Finite-density QCD transition in a magnetic background field
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Using numerical simulations of lattice QCD with physical quark masses, we reveal the influence of magnetic-field background on chiral and deconfinement crossovers in finite-temperature QCD at low baryonic density. In the absence of thermodynamic singularity, we identify these transitions with inflection points of the approximate order parameters: normalized light-quark condensate and renormalized Polyakov loop, respectively. We show that the quadratic curvature of the chiral transition temperature in the “temperature–chemical potential” plane depends rather weakly on the strength of the background magnetic field. At weak magnetic fields, the thermal width of the chiral crossover gets narrower as the density of the baryon matter increases, possibly indicating a proximity to a real thermodynamic phase transition. Remarkably, the curvature of the chiral thermal width flips its sign at \( eB_\text{fl} \approx 0.6 \text{GeV}^2 \), so that above the flipping point \( B > B_\text{fl} \), the chiral width gets wider as the baryon density increases. Approximately at the same strength of magnetic field, the chiral and deconfining crossovers merge together at \( T \approx 140 \text{ MeV} \).

The phase diagram in the parameter space “temperature–chemical potential–magnetic field” is outlined, and single-quark entropy and single-quark magnetization are explored. The curvature of the chiral thermal width allows us to estimate an approximate position of the chiral critical end point at zero magnetic field: \( (T_{\text{CEP}}; \mu_{\text{CEP}}) \approx (100(25) \text{ MeV}, 800(140) \text{ MeV}) \). These results are based on numerical simulations performed mainly at the lattice time extension \( N_t = 6 \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Strongly interacting fundamental particles, quarks and gluons, form a plasma state at sufficiently high temperature. The quark-gluon plasma (QGP), which existed at certain stage of the evolution of the early Universe, may also be created in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. The QGP has been studied at relativistic heavy ion collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven National Laboratory, at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN, and will also be subjected to further investigation at Nuclotron Ion Collider fAcility at JINR in Dubna, and the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research in Darmstadt [1].

These experiments offer a unique tool to investigate the QCD phase diagram in a range of increasing baryon densities. A collision of heavy ions creates a QGP fireball which expands, locally thermalizes, cools down, passes through the confining/chiral QCD transition, and then (re) hadronizes into final-state colorless states, hadrons. Noncentral collisions also generate a very strong magnetic field which may affect, at least at the early stages, the evolution of the QGP fireball [2]. Despite that the whole process evolves in an out-of-equilibrium regime, certain features of the expanding QGP at zero or sufficiently low baryon density can be determined by its properties in the thermodynamic equilibrium, which are accessible in numerical lattice simulations of QCD.

At vanishing magnetic field and zero baryon density, the equilibrium QCD experiences a broad crossover transition [3] which incorporates the transitions associated with the
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restoration of the chiral symmetry and the loss of the color confinement in the high-temperature regime.

The crossover has a noncritical character, with both phases being analytically connected. The chiral and deconfining transitions need not to happen precisely at the same point. Moreover, due to the nonsingular nature of the crossover, the concrete value of the “pseudocritical” temperature depends on the operator which is used to define it. The most recent studies indicate that the chiral crossover transition, determined via the inflection point of the light-quark chiral condensate, takes place at $T_c^{\text{ch}} = 156.5(1.5)$ MeV [4]. The deconfinement transition, identified as the inflection point of the Polyakov loop, appears at substantially higher temperature value, $T_c^{\text{ord}} = 171(3)$ MeV [3]. Alternatively, one may also use the susceptibilities of these order parameters which would give slightly different crossover transitions even in the thermodynamic limit.

Among many possible options, we define the pseudocritical temperatures of the chiral and deconfining transitions via the inflection points of the light-quark chiral condensate and the Polyakov loop, respectively. These quantities are the order parameters of QCD with quarks of zero masses (the chiral limit of QCD) and with quarks of infinite masses (the pure Yang-Mills theory), where the associated symmetries are not broken explicitly.

Due to the analyticity of the transition, the continuity arguments suggest that the pseudocritical nature of the transition persists in a low-density region at small values of the baryonic chemical potential $\mu_B$. Thus, at sufficiently low baryon density, the transition temperature may be expanded over even powers of $\mu_B$,

$$T_c(\mu_B) = T_c(0) - A_2\mu_B^2 + A_4\mu_B^4 + O(\mu_B^6), \quad (1)$$

where $A_2$ and $A_4$ are the first two curvature coefficients of the pseudocritical transition line. The general form of the polynomial (1) is supported by the analyticity arguments at $\mu_B = 0$ along with the invariance of thermodynamic properties of the system under the charge reflection, $\mu_B \rightarrow -\mu_B$; due to charge conjugation symmetry, the transition temperature of an equilibrium QGP is an even function of the baryonic chemical potential $\mu_B$.

Lattice simulations of the $T-\mu_B$ phase diagram give the first-principles determination of the transition line (1), which may be confronted with the results of the heavy-ion experiments on the chemical freeze-out line. The freeze-out line corresponds to another curve in the $T-\mu_B$ plane at which the hadron abundances, that encode the chemical composition of the expanding plasma, get stabilized and thus leave an imprint in the experimentally measured hadronic spectra. It is expected that the chemical freeze-out of the expanding quark-gluon plasma takes place right after the completion of the (re)hadronization process, so that the chemical freeze-out temperatures of a generic QGP fireball lie below the pseudocritical temperature curve (1). The observed momenta of hadrons provide more details on the thermal freeze-out stage that happen at later stages after the chemical freeze-out [5]. The chemical freeze-out temperature may well be described by a polynomial fit similar to the crossover temperature (1) [6].

We study hot strongly interacting matter at low baryonic density subjected to a classical strong magnetic-field background. These environmental parameters match the quark-gluon plasma created in the noncentral collisions at the LHC. Due to computational constraints, we do not consider inhomogeneous effects of the high vorticity which is an inevitable feature of plasma created in noncentral collisions with large initial angular momentum [7].

In the first-principles lattice simulations, the effects of the strong magnetic field ($B \neq 0$), low baryonic densities ($\mu \neq 0$), and high temperatures ($T \sim T_c$) were studied, so far, in different combinations. At zero magnetic field, the presence of the baryonic matter lowers the pseudocritical temperature of the QCD crossover transition in the region of low baryon densities. This property is rigidly established in numerical simulations of lattice QCD with imaginary baryonic chemical potential $\mu_i \equiv i\mu_B$ [4,8,9] and is also well understood in effective modes of nonperturbative QCD [10–12]. A review of recent lattice results may be found in Ref. [13].

At zero baryonic density, the strengthening of the magnetic-field background leads to a smooth decrease of the QCD transition temperature [14], often associated with the decrease in the chiral condensate. These phenomena, known as the inverse magnetic catalysis, are not well understood. The strength of the thermodynamic crossover transition was found to increase with the magnetic-field background, possibly indicating the existence of a magnetic-field induced phase transition end point at zero baryon density [22].

Notice that the effect of the background magnetic field on transition temperature depends on the masses of the dynamical quarks. The lattice results also vulnerable to lattice artifacts induced by the coarse lattice spacing and unimproved discretization of the lattice action. In Ref. [23], it was concluded that the latter effects are likely responsible for the observation, made in earlier studies of Refs. [24,25], of the (direct) magnetic catalysis phenomenon at relatively large (unphysical) quark masses; the transition temperature was shown to raise slightly with the strengthening of the magnetic field. However, a recent careful analysis indicates

\footnote{A difficulty of the theoretical description of the inverse magnetic catalysis, observed at low quark masses, exhibits itself in the very fact that a set of standard effective models predict exactly the opposite phenomenon [15–17], the usual magnetic catalysis, provided the parameters of the models are not fine-tuned to specific functions of magnetic field or the models are made nonlocal [18]. Various effective models are also employed to study thermodynamics of QCD in the background magnetic field [19–21].}
that the crossover temperature—calculated as an inflection point of the chiral condensate—appears to be a decreasing function of the magnetic field for all quark masses [23]. In this article, we consider QCD with physical quark masses and with a Symanzik-improved action for gluons and stout-improved 2 + 1 flavor staggered fermions, that minimize the presence of lattice artifacts.

Thus, both baryonic density ($\mu_B \neq 0$) and the magnetic-field background ($B \neq 0$), considered separately, force the temperature of the crossover transition $T_c$ to drop. Hence, it would be natural to expect that the combined effect of both these factors, $\mu_B$ and $B$, should enhance each other and lead to a much stronger decrease of the crossover temperature.

One of the results of our article is that we confirm the mentioned qualitative expectations. We will also show that the magnetic field affects the magnitude of the leading curvature $A_2 = A_2(B)$ of the transition temperature $T_c = T_c(\mu_B, B)$, Eq. (1). However, we will see that the combined effect of the magnetic field and the baryon density leads to unexpected effects such as strengthening (weakening) of the finite-temperature chiral crossover transition at low (high) magnetic field, with the change of the regime at the magnetic strength of the order of the (vacuum) mass rho-meson squared. An interplay of the wide deconfinement crossover and the narrow chiral crossover is discussed in details. The single-quark magnetization is studied for the first time.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we discuss particularities of the lattice model and describe technical details of our numerical simulations, which were performed on $N_t = 6, 8$ lattices generated with a Symanzik-improved gluons and stout-improved 2 + 1 flavor staggered fermions at imaginary baryonic chemical potential with subsequent analytical continuation. The properties of the chiral and deconfinement crossovers, uncovered via the chiral condensate of light quarks and the Polyakov loop, are presented, respectively, in Secs. III and IV. We discuss the pseudocritical temperatures and the thermal widths of both transitions, as well as the effects of the magnetic field and the imaginary chemical potential on these quantities. In Sec. V, we use the renormalized Polyakov loop to calculate the single-quark entropy and the single-quark magnetization. The differences between the properties of the magnetization of the bulk quarks and the single-quark magnetization are outlined. The last section is devoted to the discussion of the overall picture of the crossover transitions and to conclusions.

### II. DETAILS OF NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

#### A. Quark densities and chemical potentials

We consider the lattice QCD with three, $N_f = 2 + 1$, quark flavors: two light, up ($u$) and down ($d$), quarks and one heavier, strange ($s$), quark. The total number of quarks $N_f = \int d^4\vec{x}\bar{\psi}_f(0)\psi_f(0)$ of the definite flavor $f = u, d, s$ is controlled by the set of the chemical potentials $\mu_f$, via the direct coupling in the density part of the action, $\sum_f \mu_f N_f$.

The conserved quantities—the baryon number $B$, the electric charge $Q$, and the strangeness $S$—are determined by the corresponding chemical potentials $\mu_q$ with $q = B, Q, S$ and are related to the quark numbers as follows:

$$B = (N_u + N_d + N_s)/3,$$

$$Q = (2N_u - N_d - N_s)/3,$$

$$S = -N_s.$$  \(2\)

Each quark, irrespective of its flavor, carries one-third baryonic charge, and their electric charges are $q_u = 2/3e$ and $q_d = q_s = -1/3e$, where $e = |e|$ is the elementary charge. The strangeness of the $s$ quark is $S = -1$, while $u$ and $d$ quarks carry zero strangeness.

Comparing the density part of the action on the basis of the quark numbers and on the basis of the conserved charges, $\sum_q \mu_q q = \sum_f \mu_f N_f$, we find the following relations between all six chemical potentials:

$$\mu_u = \mu_B/3 + 2\mu_Q/3, \quad \mu_B = \mu_u + 2\mu_d,$$

$$\mu_d = \mu_B/3 - \mu_Q/3, \quad \mu_Q = \mu_u - \mu_d,$$

$$\mu_s = \mu_B/3 - \mu_Q/3 - \mu_S, \quad \mu_S = \mu_d - \mu_s.$$  \(3\)

In our simulations, we take equal potentials for the light quarks and zero chemical potential for the strange quark

$$\mu_s = 0, \quad \mu_u = \mu_d = \mu = \frac{\mu_B}{3}.$$  \(4\)

With this setup, the chemical potentials for the light quarks, $\mu$, and for the baryon charge, $\mu_B$, are related via Eq. (4), $\mu_B = 3\mu$. The chemical potential for the electric charge is zero, $\mu_Q = 0$. Controversially, the strange chemical potential takes its value from the one of the light quarks, $\mu_S = \mu$. However, in the absence of a strong electromagnetic background, which would otherwise distinguish between the up and down (strange) quarks due to the difference in their electric charges $q_f$, this choice of the chemical potentials corresponds to near-equal densities of the light quarks and vanishing strange quark density in the quark-gluon plasma phase. Such quark-gluon plasma should necessarily possess a nonzero (positive) electric charge, but so do the colliding ions. Therefore, the choice of the quark content (4) is considered to be a natural one, and it is
used in many numerical simulations of the quark-gluon plasma [9,27,28]. In any case, the dependence of the curvature of the phase transition on the chemical potential of the relatively heavy quark is negligible [29,30], so that we may safely set the chemical potential of the strange quark $\mu_s$ to zero.

## B. Lattice partition function

In our numerical simulations, we partially follow the numerical setup of Ref. [9]. We perform lattice simulations of QCD with $N_f = 2 + 1$ flavors in the presence of purely imaginary quark chemical potentials, $\mu_f = i\mu_f, \mu_f \in \mathbb{R}$, with $f = u, d, s$, subjected to a strong magnetic-field background. We work with the following Euclidean partition function of the discretized theory:

$$Z = \int DU e^{-S_{YM}[U]} \prod_{f=u,d,s} \det \left( M^f_{\mu}(u, U, \mu_f) \right)$$

where the functional integration is performed over the SU(3) gauge link fields $U_{x\mu}$ with the tree-level-improved Symanzik action for the gluon fields [31,32]

$$S_{YM}[U] = -\frac{\beta}{3} \sum_{x,\mu \neq \nu} \left( \frac{5}{6} W_{x\mu\nu}^{1ex} - \frac{1}{12} W_{x\mu\nu}^{2ex} \right).$$

The lattice coupling $\beta$ is related to the continuum gauge coupling $g$ in the standard way, $\beta = 6/g^2$. The action (6) is given by the sum over the traces of the flat $n \times m$-sized Wilson lines $W_{x\mu\nu}^{1ex} \equiv W_{x\mu\nu}^{ex}[U]$ labeled by the plane vectors $\mu$ and $\nu$, and by the starting point $x$.

The quark degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) enter the partition function (5) via the product of the determinants of the abundance of SU(3) gauge link fields

$$\tilde{Z}_{N_f}^\mu = \text{det} \left( M^f_{\mu}(u, U, \mu_f) \right)_{x,y}$$

constructed from the two-times stout-smeared links $U_{x\mu}^{2ex} = U_{x\mu}^{ex}[U]$ following the method of Ref. [33] with the isotropic smearing parameters $\rho_{\mu\nu} = 0.15$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. Here $a = 1(\beta)$ is the lattice spacing. The stout smearing improvement is a standard technique used to ameliorate the systematics related to the effects of finite lattice spacing and reduce taste symmetry violations [34]. Following similar approaches [9,27–30,35,36], we use the rooting procedure in the partition function (5) in order to remove a residual, fourth degeneracy of the lattice Dirac operator (7).

The Dirac operator (7) corresponds to the quarks with the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_f$ subjected to the magnetic-field background $B$. The chemical potential enters the Dirac operator (7) via the additional phases $e^{i\mu_f S_f^{(u)} x}$ and $e^{-i\mu_f S_f^{(d)} x}$ associated with the temporal links in, respectively, forward and backward directions. The magnetic field appears in the quark operator (7) of the $f$th flavor via the composite link field, $\tilde{U}_{x\mu}^f = U_{x\mu}^f \cdot U_{x\mu}^{(2)}$, where $U_{x\mu}^{(2)}$ is the usual (stout-smeared) SU(3) gauge field, while the $U_{x\mu}^{(2)}$ prefactor represents the classical $U(1)$ gauge field corresponding to the uniform magnetic-field background. We consider the classical magnetic background so that the kinetic term of the Abelian field $U_{x\mu}^{(2)}$ is absent.

In a finite volume with periodic boundary conditions, the total magnetic flux through any lattice plane must be an integer number in units of the elementary magnetic flux [37,38]. For our lattice geometry $N_s^3 \times N_t$, this property leads to quantization of the strength of the uniform magnetic field $B$, acting on the quarks of the $f$th flavor,

$$B = \frac{1}{q_f N_s^2 a^2}.$$  

Here the integer quantity $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ counts the number of total magnetic fluxes. Given the fact that the quark electric charges are not the same, one takes the minimal charge, $q_f \equiv |q_f| = e/3$, so that the quantization (9) gives a consistent field for all three quarks,

$$eB = \frac{6\pi n}{N_s^2 a^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N_s^2.$$  

For the uniform magnetic field $B_i = \delta_{i3}B$ directed along the third axis, the Abelian link field $u_{x\mu}^f = \tilde{u}_{x\mu}^f(x)$, acting on the quark of the flavor $f$, may be chosen in the following explicit form [25]:

$$u_{x\mu}^f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = e^{-i q_f B x_3/2}, \quad x_1 \neq N_s - 1,$$

$$u_{x\mu}^f(N_s - 1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = e^{-i q_f B(N_s + 1)x_3/2},$$

$$u_{x\mu}^f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = e^{i q_f B x_3/2}, \quad x_2 \neq N_s - 1,$$

$$u_{x\mu}^f(N_s - 1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = e^{i q_f B(N_s + 1)x_3/2},$$

$$u_{x\mu}^f(x_1) = u_{x\mu}^f(x) = 1.$$  

where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is the four coordinate with the elements running through $x_k = 0 \ldots N_s - 1$. The magnetic field $B$ is given by Eq. (9).

Due to the periodic structure of the Abelian field (10), the magnetic field cannot be larger than maximal value, determined by the flux number $n_{\max} = \lfloor N_s^2/2 \rfloor$, where $[x]$ gives the greatest integer less than or equal to $x$. Thus, the nonzero lattice magnetic field $B$ may only be imposed in the range $6\pi/(eN_s^2 a^2) \leq B \lesssim 3\pi/(ea^2)$, where the strongest value of the field may lead to strong ultraviolet artifacts.
To avoid these discretization artifacts, we take \( n \ll N_t^2/2 \) in our numerical simulations.

### C. Observables

#### 1. Chiral sector

The chiral condensate \( \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle \) is the most straightforward characteristic of the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking in the system of fermions \( \psi \). The condensate vanishes in the phase with unbroken chiral symmetry, \( \psi \to e^{i\gamma_5}\psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi} e^{i\gamma_5} \), while its deviation from zero signals the violation of the chiral symmetry. The chiral condensate corresponds to an order parameter of the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking of massless fermions, for which the group of chiral transformations is an exact symmetry group of the classical Lagrangian.

In QCD, the nonzero masses of quarks, \( m_f \neq 0 \), break the chiral symmetry explicitly, in all phases. Therefore, the chiral condensate, in a strict mathematical sense, is not an order parameter. However, the condensate of light up and down quarks, with masses well below the characteristic QCD energy scale \( m_u \sim m_d \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \) may still serve as an approximate order parameter and thus effectively probe the chiral dynamics.

The chiral condensate of the quark flavor \( f \) is given by the partial derivative of the partition function \( Z \) with respect to the quark’s mass

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_f = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial m_f},
\]

where \( V \) is the spatial volume of the system.

In our \( N_f = 2 + 1 \) simulations, the masses of the light \( u \) and \( d \) quarks are degenerate, \( m_i \equiv m_u = m_d \). Therefore, it is convenient to introduce the common light-quark condensate given by the sum

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_l = \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial m_i} = \langle \bar{u}u \rangle + \langle \bar{d}d \rangle.
\]

The chiral condensate of \( f \)th flavor

\[
\langle \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f \rangle = \frac{T}{4V} \langle \text{Tr} M_f^{-1} \rangle
\]

is evaluated as the trace over the negative power of the Dirac operator \( (7) \). Numerically, this calculation is performed with the help of the noisy estimators which comprise \( O(10) \) random vectors for each fixed flavor.

The finite-temperature renormalization of the light-quark condensate \( \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle \) in the presence of the condensate \( \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \) of the third, heavier quark \( s \), is implemented following the prescription of Ref. [39]:

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle(B, T, \mu_I) = \frac{\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_l - \frac{m_i}{m_s} \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}{\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_l - \frac{m_i}{m_s} \langle \bar{s}s \rangle}(0, 0, 0),
\]

where \( m_i \) is the bare mass of the strange quark \( s \).

The condensate entering the denominator of the renormalized condensate \( (14) \) is computed in the vacuum state, i.e., at zero magnetic field \( B = 0 \), zero temperature \( T = 0 \), and zero (imaginary) chemical potential \( \mu_I = 0 \). We took the data for this quantity from (interpolated, when needed) results of Ref. [9]. Other possible renormalization prescriptions may be found in Refs. [27,28].

#### 2. Gluon sector

The nonperturbative dynamics of the gluon sector gives rise to the confinement of color: the formation of the colorless hadronic states, mesons and baryons, in the low-temperature QCD. At high temperatures, these states melt, and the system enters the quark-gluon plasma phase with unconfined quarks and gluons. The order parameter of the quark confinement is the Polyakov loop, which may suitably be formulated in the Euclidean QCD as follows:

\[
P = \frac{1}{V} \sum_x \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \left( \prod_{x,x' \epsilon A} U_{x,x' \epsilon A} \right).
\]

The Polyakov loop operator is averaged over the spatial volume \( V = N_t^3 \) with the spatial coordinate \( x \).

In a purely gluonic Yang-Mills theory, the vacuum expectation value of the Polyakov loop \( (15) \) vanishes in the confining, low-temperature phase and differs from zero in the high-temperature phase that corresponds to the quark-gluon plasma regime. In a purely gluonic theory, the Polyakov loop \( (15) \) is an exact order parameter associated with the spontaneous breaking of the global \( Z_3 \) center symmetry, \( P \to ZP \), where \( Z = e^{2\pi i/3}, n = 0, 1, 2 \) are the elements of the center subgroup \( Z_3 \) of the \( SU(3) \) group. In the presence of light dynamical quarks, the Polyakov loop represents an approximate order parameter of the quark confinement.

For practical reasons of studies of the deconfinement phenomenon, it is convenient to consider the real part of the Polyakov loop,

\[
L = \text{Re} P.
\]

#### D. Parameters

We perform numerical simulations at finite temperature around the phase transition using mainly \( N_t^3 \times N_f = 24^3 \times 6 \) lattice. In order to estimate the magnitude of the lattice artifacts related to the ultraviolet cutoff effects, we also repeated certain runs on another, \( 32^3 \times 8 \) lattice with the same ratio \( N_f/N_t = 1/4 \). The comparison of the selected set of results with the ones obtained on the third lattice geometry, \( 32^3 \times 6 \), gives us an opportunity to
estimate the robustness of our data with respect to the finite-
volume effects.

The zero-temperature data, used in the renormalization
of the condensate (14), were taken from simulations on a
32^4 lattice of Ref. [9]. The physical temperature \( T = 1/(a(\beta) N_t) \) is controlled by the lattice coupling constant \( \beta \). The lattice spacing varied from \( a = 0.113 \) fm at our
largest coupling \( \beta = 3.7927 \) till \( a = 0.253 \) fm at the lowest
coupling \( \beta = 3.4949 \).

The bare (lattice) masses of the quarks, \( m_l \) and \( m_s \), are
fine-tuned at each value of the lattice coupling \( \beta \) in order to
keep the pion mass at its physical value, \( m_\pi \approx 135 \) MeV,
and maintain, at the same time, the physical ratio of the
quark masses, \( m_s/m_l = 28.15 \). This line of constant
physics is well known phenomenologically from the
numerical simulations of Refs. [40–42].

We simulated the lattice QCD at the physical point at
seven values of the background magnetic field in the interval
\( eB = (0.1 - 1.5) \) GeV^2. We took eight points of the imaginary
chemical potential of the light quarks, \( \mu_l \equiv \mu_{I,l} \), in the
range from a zero value up to \( \mu_{I,l}/(\pi T) = 0.275 \).

In the paper, we present only statistical error bars, a
thorough study of systematic uncertainties lies beyond the
scope of this work.

III. CHIRAL CROSSOVER

A. Chiral condensate and discretization errors

We have performed the numerical calculations of the chiral condensate at the wide range of the external magnetic
fields, \( eB/\text{GeV}^2 = 0.1, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.5 \), and at a
dense set of the imaginary chemical potentials \( \mu_l/(\pi T) = 0, 0.1, 0.14, 0.17, 0.2, 0.22, 0.24, 0.275 \).

The data for the renormalized chiral condensate may be
excellently described by the following function:

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle_I^c(T) = C_0 + C_1 \arctan \frac{T - T_{ch}^c}{\delta T_{ch}^c}, \tag{17}
\]

which has also been used to study the condensate at zero
magnetic field in Ref. [9]. The fitting function (17) contains
four free parameters: two amplitudes \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \), which
describe the scale of the condensate and the degree of its
variation over in the crossover region, as well as the
pseudocritical transition temperature \( T_{ch}^c \) and the width of
the crossover \( \delta T_{ch}^c \). All four fitting parameters in Eq. (17)
are the functions of the magnetic field \( B \) and the imaginary
chemical potential \( \mu_I \).

The numerical data for the condensates and their fits are
shown in Fig. 1 for a set of imaginary chemical potentials at
smallest nonzero and largest values of the magnetic field.
On a qualitative level, the data clearly demonstrate the well-
known effect of the inverse magnetic catalysis: the stronger
the magnetic field \( B \) the smaller the chiral crossover
temperature \( T_{ch}^c \). They also show that at fixed magnetic
field, the increase of the imaginary chemical potential \( \mu_I \)
leads, as expected, to increase of the critical crossover
temperature.

Before going to the quantitative description of the main
results, we estimate the influence of effects of ultraviolet
and infrared artifacts of the lattice discretization. At zero
magnetic field, these effects were investigated in
Refs. [9,29], and we extend the study to the case of the
strongest magnetic field, \( eB = 1.5 \) GeV^2, shown in the
bottom plot of Fig. 1 for the lowest and largest available
imaginary chemical potentials, \( \mu_{I,l}/(\pi T) = 0 \) and 0.275.

The analysis of lattices with different spatial volumes
\( N_s = 24, 32, 32 \) at fixed temporal extension \( N_t = 6 \) ensures
us that the volume-dependent infrared effects are almost
negligible. The inspection of the lattices with \( N_s = 24, 32 \) and
fixed ratio \( N_s/N_t = 1/4 \) demonstrates that while the
ultraviolet discretization effects on the condensate are
noticeable, the effect of varying lattice spacing on the
transition temperature is rather small.

In order to quantify these assertions, we show in Table I
the critical temperature \( T_c \) at both vanishing and largest
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studied chemical potentials $\mu_I$ at lattices of all mentioned geometries. The critical temperature, obtained with the fits (17) shown in the bottom plot of Fig. 1, indicates that the variations of the chiral crossover temperature are of the order of 1 MeV, i.e., less than 1%.

We would like to notice that at low (but nonzero) values of the background magnetic fields, there is a particular property of the lattice system which leads to large systematic errors of certain computed quantities. Due to lattice discretization effects, the quantization of the magnetic field (9) limits the number of temperature points at fixed value of magnetic-field strength. Narrowing the study to the crossover region imposes further restrictions, thus reducing the quality of the data. We will see below that the data at low magnetic fields have a tendency to possess larger (statistical) errors as compared to the data at stronger fields.

### B. Chiral crossover temperature and its width

#### 1. General picture

The quantitative analysis of the fits of the chiral condensate gives us the important information how the chiral crossover temperature evolves with increase of the imaginary chemical potential in the magnetic-field background. While the behavior of the critical temperature is known both at zero chemical potential $\mu_I = 0$, Ref. [14] and at zero magnetic field $B = 0$, Ref. [9], the studies in the full $(B, \mu_I)$ plane are performed here for the first time. In addition, we would like to clarify the influence of magnetic field on the thermal crossover width $\delta T^{\text{ch}}$ in the finite-density QCD. This question is important in view of the fact that the role of the magnetic field on the strength of the QCD (phase) transition even at zero chemical potential, $\mu = 0$, has historically been evolving via a set of controversies [14,15,24].

In Fig. 2, we show the spline-interpolated data for the critical temperature of the chiral crossover in the plane of magnetic field $B$ and the squared imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I^2$. One may clearly see that the increase of the imaginary chemical potential, at fixed magnetic field $B$, leads to the enhancement of the critical temperature for all studied values of $B$. On the other hand, the strengthening of the magnetic field at fixed imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$ gives rise to the decrease of the critical temperature.

The equitemperature curves in Fig. 2 are close to the straight, almost-parallel lines. These properties indicate, respectively, that at small baryon densities (i) the critical temperature of the chiral crossover $T^{\text{ch}}_c$ at fixed magnetic field $B$ is a quadratic function of the chemical potential $\mu_I^2$; and (ii) the strength of the quadratic dependence does not depend significantly on the strength of the magnetic field. In terms of the baryonic potential, $\mu_B^2 = -(3\mu_I)^2$, we conclude that the slope $A_2$ of the chiral crossover temperature (1) is a positive nonvanishing quantity which moderately depends on the value of magnetic field.

The thermal width of the chiral crossover transition (the “chiral thermal width”) is encoded in the color of the surface in the same Fig. 2. The chiral width exhibits a weak, but still noticeable, dependence on the imaginary chemical potential. However, the influence of the magnetic field on the chiral thermal width $\delta T^{\text{ch}}$ is much more pronounced: the stronger magnetic field $B$, the narrower transition. This behavior is well seen in the spline representation of the thermal width in Fig. 3. Interestingly, the magnetic field has a qualitative effect on the behavior of the chiral width: at weak (strong) magnetic field, the chiral thermal width is an increasing (decreasing) function of the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$.

#### 2. Chiral transition temperature and its curvature

At small values of the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$, the behavior of thermodynamic quantities is necessarily analytic in $\mu_I$ due to the absence of a thermodynamic singularity in the vicinity of the $\mu_I = 0$ point. The Taylor series of the observable (real-valued) quantities must therefore run over the even powers of the chemical potential, which makes it possible to use the trivial relation

### TABLE I. Illustration of finite-size and finite-volume effects on the chiral crossover temperature at strongest studied magnetic field $\varepsilon B = 1.5$ GeV$^2$, at both vanishing and largest available values of the chemical potential $\mu_I$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lattice</th>
<th>$\mu_I/\pi T$</th>
<th>$T^{\text{ch}}_c$, MeV</th>
<th>$\delta T^{\text{ch}}_c$, MeV</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \times 6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130.5(2)</td>
<td>5.2(4)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32^3 \times 6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130.8(1)</td>
<td>5.2(3)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32^3 \times 8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133.3(1)</td>
<td>5.7(2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$24^3 \times 6$</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>144.5(2)</td>
<td>4.7(2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32^3 \times 6$</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>144.7(6)</td>
<td>4.1(3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$32^3 \times 8$</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>145.6(5)</td>
<td>4.8(7)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between the imaginary and real baryonic chemical potentials, $\mu_r^2 \equiv -(\mu_B/3)^2$. Therefore, the behavior $T_c = T_c(\mu_B, B)$ of the critical crossover temperature (1) of the finite-density QCD may be restored from the series of $T_c(\mu_B, B)$ at small imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$,

$$T_c^{ch}(\mu_B, B) = 1 + \kappa_2^{ch}(B) \left( \frac{3\mu_B}{T_c^{ch}(B)} \right)^2 + \kappa_4^{ch}(B) \left( \frac{3\mu_B}{T_c^{ch}(B)} \right)^4 + O\left( \frac{\mu_B^6}{T_c^{ch}} \right),$$

where we used the notation $T_c(B) \equiv T_c(\mu_B = 0, B)$.

In analogy with the lattice studies with a vanishing magnetic field, we deduce that at $B > 0$ the curvature $A_2$ of the critical transition (1) at nonzero baryon density $\mu_B$ is related to the dimensionless ratio curvature coefficient $\kappa_2$ at the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$ in Eq. (18) as

$$A_2^{ch}(B) = \frac{\kappa_2^{ch}(B)}{T_c^{ch}(\mu_B = 0, B)}.$$

Equations (1), (18), and (19) have rather universal character and can be equally applied to both chiral and deconfining transitions.

In Fig. 4, we show the fits of the critical temperature $T_c = T_c(\mu_B, \mu_I)$ by the polynomial (18). We fix the magnetic field $B$ and consider the critical temperature as a function of the dimensionless ratio $\mu_B/T$. All three fitting parameters $T_c(B)$, $\kappa_2(B)$, and $\kappa_4(B)$ are treated as functions of the magnetic field $B$. We use both quadratic (with $\kappa_4 \equiv 0$) and quartic (with $\kappa_4$ being a fit parameter) fits.

The fitting results for the chiral crossover are presented in Fig. 5. We conclude the following:

(i) The fits allow us to estimate the critical temperature $T_c^{ch}(B)$ at zero baryon chemical potential, $\mu_B = 0$, subjected to a strong magnetic-field background, Fig. 5(a). The critical temperature decreases with the magnetic field, in agreement with the inverse magnetic catalysis [14]. In the zero-field limit, our data converge well to the known result $T_c^{ch} = 156.5(1.5)$ MeV of Ref. [4], shown by the red square in Fig. 5(a).

(ii) Both for quadratic and quartic fits (18), the quadratic curvature coefficient $\kappa_2 = \kappa_2(B)$ is largely insensitive to the strength of the magnetic field, Fig. 5(b). These fits give qualitatively consistent results, all of which are in agreement with the $B = 0$ result $\kappa_2 = 0.0132(18)$ obtained in Ref. [9] and shown by the red square in Fig. 5(b).

(iii) According to Fig. 5(b), the quartic curvature coefficient $\kappa_4 = \kappa_4(B)$ raises with increase of the magnetic field until it reaches the peak around $eB_{fl} \approx (0.5 - 0.6)$ GeV$^2$, Eq. (24). At higher magnetic fields, the quartic coefficient $\kappa_4$ decreases and almost vanishes around $eB \approx 1.5$ GeV$^2$. These conclusions have a preliminary character as our numerical results for $\kappa_4$ possess rather large statistical errors. Below, we will exclude this coefficient from our analysis and concentrate on the quadratic truncation of the curvature polynomial (18).

The physical curvature $A_2^{ch}$ of the chiral crossover temperature (1) for the real-valued chemical potential $\mu_B$ can be obtained with the help of the analytical continuation (19). The curvature, shown in Fig. 6, seems to exhibit a wide maximum at the magnetic-field strength $eB \approx 0.6$ GeV$^2$. Unfortunately, the substantial statistical errors of our data do not allow us to determine the presence (and, the position) of this maximum with sufficient certainty. However, we will see below that this particular value of the magnetic field marks another interesting effect in the low-density QCD.

FIG. 3. The width of the chiral crossover $\delta T_c^{ch}$ as the function of the magnetic field $B$ and the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$ squared. The height of the cylinders represents the error bars of the data, and the smooth surface corresponds to a spline interpolation.

FIG. 4. The critical temperature $T_c^{ch}$ of the chiral crossover transition as the function of the imaginary chemical potential squared at a set of values of the magnetic field $B$. The translucent (opaque) lines correspond to quadratic (quartic) truncations by the fitting function (18).
To summarize, we observed the effect of the inverse magnetic catalysis both at zero and finite densities. The increasing magnetic field affects the curvature $A_{ch}^2$ of the chiral crossover transition, making it larger compared to the zero-field value, Fig. 6. We found the presence of the baryonic matter enhances the effect of the inverse magnetic catalysis in a sense that the combined effect of both these factors, $\mu_B$ and $B$, leads to a stronger decrease of the crossover temperature.

3. Chiral thermal width and its curvature

As we have already seen, the thermal width of the chiral crossover transition $\delta T_{ch}^c$ has a set of interesting features in the parameter space of the magnetic field $B$ and the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$, as illustrated in Fig. 3. What do these properties mean for the crossover transition in the dense QCD with a real-valued baryonic chemical potential $\mu_B$? In order to answer this question, we notice that the thermal chiral width $\delta T_{ch}^c$—which is, essentially, a difference in temperatures corresponding to opposite sides of the crossover—may be analytically continued to the real chemical potentials, similarly to the critical temperature $T_{ch}^c$ itself. To this end, we define the quadratic curvature $\delta \kappa_{ch}^2$ of the chiral thermal width $\delta T_{ch}^c$ as follows:

$$\frac{\delta T_{ch}^c(\mu_I, B)}{\delta T_{ch}^c(B)} = 1 + \delta \kappa_{ch}^2 \left( \frac{3\mu_I}{T_{ch}^c(B)} \right)^2 + O\left( \left( \frac{\mu_I}{T_{ch}^c} \right)^4 \right), \tag{20}$$

where $T_{ch}^c(B) \equiv T_{ch}^c(\mu_I = 0, B)$. Similarity to Eqs. (1), (18), and (19), the thermal width may be analytically continued to the real-valued baryonic potential as follows:

$$\delta T_{ch}^c(\mu, B) = \delta T_{ch}^c(0, B) - \delta A_{ch}^2(B)\mu_B^2 + O(\mu_B^4), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\delta A_{ch}^2(B) = \frac{\delta T_{ch}^c(\mu_B = 0, B)\delta \kappa_{ch}^2(B)}{(T_{ch}^c(B))} \tag{22}$$

is the curvature of the thermal width in the “temperature-baryon chemical potential” plane.

In Fig. 7, we demonstrate that the numerical data for the chiral thermal width can be well described by the quadratic

FIG. 5. (a) The critical temperature $T_{ch}^c$ of the chiral crossover at $\mu_B = 0$, as well as the curvatures (b) $\kappa_2$ and (c) $\kappa_4$ as functions of the magnetic-field strength $B$. The first two quantities are obtained with the help of both quadratic and quartic versions of the fitting function (18), with fits shown in Fig. 4. The red points in plots (a) and (b) correspond to the known results at $B = 0$. They are taken from Refs. [4,9], respectively.

FIG. 6. The quadratic curvature $A_{ch}^2$ of the chiral crossover temperature (1) at nonzero magnetic field $B$, calculated via Eq. (19). The red data point is obtained with the help of the $B = 0$ data of Refs. [4,9]. The arrow marks the magnetic flipping point for the width of the chiral crossover (24).

FIG. 7. The chiral thermal width $\delta T_{ch}^c$ as the function of the imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$ squared. The lines represent the quadratic fits (20).
function (20). The fits give us the chiral thermal width at zero chemical potential, $\mu_B = 0$, shown in Fig. 8(a). The plot suggests that the chiral thermal width is insensitive to the magnetic field until the field reaches the value $eB_0 \sim 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and then the width starts to decrease slowly.

The effect of the magnetic-field background on the curvature of the chiral thermal width is shown in dimensionless, $\delta \kappa_{ch}^2$, and physical $\delta A_{ch}^2$ units in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c), respectively. It turns out that the curvature $\delta A_{ch}^2$ of the chiral thermal width $\delta T_{ch}$ may be well approximated by the linear function of the background magnetic field $B$ for both quantities,

$$\delta \kappa_{ch}^2(B) = \delta \kappa_{ch}^{(0)} + \delta \kappa_{ch}^{(1)} eB.$$  

$$\delta A_{ch}^2(B) = \delta A_{ch}^{(0)} + \delta A_{ch}^{(1)} eB. \quad (23b)$$

The best linear fits are shown in Fig. 8(c) by the solid lines. The corresponding best fit parameters are the thermal width and $\delta \kappa_{ch}^{(1)}$ respectively.

Numerical lattice calculations and effective analytical models suggest that the mass of the neutral meson slowly raises with the strengthening of the magnetic field [45,46,49,50]. Moreover, at the crossover temperature, thermal fluctuations slightly increase the mass of the $\rho$ meson as well [51]. Quantitatively, we expect that the combined temperature and magnetic-field effects at the crossover shift the mass by about 20% from its vacuum value so that the magnetic flipping point (24) is approximately given by the scale of the rho-meson mass.

In order to shed more light on the sign flip of the chiral thermal width, Fig. 8(c), in the next section we study the confining properties of dense QCD in the magnetic-field background. The vector dominance hypothesis suggests that the photons interact with the hadronic medium predominantly via the neutral $\rho$ mesons, which are colorless states that do not couple directly to gluons. As we will see below, the sign-flip phenomenon does not exist in the gluonic sector.
We close this section by noticing that our findings on the chiral crossover at zero baryonic density agree well with already known properties of the system. As the strength of the magnetic field increases, the chiral crossover temperature becomes lower, Fig. 5(a), while the transition itself becomes stronger, Fig. 7(a), in agreement with Refs. [14,22], respectively.

In addition, our data on the chiral thermal width of the crossover raise an interesting possibility that the parameter plane of the imaginary chemical potential and temperature may contain a thermodynamic phase transition in the limit of large baryonic density at low magnetic field, $B < B_B$. At stronger magnetic field, $B > B_B$, the increase of the baryon density leads to the softening of the phase transition.

The chiral pseudocritical temperature and the thermal width of the chiral crossover, as well the their curvatures in the $(\mu, T)$ plane are summarized in Table III.

### 4. Shrinking chiral width and critical chiral end point

We would like to finish this section by the following curious observation. As we mentioned above, the width of the chiral transition shrinks in the presence of the baryonic density. Although our numerical simulations are done in the region of relatively low baryon density, we notice that the observation of the shrinking chiral width is consistent with the expectation that at a higher baryon density the crossover turns into a critical end point (CEP) of the second order which, at even higher densities, becomes a transition line of the first order.

We may estimate the position of the end point as a value of the baryonic chemical potential $\mu_B = \mu_B^{\text{CEP}}$ at which the width of the phase transition $\delta T_c^{\text{ch}}(\mu_B, 0)$ vanishes. To this end, we use Eq. (21) along with the results for the chiral thermal width $\delta T_c^{\text{ch}}(0, 0)$ and its curvature $\delta A_2(0)$ to get for the baryonic density at the CEP,

$$\mu_B^{\text{CEP}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta T_c(0, 0)}{\delta A_2(0)}} = 800(140) \text{ MeV}, \quad (25)$$

where we neglected the corrections of the order $O(\mu_B^4)$ and higher.

The result (25) is obtained at zero magnetic field. Notice that with the strengthening of magnetic field $B$, the curvature of the chiral width $\delta A_2(B)$ quickly diminishes towards zero, Fig. 8(c), while the width $\delta T_c^{\text{ch}}(\mu_B = 0, B)$ at zero density $\mu_B = 0$ drops down less dramatically, Fig. 8(a). Therefore, we may expect that $\mu_B^{\text{CEP}}(B)$ is an increasing function of the magnetic field $B$. However, at the flipping point (24), our estimation of the CEP (25) formally gives infinite value of the CEP baryonic chemical potential $\mu_B^{\text{CEP}}$, which shows the limitation of our approach and importance of the higher-order terms, $O(\mu_B^4)$, which were neglected in our simple analysis based on quadratic curvature width (21).

### TABLE II. The position of the critical end point $(T^{\text{CEP}}, \mu_B^{\text{CEP}})$ in $N_f = 2 + 1$ QCD obtained in this paper compared to the results from the functional renormalization group (FRG) [52], the Dyson-Schwinger equations (DSE) [53], and the holographic gauge/gravity correspondence [54].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$(T^{\text{CEP}}, \mu_B^{\text{CEP}})$, MeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lattice: this work</td>
<td>$(100, 800)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRG [52]</td>
<td>$(107, 635)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSE [53]</td>
<td>$(117, 488)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holography [54]</td>
<td>$(89, 724)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The temperature of the critical end point may be obtained with the help of Eq. (1) which takes into account the curvature of the chiral crossover temperature $T^{\text{CEP}} = T_c(\mu_B^{\text{CEP}}) = 100(25)$ MeV. Together with the result (25), this result gives us a very naive estimation of the position of the critical end point in the $T-\mu$ plane of the phase diagram

$$(T_c^{\text{CEP}}, \mu_B^{\text{CEP}}) = (100(25) \text{ MeV}, 800(140) \text{ MeV}). \quad (26)$$

Curiously, these numbers come close to (although somewhat larger than) the recent estimations of the location of the critical end point within other approaches, summarized in Table II.

On the contrary, the recent estimates within lattice simulations of QCD do not show any noticeable signatures of the critical end point [29,55]. This statement is in line with the noncritical behavior of the Polyakov loop observed in our work (see Sec. IV), which is different from the behavior of the chiral condensate as the deconfinement phase transition always becomes wider with the baryon density. It might imply that at the critical end point the chiral condensate has a pronounced singularity while the Polyakov loop has a much softer behavior. Another possible explanation is that the widths of the chiral and deconfinement phase transition, observed in our paper, suffer from large systematic uncertainties (coming, for example, due to effects of the finite time extension of the lattice, $N_f$). A thorough study of these systematic uncertainties goes beyond the scope of this paper and would be reported elsewhere.

### IV. DECONFINING CROSSOVER

#### A. Renormalized Polyakov loop

The deconfinement (phase) transition is associated with the dynamics of gluons. The corresponding order parameter, in purely gluonic Yang-Mills theory, is the Polyakov loop (15). For the sake of convenience, we study the real part (16) of the Polyakov loop, which is renormalized with the help of the gradient-flow approach following Ref. [56].

The details of the renormalization and the scheme dependence of the gradient-flow procedure are discussed in Appendix.
In the vicinity of the crossover, the renormalized Polyakov loop may be well described by the same functional behavior as the chiral condensate (17),

$$(L)^\prime(T) = C_2 + C_3 \arctan \frac{T - T_{c,\text{conf}}}{\delta T_{c,\text{conf}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

where the fitting parameters $C_2$ and $C_3$ determine the value of the Polyakov loop at both sides of the crossover region, and $T_{c,\text{conf}}$ is the pseudocritical temperature of the deconfinement transition with the deconfining thermal width $\delta T_{c,\text{conf}}$.

Some selected fits at lowest ($eB = 0.1$ GeV$^2$) and highest ($eB = 1.5$ GeV$^2$) values of magnetic field are shown in Fig. 9 for zero, moderate, and highest imaginary chemical potentials, $\mu_l = (0, 0.17, 0.275)\pi T$, respectively.

Similarly to the case of chiral condensate of light quarks, we check the robustness of our results with respect to the volume variations, Fig. 9. In addition of the main results obtained on a $24^3 \times 6$ lattice, we also show the plots of the renormalized Polyakov loop calculated at a $32^3 \times 6$ lattice of a higher volume. The visual comparison of the results indicates that the increasing imaginary chemical potential leads to stronger volume dependence at low magnetic field, while at the low density and/or in the strong magnetic field, the sensitivity of the renormalized Polyakov loop to the infrared effects is almost unnoticeable.

The reason for the emergence of these volume effects has a simple systematic origin which is not directly related to the dynamical volume effects. Due to the quantization of magnetic field (9), the number of numerical points, available for the fit (27), is very much limited for weak magnetic fields as compared to stronger magnetic fields. Instead, the magnetic field is varied by the discrete flux variable $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ which needs to be counterweighted by the variation of the lattice spacing $a = a(\beta)$ in Eq. (9).

The variation of the latter, in turn, affects the temperature $T = 1/(aN_s)$, which quickly goes out of the interesting temperature interval of the deconfining crossover. Therefore, we are faced with an artificial limitation of the number of points that could be used in the fit (27), thus bringing a large systematic error to our results. Due to these reasons, we do not discuss below the lattices other than $24^3 \times 6$ (noticing, at the same time, that the formal low-field $B \rightarrow 0$ limit agrees with the known $B = 0$ results). At larger magnetic fields, the flux variable $n$ may run over larger sets of points and this problem does not exist.

**B. Deconfining temperature and its thermal width**

In Fig. 10, we show the pseudocritical temperature of the deconfining crossover as the function of the imaginary chemical potential for the whole set of the available magnetic fields. It turns out that the dependence of the deconfining crossover temperature on imaginary chemical potential can well be fitted by the (quadratically truncated) Taylor series (18) almost at all values of the magnetic field. Notice that the large error bars at the lowest magnetic strength as well as the difference of the results on two lattice sizes $N_s = 24$ and $N_s = 32$ have the systematic origin mentioned above.
The temperature $T_c$ of the deconfining crossover at zero chemical potential, obtained with the help of the quadratic fits, is shown in Fig. 11(a). Similarly to the chiral crossover temperature, the deconfining crossover temperature is a diminishing function of the magnetic field. This property agrees well with the earlier observation that the gluonic d.o.f., as probed by the gluon action, experience the inverse magnetic catalysis similarly to the light-quark condensates [57].

According to Fig. 11(a), in the limit of weak magnetic fields, the pseudocritical temperature of the deconfining transition agrees well with the known $B = 0$ result, $T_c = 171(3)$ MeV, obtained in Ref. [3]. At strong magnetic fields, the pseudocritical line of the deconfining transition, shown in Fig. 11(a), overlaps with the line of the chiral crossover, Fig. 5(a). This fact will be clearer in the last section, where we discuss the overall phase diagram.

The quadratic curvature of the deconfining transition, obtained with the quadratic fits, is shown in Fig. 11(b) as the dimensionless quantity $\kappa_{\text{conf}}^2$ and in Fig. 11(c) as the physical curvature $A_{\text{conf}}^2$, calculated via Eq. (19). It seems to have a peak around the magnetic flipping field (24) which is, however, determined with a substantial uncertainty due to large statistical errors. Still, the curvature $A_{\text{conf}}^2$ is a positive quantity so that the pseudocritical temperature of the deconfining crossover diminishes in the dense QCD matter. This fact means that the presence of the baryonic density enhances the “inverse magnetic catalysis” effect for the deconfining phase transition: the presence of matter makes the deconfinement crossover transition happening at lower temperatures.

The thermal width of the deconfining transition may be analytically continued to the real-valued baryonic potential similarly to its chiral counterpart (21). In Fig. 12, we demonstrate that the numerical data for the deconfining thermal width can be well described by the quadratic function (20). In this figure, we dropped a few points with very large error bars which practically do not contribute to the fits while making the figure less readable.

The width of the deconfining crossover is shown in Fig. 13(a). At low magnetic field, the deconfining crossover is very wide, $\delta T_{\text{conf}} \approx 60$ MeV as compared with the $\delta T_{\text{ch}} \approx 11$ MeV of the chiral crossover shown in Fig. 8(a). At the magnetic flipping point (24), the width suddenly drops down. At largest studied magnetic field $eB = 1.5$ GeV$^2$, the deconfining thermal width $\delta T_{\text{conf}} \approx 11$ MeV becomes comparable with the chiral thermal width $\delta T_{\text{ch}} \approx 5$ MeV.

The curvature of the deconfining thermal width is a negatively valued quantity, as it is shown in dimensionless, Fig. 13(b), and physical, Fig. 13(c), units. The latter has been obtained with the help of Eq. (22) but for the deconfining crossover.

We would like to stress that the presence of the baryonic matter makes the deconfining thermal width wider, thus softening the deconfining transition in the whole studied range of magnetic field.

The deconfining temperature and its thermal width, as well the their curvatures in the $(\mu, T)$ plane, are summarized in Table III below. We will discuss the general picture of the chiral and deconfining crossover transitions in the last section.

FIG. 11. (a) The pseudocritical temperature $T_{c,\text{conf}}$ of the deconfining crossover at zero chemical potential $\mu_B = 0$, as well as the curvature in (b) dimensionless units $\kappa_{\text{conf}}^2$, and (c) physical units $A_{\text{conf}}^2$ versus the magnetic-field strength $B$. The red data point in plot (a) corresponds to the zero field $B = 0$ obtained in Ref. [3].

FIG. 12. The same as in Fig. 10 but for the deconfining thermal width.

---

3For consistency reasons, we do not include the systematic error from Ref. [3] for the deconfining pseudocritical temperature.
FIG. 13. (a) The thermal width $\delta T_c$ of the deconfining crossover at zero chemical potential $\mu_B = 0$, as well as the curvature of the deconfining thermal width in (b) the dimensionless units $\delta \kappa_2$, and (c) physical units $\delta A_2$ versus the magnetic-field strength $B$.

V. THERMODYNAMICS PROPERTIES OF HEAVY QUARKS

A. Polyakov loop and thermodynamic potential

The expectation value of the Polyakov loop (15) determines grand-canonical thermodynamic potential of the static quark $\Omega_Q$.

$$|\langle P \rangle| = \sqrt{(\text{Re} P)^2 + (\text{Im} P)^2} = e^{-\Omega_Q/T}. \quad (28)$$

Free quarks do not exist in the confining phase of QCD. Consequently, at low temperatures, the energy of an individual quark is large and the Polyakov loop is a small quantity. Notice that in the pure Yang-Mills theory the Polyakov loop is an exact order parameter of the quark confinement (the Polyakov loop vanishes in the confinement phase, $\langle P \rangle = 0$) while in QCD the expectation value of the Polyakov loop does not vanish exactly due to the presence of dynamical quarks.

In the deconfining phase, the free energy of a single quark is a finite quantity. However, the free energy suffers from ultraviolet divergences due to large perturbative contributions. In order to give a physical meaning to the free energy, it needs to be renormalized. In our paper, we use the gradient flow method to renormalize the Polyakov loop [56].

TABLE III. The characteristics of the chiral and deconfining crossovers versus the magnetic-field strength $B$ established by the arctan-type fitting used to identify the inflection points of the light-quark condensate (17) and the Polyakov loop (27), respectively. We show the pseudocritical temperatures $T_c$, the widths $\delta T_c$, as well as the dimensionless quadratic curvatures of the crossover temperature $\kappa_2$ and its width $\delta \kappa_2$, determined, correspondingly via the quadratically truncated fits (18) and (20). The curvatures in the physical units, $A_2$ and $\delta A_2$, are found via the fits (19) and (22). The marks denote the data taken from other sources, given in the continuum limit: (a) Ref. [4], (b) Ref. [3], and (c) Ref. [9]. The data point (d) is derived from (c) via Eq. (19). Note that for our data we present only the statistical errors, while the points (a)–(d) include also systematic uncertainties coming from an extrapolation to the continuum limit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$eB$, GeV$^2$</th>
<th>Pseudocritical temperature and thermal width at $\mu = 0$</th>
<th>Chiral crossover</th>
<th>Curvature of pseudo-critical temperature $T_c$</th>
<th>Curvature of thermal width $\delta T_c$</th>
<th>Deconfining crossover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_c$, MeV</td>
<td>$\delta T_c$, MeV</td>
<td>$\kappa_2$, $A_2$, GeV$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\delta \kappa_2$, $\delta A_2$, GeV$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\delta \kappa_2$, $\delta A_2$, GeV$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>156.5(15)$^a$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.0132(18)$^{(a)}$</td>
<td>0.085(12)$^{(d)}$</td>
<td>0.044(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>148.3(2)</td>
<td>11.4(3)</td>
<td>0.0145(7)</td>
<td>0.097(6)</td>
<td>0.020(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>141.7(3)</td>
<td>11.2(4)</td>
<td>0.0174(10)</td>
<td>0.123(7)</td>
<td>0.025(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>139.0(3)</td>
<td>10.4(4)</td>
<td>0.0183(9)</td>
<td>0.132(6)</td>
<td>0.025(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>136.8(3)</td>
<td>9.0(3)</td>
<td>0.0170(6)</td>
<td>0.125(4)</td>
<td>0.014(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>134.89(13)</td>
<td>7.8(2)</td>
<td>0.0168(4)</td>
<td>0.125(3)</td>
<td>0.014(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>130.46(15)</td>
<td>5.2(4)</td>
<td>0.0153(3)</td>
<td>0.112(7)</td>
<td>0.014(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>171(3)$^b$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.017(2)</td>
<td>0.103(10)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>165.4(1.1)</td>
<td>60(2)</td>
<td>0.017(2)</td>
<td>0.103(10)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>139.8(1.6)</td>
<td>40(4)</td>
<td>0.024(3)</td>
<td>0.142(17)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>137.4(1.2)</td>
<td>20(3)</td>
<td>0.020(2)</td>
<td>0.142(17)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>135.15(5)</td>
<td>22.8(1.2)</td>
<td>0.0183(9)</td>
<td>0.135(7)</td>
<td>0.061(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>134.5(2)</td>
<td>18.0(6)</td>
<td>0.0153(3)</td>
<td>0.113(3)</td>
<td>0.053(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>130.3(2)</td>
<td>11.4(6)</td>
<td>0.0148(4)</td>
<td>0.114(3)</td>
<td>0.023(11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In a general thermodynamic system of a volume $V$, the grand-canonical thermodynamic potential $\Omega$ is related to the pressure $P$ as follows, $\Omega = -PV$. The differential of the potential is defined as follows:

$$d\Omega = -SdT - N\,d\mu - M\,dB,$$

(29)

where entropy $S$, particle number $N$, and magnetization $M$ determine the response of the grand potential to the variations in temperature $T$, chemical potential $\mu$, and magnetic field $B$, respectively. These quantities may also be defined for a single static quark introduced into the system by the Polyakov loop operator (15). They have a sense of variation in, respectively, the entropy, the (light) quark number, and the magnetization of the overall system in a response of adding one infinitely heavy, static quark. We use the subscript “Q” for these thermodynamic quantities in order to highlight their single-quark meaning.

There is an important feature of our numerical approach: we perform the simulations at a fixed ratio of the imaginary quantities in order to highlight their single-quark meaning.

We use the subscript $I$ to denote the ratios these quantities separately. Thus, for convenience, we define the ratios

$$f = \frac{\mu}{T}, \quad f_I = \frac{\mu_I}{T} = -if_I$$

(30)

and rewrite the differential of the free energy (29) as

$$d\Omega_Q = -(S_Q + fN_Q)dT - TN_Q\,df - M_Q\,dB.$$  

(31)

Then it is easy to obtain the following relations in terms of $(f, T, B)$ variables:

$$S_Q = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega_Q}{\partial T}\right)_{f, B} + f\left(\frac{\partial \Omega_Q}{\partial f}\right)_{T, B}.$$  

(32)

$$M_Q = -\left(\frac{\partial \Omega_Q}{\partial B}\right)_{T, f}.$$  

(33)

It is worth noticing that the baryon number, determined by a differentiation of the free energy with respect to the baryon chemical potential, is formally an imaginary quantity in our case. However, its physical meaning remains the same, and the baryon number may, in principle, be analytically continued to the domain of the real chemical potential. We do not analyze this quantity in the paper because the accuracy of our numerical data does not allow us to extract the baryon number density unambiguously.

**B. Single-quark entropy**

Despite the imaginary nature of the chemical potential $\mu_I$, the entropy (32) may be determined reliably in the region where the thermodynamic potential is an analytic function of the chemical potential $\mu$. Indeed, Eq. (30) implies the relation $f\,\partial f_i \equiv f_i\,\partial f_I$, which may be used to compute the last term of the entropy of the single quark (32). In this case, the entropy of the single quark may be directly expressed via the renormalized Polyakov loop $(P)_\Gamma$,

$$S_Q = \ln |(P)_\Gamma| + \frac{\partial \ln |(P)_\Gamma|}{\partial \ln f_I} - \frac{\partial \ln |(P)_\Gamma|}{\partial \ln f_I},$$

(34)

where the dimensionless ratio $f_i$ is equal to $\mu_I/T$.

Although the quark entropy (34) is not sensitive to the (global) $\mathbb{Z}_3$ center symmetry, it is expected to pinpoint a (phase) transition between the low- and high-temperature regions. The entropy of the single heavy quark has a peak—a local maximum as a function of temperature at other parameters fixed—which is close to the pseudocritical temperature of the chiral crossover [58].

In Fig. 14, we show the single-quark entropy, computed as (34), in the parameter plane of the temperature $T$ and the normalized imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I/(\pi T)$. At each value of the chemical potential, the quark entropy has a maximum point which is denoted by a solid blue line. As the imaginary chemical potential increases, the peak is shifted toward higher values of temperature, which is in the qualitative agreement with the picture obtained from our studies of the chiral and deconfinement phase transitions. Unfortunately, with current ensembles we are able to determine the position of the entropy peak only for sufficiently strong magnetic fields, $eB > 0.5$ GeV$^2$. For these large fields, the entropy takes its maximum in the zero-density limit, at $T \sim 127(10)$ MeV, in consistency with the position of the common line of chiral and deconfining crossovers.

The calculation of the quark entropy requires an interpolation of the renormalized Polyakov loop to a continuous range of temperatures $T$ and normalized imaginary chemical potentials $f_i = \mu_I/T$ to properly compute derivatives in (34). In this case, good enough resolution in terms of discrete $(T, \mu_I/T)$ points in the phase transition region is especially important. Unfortunately, we currently have three to five temperature points in the region near $T_c$ for all chemical potential and magnetic-field values, which turn out to be not enough for the proper estimation of peak in $S_Q$ (cubic B-spline with smoothing was employed for interpolation). Moreover, statistics consist of 100–200 configurations per $(T, B, f_I)$ set, thus relative errors in $T_c$ obtained from the maximum of single-quark entropy reach 10%. We leave a detailed study of $S_Q$ for future papers.

**C. Magnetization**

The single-quark magnetization (33) is a real-valued quantity, which may be computed straightforwardly from the renormalized Polyakov loop and then analytically continued to the real-valued chemical potential. On a first glance, the physical meaning of the single-quark...
magnetization (33) is somewhat obscure since this quantity is associated with the presence of

(i) a static, infinite-heavy quark, which
(ii) does not possess a spin d.o.f., and
(iii) has zero electric charge.

Due to the latter property, the test quark is not directly coupled to the external magnetic field. Moreover, the immobility of the test quark means that it does not contribute to the Landau diamagnetism, while the absence of the spin, and, consequently, of the magnetic moment, implies the lack of the Pauli paramagnetic contribution. Therefore, one could naively argue that the external test quark would not affect the magnetization properties of the system. On the other hand, the immobile chargeless spinless quark may still affect the electromagnetic properties of the medium since its presence modifies—via the gluon-mediated interactions—the distribution of the dynamical quarks around it, which, in turn, do couple to the background magnetic field and contribute to the overall magnetization of the system. Therefore, the single-quark magnetization has a meaning of the extent with which the magnetization of the system is associated with the presence of

\[ M_{\text{im}}(\mu_I) = C_0 + C_2 \mu_I^2 + C_4 \mu_I^4 \]  

for all values of magnetic field. Here \( C_i \) are dimensional fitting parameters. Notice that we make the fits (35) of magnetization at fixed temperatures and magnetic fields so that \( C_i = C_i(B, T) \). The analytically continued single-quark magnetization

\[ M(\mu_B) = M_0 - \kappa_2^{(M)} \left( \frac{\mu_B}{3 \pi T} \right)^2 + \kappa_4^{(M)} \left( \frac{\mu_B}{3 \pi T} \right)^4 \]  

is shown in the lower row of Fig. 15. From these figures, one readily observes that the single-quark magnetization is a positive quantity in the whole range of studied parameters \( (\mu_I/T, B) \). In other words, heavy quarks contribute paramagnetically to the overall magnetization of the quark-gluon plasma. Moreover, this paramagnetic contribution is enhanced with the increase of the magnetic field.

Usually, the effect of the heavy quarks on the magnetic polarization of the quark-gluon plasma is ignored because the massive quarks behave as nonrelativistic particles for which both the (spin-related) magnetic moment and the (orbital-related) cyclotron frequency are suppressed by the heavy mass. Here we show that (even, infinitely) heavy quarks are magnetically active constituents of the plasma as they contribute paramagnetically to the overall magnetization.

In order to get a suitable continuous description, shown in Fig. 15, we interpolated the data for the single-quark magnetization using the method splines, largely following our approach to of the single-quark entropy \( S_Q \). We found that while our data may be used to reliably estimate the inflection point of the Polyakov loop, the presented data for magnetization may contain systematic inaccuracies related to the scarce grid of the data used for the interpolation. This point needs a further investigation.

It is instructive to compare our results on the single-quark magnetization with the behavior of the “bulk” magnetization of the quark-gluon plasma observed in
Refs. [59–61] in a zero-density limit of QCD. The bulk magnetization of the $\mu_B = 0$ quark-gluon plasma is a positive quantity and, therefore, the zero-density QCD is a paramagnetic medium. The paramagnetic response of the bulk quark-gluon plasma increases in strength both with the increase of temperature and the strengthening of the magnetic field. Our results at $\mu_B = 0$ do not show a significant increase in the single-quark magnetization, which may still be consistent with the earlier results of Refs. [59–61] because our temperature interval (30 MeV) is much shorter compared to that of the quoted references (hundredths of MeV). However, we observe the weakening of the single-quark magnetization with the strengthening of the magnetic field in a sharp contrast with the observed strengthening of the bulk magnetization.

It worth noticing that the single-quark magnetization and bulk magnetization cannot be compared with each other directly because these quantities have different physical meanings and they even possess different dimensions: the former quantity corresponds to the energy of a single heavy static quark, while the latter number characterizes the energy density of the bulk medium. Nevertheless, both quantities characterize the magnetic properties of the strongly interacting medium subjected to an intense magnetic-field background.

VI. OVERALL PICTURE AND CONCLUSIONS

In our work, we studied the influence of the strong magnetic field on the chiral and deconfinement transitions in finite-temperature QCD at a low baryonic chemical potential. In the low-density QCD with real (physical) masses of $u$, $d$, and $s$ quarks, these transitions are not accompanied by any thermodynamic singularities in the parameter space of the theory. Instead, the theory experiences a smooth broad crossover from the cold chirally broken hadronic medium to the hot chirally symmetric plasma of deconfined quarks and gluons.

In the absence of a real phase transition, the positions of the chiral and deconfining crossovers are not well defined; they depend on a particular form of the operator employed to probe them. In our paper, we identify the location of the chiral crossover as the inflection point of the expectation value of the chiral condensate of light quarks, which is an exact order parameter for the chirally broken phase in QCD with massless quarks.

We reveal the location of the deconfining crossover via the inflection point of the expectation value of the gradient-flow-renormalized Polyakov loop, which is the order parameter for the deconfinement phase transition in a pure Yang-Mills theory (QCD with infinitely massive quarks).

In addition to the positions of the chiral and deconfining crossover lines in the parameter space, we determined the
thermal width of each of these crossovers. In the absence of thermodynamically singular behavior, the thermal width may serve as a quantitative characteristic of the strength of the crossover transition. The thermal width \( \delta T \), formally defined via the fitting functions (17) and (27), can be understood as a temperature range over which the corresponding order parameter reaches its values at both sides of the crossover. Similarly to the positions of the crossover, the thermal width of each of these crossovers. In the absence of this relatively high baryon density, the presence of the baryonic matter makes the chiral crossover transition narrower (wider) in the magnetic-field background with \( B < B_\text{fl} \) (\( B > B_\text{fl} \)).

### B. The deconfining crossover

(7) The deconfining crossover experiences the inverse magnetic catalysis as well, Fig. 11(a).

(8) The curvature of the deconfining transition is a positive-valued quantity with a peak around the critical value of magnetic field \( eB_\text{fl} \), Fig. 11(c). Therefore, the presence of the baryonic matter lowers the deconfining temperature at finite magnetic field, thus, effectively, enhancing the inverse magnetic catalysis of the deconfining crossover. The maximum enhancement happens around the magnetic flipping field \( B \approx B_\text{fl} \), Eq. (24).

(9) The deconfining crossover is generally a much wider transition as compared to the chiral crossover. This fact follows from comparison of their widths, Figs. 8(a) and 13(a), respectively. However, the deconfining thermal width decreases very rapidly with magnetic field: it shrinks at least five times, from \( \delta T_\text{conf} \approx 60 \text{ MeV} \) at a vanishing field to \( \delta T_\text{conf} \approx 11 \text{ MeV} \) at the strongest studied field, \( eB = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \).

(10) The curvature of the thermal width of the confining crossover is a negative quantity in the whole studied range of magnetic fields, Fig. 13(c). It means that the presence of baryonic matter tends to weaken the deconfining crossover in the studied range of \( B \).

### C. The overall picture of the crossover region

The pseudocritical temperatures and the thermal widths of the chiral and deconfining crossovers, as well as the their curvatures in the \((\mu, T)\) plane, are summarized in Table III.

We illustrate the overall picture of the crossover region in the \((B, T)\) plane in Fig. 16 and also in the video at [62]. We show the pseudocritical temperatures \( T_c \) of the chiral and deconfining transitions, as well as their thermal widths \( \delta T_c \) as functions of magnetic field \( B \) for three different values of the baryonic chemical potential \( \mu_B = 0, 250, 500 \text{ MeV} \) (the video shows the evolution of the phase diagram as the baryonic chemical potential increases). We used the quadratic analytical continuation for the chiral crossover temperature (37), its width (38), and the same quantities for the deconfining crossover transition. The value of the largest chemical potential, \( \mu_B = 500 \text{ MeV} \), is specially chosen for illustrative purposes in order to highlight the qualitative effects of the baryonic matter on the phase transition. At this relatively high baryon density, the presence of the quartic term in the Taylor expansions over the chemical potential may affect, quantitatively, both the transition lines and their widths.

The three plots in Fig. 16 capture all basic properties of the crossover transition region.
The presence of the baryonic matter always widens the deconfining crossover. As the magnetic field strengthens, the transition temperature of the deconfining crossover becomes lower (the inverse-magnetic catalysis phenomenon). Both crossover transitions become narrower and, therefore, stronger.

At a vanishing magnetic field and zero baryonic density, the deconfining transition is a wide crossover with the thermal width $\delta T^{\text{conf}} \approx 60$ MeV which takes place at $T^{\text{conf}} \approx 170$ MeV. The chiral transition is much narrower crossover, $\delta T^{\text{ch}} \approx 11$ MeV, that takes place at somewhat lower temperature, $T^{\text{ch}} \approx 156$ MeV.

At the “tripseudocritical” point $(eB^*, T^*) \approx (0.5$ GeV$^2, 140$ MeV), these transitions merge together and overlap at higher magnetic fields, with different widths for chiral and deconfining crossovers. The tricritical point appears at the magnetic flipping field, $B^* \approx B_R$. Since the deconfining crossover is very wide ($\delta T^{\text{conf}} > |T^{\text{conf}} - T^{\text{ch}}|$ in the whole studied region), the merging point has a rather academic significance.

The presence of the baryonic matter enhances the inverse-magnetic-catalysis effect for both crossovers: the pseudocritical temperatures drop as the baryon chemical potential increases in the whole studied region of magnetic field. Both chiral and deconfining curvatures are found to be (generally) increasing in the presence of the magnetic field.

The presence of the baryonic matter always widens the deconfining crossover.

The effect of the baryon density on the chiral crossover is twofold: the matter makes chiral transition narrower (wider) at lower (higher) fields compared to the magnetic flipping field (24) $B_R \approx B^*$, where the both crossovers merge.

The behavior of the chiral thermal width and its curvature give a simple estimation (26) of the critical end point in the $T-\mu$ plane in, surprisingly, reasonable range of parameters (26),

$$(T^{\text{CEP}}, \mu_B^{\text{CEP}}) \approx (100, 800)$ MeV.
magnetization is a positive quantity at all studied fields).

(ii) As the strength of the magnetic field increases, the magnetization drops down in the low-density crossover region.

(iii) At zero magnetic field and low baryonic densities, the magnetization, as the function of the real-valued baryon potential, increases (decreases) in the hadronic (quark-gluon plasma) regions of the crossover.

It is important to stress that the exact positions of the crossover transitions and their thermal widths are prescription-dependent quantities. Their precise values depend not only on the operators used to reveal them but also on the (re)normalization of these operators. However, the analysis indicates that our results match well with other available data at the corners of the explored parameter space, thus providing us with additional support for the validity of the presented picture in the whole explored region.
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APPENDIX: SCHEME DEPENDENCE OF POLYAKOV LOOP RENORMALIZATION

In this paper, we renormalize the expectation value of the Polyakov loop using the gradient flow procedure [63,64]. This procedure removes perturbative ultraviolet content of gauge fields. We refer an interested reader to Refs. [56,63] for a comprehensive account of the renormalization with the help of gradient flow.

The approach postulates the evolution of the gauge field configurations in the “Wilson flow” space defined by the differential equation of a diffusion type,

$$V_{x,p}(\tau) = g_0^2 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} S_{YM} [V_{x,p}(\tau)] \right] V_{x,p}(\tau), \quad (A1)$$

with the initial condition

$$V_{x,p}(\tau)|_{\tau=0} = U_{x,p}.$$  \quad (A2)

The flow time \( \tau \) controls the degree of smoothing of the initial gauge configuration (A2) in the space of gauge-field configurations, guided by the gauge action \( S_{YM} \) and the bare gauge coupling \( g_0 \). The functional derivative \( \partial_{x,p} \) in Eq. (A1) acts in the Euclidean coordinate space and in the color space [63]. The dot over \( V \) in Eq. (A1) denotes a partial derivative with respect to the flow time \( \tau \).

Due to the diffusive character of the evolution equation (A1), the flow smears gluon configurations at the length scale

$$f = \sqrt{8 \tau}. \quad (A3)$$

The operators built from the flow-evolved variables \( V_{x,p} \) do not require an additional renormalization [65] after extrapolation to \( \tau \to 0 \) limit. In the case of Polyakov loop for small \( \tau \), the evolved operator is equivalent, up to a multiplicative factor, to the renormalized original operator at \( \tau \to 0 \) [64,65].

The gradient flow procedure has an intrinsic ambiguity related to the choice of the “optimal” flow time at which the smoothing procedure should stop. On the physical grounds, the optimal \( \tau \) is naturally constrained within the ultraviolet and infrared limits, \( a \ll \sqrt{8 \tau} \ll \Lambda_{QCD} \). However, this interval is too broad to fix the renormalized free energy (28) \( \Omega_Q \) unambiguously. Since the Polyakov loop is renormalized multiplicatively, the uncertainty in the renormalization scale leads to an additive ambiguity in the renormalized free energy

$$\Delta \Omega_Q = \Omega_Q(\tilde{f}) - \Omega_Q(f) \quad (A4)$$

determined at two scales \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \), related to the corresponding optimal flow times via Eq. (A3).

In order to probe the dependence of the free energy on the choice of the optimal flow time, we compared the shift (A4) for two different values of the renormalization scale, \( \tilde{f} = 0.54 \text{ fm} \) and \( f = 0.80 \text{ fm} \), for two values of magnetic field, \( eB = 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( eB = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The results are shown in Figs. 17(a) and 17(b).

It appears that the energy shift (A4) does not depend, within the error bars, on the imaginary chemical potential, i.e., chemical potential does not affect the renormalization. For a moderate magnetic field \( eB = 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \), the energy shift \( \Delta \Omega_Q \) is almost a temperature-independent quantity, with a slight systematic drop—albeit within the large error bars—at the cold side of the pseudocritical crossover temperature \( T \approx 140 \text{ MeV} \); see Fig. 17(a). On the contrary, according to Fig. 17(b), the drop in the shift at the low-temperature side of the crossover region is clearly visible at the stronger field, \( eB = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \).

Figure 17 suggests that the energy shift \( \Delta \Omega_Q \) for different renormalization scales \( f \) and \( \tilde{f} \) is affected by the strong magnetic-field background. Let us estimate if this scheme dependence may influence the determination of the deconfining crossover temperature from the inflec-
tion point of the Polyakov loop. The energy shift $\Delta \Omega_Q$ differs from $\sim 50$ MeV at the cold ($T_- \sim 100$ MeV) side of the crossover to $\sim 55$ MeV at the hot ($T_+ \sim 200$ MeV) side. Thus, $\exp \left[ -\Delta \Omega_Q (T_--1+T_+--1) \right] / C_{138} \sim 1.3$, i.e., the multiplicative bias in renormalization is about 30% in both ends. But the change in the magnitude of the Polyakov loop, induced by the deconfinement phenomenon (Fig. 9), amounts to the factor of 10, which is about 30 times bigger compared to the mentioned systematics of the scheme. Thus, we expect that this effect of the renormalization scheme dependence may be safely neglected.

Let us also estimate how single-quark entropy and single-quark magnetization are affected by the scheme dependence of the Polyakov loop and free energy. Since both $S_Q$ and $M_Q$ are the derivatives of the free energy density $\Omega_Q$, one should look at the derivatives of the $\Delta \Omega_Q$ with respect to magnetic field, temperature, and (imaginary) chemical potential. Figure 17 suggests that $\Delta \Omega_Q$ is independent on imaginary chemical potential $\mu_I$ and temperature $T$ (apart from large magnetic field and small temperatures). Also, the dependence of $\Delta \Omega_Q$ on magnetic field is rather mild. From this figure, one can estimate that $\partial \Delta \Omega_Q / \partial T \lesssim 5 \text{ MeV} / 20 \text{ MeV} = 0.25$ (the typical value of single-quark entropy is $\sim 5$), $\partial \Delta \Omega_Q / \partial B \sim 5 \text{ MeV} / 1 \text{ GeV} = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ (the typical value of single-quark magnetization is $\sim 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$). Thus, in both cases, the difference between renormalization schemes is more than by order of magnitude less than the quantity itself. Moreover, it is even smaller than the typical errors and can be safely neglected.


