which to initialize a segmentation algorithm is highly beneficial to following segmentation steps. The Graph-CNN position detector’s sensitivity and specificity were 91.46% and 99.95%, respectively. Sensitivity and specificity of the position-orientation estimator was 89.84% and 84.16%. Given the huge parameter search space, strong specificity results are invaluable to reliably reduce parameter search spaces and greatly increase efficiency. The proposed method provides a significant increase in accuracy over both the hand-crafted and fully-connected neural network implementations. Results showed a significant difference in position estimation accu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSL Method</th>
<th>Position (Voxels ± SEM)</th>
<th>Orientation (Degrees ± SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hand-crafted</td>
<td>9.10 ± 0.57</td>
<td>14.69 ± 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully-Connected</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.47</td>
<td>12.38 ± 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td><strong>1.46 ± 0.36</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.78 ± 1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
racy between Graph-CNN and hand-crafted features, \( t(35) = -11.76, \ p < .001 \). Graph-CNN also provides benefit in orientation estimation over the hand-crafted feature approach, \( t(35) = -9.37, \ p < .001 \). The NN method outperformed the hand-crafted feature approach, as shown in Table 1; the trained estimators provided a significant improvement over hand-crafted features for both position and orientation, \( t(35) = -7.74, \ p < 0.001 \) and \( t(35) = -7.35, \ p < 0.001 \) respectively. When comparing the spatially localized feature learning of the Graph-CNN architecture against the fully-connected neural network approach, Table 1 shows that both the position and orientation estimator see marked improvements, with \( t(35) = -4.31, \ p < .001 \) and \( t(35) = -4.89, \ p < .001 \) respectively.

The Graph-CNN architectures provide a large gain in accuracy over the other methods, utilizing spatial relationships between the high and low resolution spaces in a single descriptor. One benefit of utilizing the underlying spatial topology of the problem domain is the ability to visualize the learned descriptors [Yosinski et al., 2015, Zeiler and Fergus, 2014], and the same can be utilized in Graph-CNN methodologies to identify the localized responses within the network's filters. Figure 13 shows some example feature maps produced by graph convolutions of spectral filters in the Graph-CNN model trained for the position classifier. The feature maps describe the activations of 3 filters (a, b, c) on multi-resolution patches centered at the ground truth of 3 different volumes to show the response to the aortic root structure. The visualization plots a slice through the center of the multi-resolution volume with the topology as in Figure 9. From these visualizations we are able to identify activation responses to the local and contextual information within the multi-resolution patch, with the outer layers responding to lower resolution features from the wider contextual region surrounding the patch center. Figure 13a shows consistent responses across high and low resolutions. Figure 13b displays features which are consistently found in the high resolution region, in this example a diagonalized response across the center. Figure 13c shows features found in the mid-resolution layer of the graph.

### 7.2 Segmentation

Segmentation performance is evaluated in terms of the symmetrical point-to-mesh error and symmetrical Hausdorff distance. Mesh error provides an indication of average error in the predicted segmentation, however the Hausdorff distance gives insight into the presence of outlying regions on the predicted mesh. Overall the proposed pipeline showed notable improvements in segmentation accuracy compared to the comparison methods, with an average Mesh Error of 1.27 ± 0.23mm and a Symmetrical Hausdorff Distance of 6.04 ± 1.50mm (Table 2). The benefit of regularization for suppressing mesh entanglement can be seen by the lower symmetrical Hausdorff distances of the regularized ASM and proposed methods. The use of deformable segmentation refinement helps to drive the mesh error lower, iteratively bringing points closer to the appearance boundaries identified by the shallow network. For both error metrics the proposed method shows lower standard deviation, with the pipeline providing consistently accurate and reliable segmentation. The proposed method provides a significant improvement over the ASM approach for both mesh error and Hausdorff distance, with \( t(35) = -7.17, \ p < .001 \) and \( t(35) = -3.45, \ p = .0015 \) respectively. Compared to the method provided by Zheng, only the Hausdorff provided a significant difference in performance with \( t(35) = -7.68, \ p < .001 \). There was no significant difference between the proposed method and that of Zheng in regards to their mesh error, with \( t(35) = 1.25 \) and \( p = .22 \).

Table 3 highlights findings comparing the overall pipelines. First, proposed use of Graph-CNN for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mesh Error (mm ± STD)</th>
<th>Hausdorff Distance (mm ± STD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regularized ASM</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.63</td>
<td>9.13 ± 2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unregularized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deformation</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.59</td>
<td>10.29 ± 2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.23</td>
<td>6.04 ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mesh pose initialization provides a consistent benefit to the segmentation portion of our pipeline. Second, proposed segmentation steps are able to produce meshes with low Hausdorff Distance to the ground truth, a benefit of regularization for controlling mesh entanglement. It can also be seen that Graph-CNN methods provide low standard deviation across numerous test volumes, indicating that accurate pose parameter hypotheses from Graph-CNN-MSL are beneficial to the following segmentation phase.

7.3 Qualitative Segmentation Analysis

Output from each stage of the segmentation pipeline can be seen in Figure 14, detailing the alignment of an initial mesh to pose parameters identified via Graph-CNN-MSL, non-iterative deformation, application of the SSM constraint, and the iterative deformation stage. Given the difference in pose between ground truth and median initial shape, it is important to identify accurate pose parameters for shape alignment.

Final segmentations and their cropped slices are shown in Figure 15, including different axial views, and Figure 16 compares segmented slices from each evaluated method. Entanglement is observed in slices implementing a top-down approach with no regularization [Zheng et al., 2012]. Figure 16c, whilst the modified ASM fails to expand and meet the boundary contour due to the heavy shape constraint. The proposed method shows it is able to maintain a smooth regularized mesh whilst also deforming towards the boundaries in all spatial directions.

Although the point-to-mesh error of Zheng et al. [2012] is marginally lower (∼ 0.04mm) than the proposed method when initialized with Graph-CNN-MSL, these meshes lack regularization, resulting in the higher symmetrical Hausdorff distance error. It is also worth noting that our method is automated at the training stage, whereas Zheng et al. [2012] requires extensive manual preparation time to produce suitable hand-crafted feature vector representations and identify landmark points across training meshes. By labeling landmark points in an automated fashion we are able to greatly reduce the pre-processing time required to start model training. Local transformation to identify a corresponding subset of vertices across training meshes allows scaling of identified fiducial landmark points in our shape model without drastic increase in pre-processing effort, as seen by the proposal to identify 70 landmark points compared to the 8 within Zheng et al. [2012].

Mesh comparisons in Figure 17 show that some shape constraint is beneficial for generating ordered mesh surfaces. The meshes produced by Zheng et al. [2012] are significantly entangled compared to both the proposed method and the modified ASM, however the modified ASM produced high point-to-mesh errors due to the lack of shape deformation towards the structural boundaries. This shows that strong shape generalization can be too restrictive, and it is critical not to overly rely on top-down constraints. We applied the Taubin smooth as a final mesh smoothing operation to both our proposed method and the comparison method from Zheng. We have also explored the effect of increasing the smoothing effect on the predicted meshes. As can be seen in Figure 18, the repeated smoothing does not correct the mesh entanglement but can initially reduce surface
Table 3: Comparison of MSL initialization methods on final segmentation performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segmentation method</th>
<th>MSL method</th>
<th>Mesh Error (mm ± STD)</th>
<th>Hausdorff Distance (mm ± STD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regularized ASM</td>
<td>Hand-Crafted</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.63</td>
<td>9.13 ± 2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.78</td>
<td>8.42 ± 3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graph-CNN</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.45</td>
<td>6.92 ± 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unregularized</td>
<td>Hand-Crafted</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.59</td>
<td>10.29 ± 2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deformation</td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.66</td>
<td>10.59 ± 3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graph-CNN</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.27</td>
<td>9.10 ± 2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Hand-Crafted</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.56</td>
<td>7.72 ± 3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.52</td>
<td>7.85 ± 3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graph-CNN</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.23</td>
<td>6.04 ± 1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: Output at each stage of segmentation. a) Initial shape model, b) pose alignment, c) non-iterative deformation, d) SSM constraint, e) iterative deformation, f) final mesh regularization, g) post-segmentation smooth, h) ground truth.

Figure 15: Segmentation shown on cropped image slices for illustration. Green contours show ground truth, blue contours show result of proposed method.

failure cases are shown in Figure 19. Visualization of the predicted mesh shows that the overall shape is often reasonable, with the overall model shape, including root and cusps, being present and well formed, however there are some issues with orid.
Figure 17: Segmentation comparison, where each row is the result of a different test image. Columns: 1) Ground truth; 2) modified ASM; 3) Zheng et al. 2012; 4) Proposed method.

Figure 18: Repeated Taubin smoothing of meshes. Starting with an unregularized mesh (top) and a regularized mesh (bottom). Left to right: Ground truth, Applications of smoothing (iteration): 0, 1, 10, 20, 200. The entanglement of the mesh remains through the application of smoothing and the excessive smoothing results in meshes eventually beginning to diverge from the ground truth. Note that ground truth labeling is not locally smooth due to labeling process.

7.4 Complexity of Marginal Space Learning classifier

Graph convolutions, as defined in Section 5, are an element-wise multiplication of the spectral graph signal and a spectral filter, resulting in $K^{l-1}N$ trainable weights and biases per output feature map, where $N$ is the number of vertices in the graph and $K^{l-1}$ is the number of input feature maps. For our Graph-CNN implementation, we utilize the property that smooth spectral filters provide localized filtering in the spatial domain. Such a formulation provides the benefit of spatially localized features, and a reduction in the number of tracked weights for our network to optimize. By tracking only $n < N$ weights and interpolating the filter up to $N$ via a smoothing kernel, we are able to reduce the number of tunable parameters in an output feature map to $K^{l-1}n$. A smaller $n$ provides more localization, but also introduces noise in the gradient steps during back-propagation optimization [Edwards and Xie, 2016]. This parametrization helps improve parameter complexity of the graph convolution for a given filter from $O(n)$ to $O(K)$, given a constant tracked number of weights. For NNs, full connection provides $O(n)$ complexity with a separate weighting for each input feature. If utilizing standard CNNs architectures, the ability to integrate local and contextual features comes with increased complexity from a multi-branch approach [Kamnitsas et al., 2017, Kawahara and Hamarneh, 2016] with a full set of weights for each branch, or from weight sharing through dilated kernels [Wolterink et al., 2017] which learns multiple scales of the same feature. With multi-resolution patches and Graph-CNNs we...
are able to learn spatial features between the high and low resolution input features without tracking multiple branches for each resolution.

8 Summary

In this study we have presented a novel method for deep learning in the irregular domain of the non-uniformly sampled grid. A patch-sampling mechanic generates a single spatial domain comprised of numerous layers at differing resolutions. Through the proposed Graph-CNN operators and architecture, we are able to learn features across multiple resolutions, utilizing the intrinsic spatial relationships between features at both local and wider scales. The use of conventional CNNs in such a domain is unfeasible due to the irregular sampling used, which does not satisfy the array structured input required for regular convolutional operations. The sampling method reduces the number of input features and does not require multiple branches to a pyramid of filters or inputs, reducing the complexity of the network architecture.

In evaluating the proposed method, we present a fully automatic, deformable modeling framework for 3D aortic root segmentation in CT images. The multi-resolution sampling strategy is generalized to 3D data, forming an irregularly spaced volume sampling method. The novel segmentation pipeline method significantly reduced the time taken for training by automatically finding shape correspondence across the training set and utilizing deep learning for discriminative feature extraction, rather than hand-crafting features for the task. The MSL search space optimization benefited from a novel implementation of a multi-resolution sampling for Graph-CNN based learning of features, learning spatially related features within an irregular spatial domain. Both qualitative and quantitative results justified our proposed segmentation pipeline over a top-down approach.

Future work will explore the development of end-to-end graph-based segmentation architectures which utilize the multi-resolution approach proposed here to produce a dense segmentation of the observed domain, whether grid-based or not, making use of local and contextual information.
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