

Appendix 1

Additional contribution

PREVIEW Study concept and design: Edith Feskens, Wageningen University, Netherlands.

PREMIT behaviour modification intervention: Daniela Kahlert and Annelie Unyi-Reicherz (University of Stuttgart, Germany).

The following contributors listed below assisted in conduct of the trial during recruitment, intervention and/or data collection:

University of Copenhagen, Denmark: Ulla Skovbæch Pedersen, Marianne Juhl Hansen, Bettina Belmann Mirasola, Maria Roed Andersen, Anne Wengler, Lene Stevner, Jane Jørgensen, Sofie Skov Frost, Eivind Bjørås, Grith Møller, Lone Vestergaard Nielsen.

University of Helsinki, Finland: Saara Grönholm (née Kettunen), Karoliina Himanen, Heini Hyvärinen, Martta Jalavisto (née Nieminen), Heidi Jokinen, Laura Kainu (née Korpipää), Pauliina Kokkonen, Liisi Korhonen, Tiia Kunnas, Elina Malkamäki, Pihla Mäkinen, Tuulia Onali (née Ingman), Tiina Pellinen, Kirsi Pietiläinen, Heli Pikkarainen, Sanna Ritola, Heikki Tikkanen, Sonja Toijonen, Jaana Valkeapää.

University of Nottingham, United Kingdom: Liz Simpson, Moira Taylor, Shelley Archer, Natalie Bailey-Flitter, Nicky Gilbert, Laura Helm, Sally Maitland, Melanie Marshall, Theresa Mellor, Grace Miller, Seodhna Murphy, Vicky Newman, Amy Postles, Jakki Pritchard, Maria Papageorgiou, Cheryl Percival, Clare Randall, Sue Smith, Sarah Skirrow.

University of Navarra, Spain: Blanca Martinez de Morentin Aldabe, María Hernández Ruiz de Eguilaz, Salomé Pérez Diez, Rodrigo San-Cristobal, Maria dels Angels Batlle, Laura Moreno-Galarraga, Alejandro Fernández-Montero, Marian Nuin, Javier Baquedano, Maria Eugenia Ursúa, Francisco Javier Martinez Jarauta, Pilar Buil, Lourdes Dorronsoro, Juana María

25 Vizcay, Teodoro Durá-Travé, and all general practitioners and nurses from the Navarra Health
26 Services who collaborated in the recruitment of the participants.

27 *Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria:* Nadka Boyadjieva, Pavlina Gateva-Andreeva,
28 Georgi Bogdanov, Galina Dobrevska.

29 *University of Auckland, New Zealand:* Amy Liu, Lindsay Plank, Anne-Thea McGill,
30 Madhavi Bollineni, Clarence Vivar, Kelly Storey, Nicholas Gant, Jonathon Woodhead.

31 *University of Sydney, Australia:* Kylie Simpson, Michele Whittle, Kirstine Bell.

32 *Swansea University, UK:* Kelly Mackintosh, Melitta Mcnarry, Masoumeh Minou,
33 Gareth Dunseath, Jeff Stephens, Steve Luzio.

34 We want to acknowledge all the additional people who have worked and are currently
35 working for PREVIEW including trainees, post- and undergraduate students. Finally, a
36 respectful thank you to all the study participants that participated in PREVIEW.

37

Appendix 2

38 **Table A2**39 *Participant characteristics for infrequent, frequent, and very frequent PREMIT attenders with group differences*

Variables	<i>PREMIT infrequent attenders (n =228)</i>	<i>PREMIT frequent attenders (n =449)</i>	<i>PREMIT very frequent attenders (n = 285)</i>	Significant differences
Age (<i>M ± SD</i>)*	52.6 ± 10.3	54.8 ± 9.6	56.6 ± 10.3	Overall significant differences ($F_{\text{Welch}}(2, 527) = 9.6, p < .008, \omega^2 = .02$, small effect) Very frequent attenders significantly older than others ($M_{\text{Diff}} = -4.0, p \leq .008, d_{\text{Cohen}} = .2$, small effect)
BMI (<i>M ± SD</i>)				No significant differences
Week 26 Start of maintenance stage	29.7 ± 4.9 (n = 225)	29.6 ± 4.9 (n = 447)	29.0 ± 5.0 (n = 285)	
Week 52 End of early maintenance stage	30.6 ± 5.3 (n =215)	30.5 ± 5.2 (n = 439)	29.6 ± 5.5 (n = 284)	
Week 104 End of middle maintenance stage	31.2 ± 5.4 (n = 207)	31.6 ± 5.5 (n = 433)	30.5 ± 5.5 (n = 278)	
Week 156 End of late maintenance stage	32.0 ± 5. (n = 228)	32.3 ± 5.6 (n = 440)	31.1 ± 5.8 (n = 285)	
Sex				NS
Female (%)	147 (64.5)	295 (65.7)	177 (62.1)	

Male (%)	81 (35.5)	154 (34.3)	108 (37.9)	
Ethnicity				
Caucasian (%)	203 (89.0)	417 (92.9)	271 (95.1)	NS
Other (%)	25 (11.0)	32 (7.1)	14 (4.9)	
Employment *				Overall significant differences
In Study / Employment (%)	156 (68.4)	284 (63.3)	150 (52.6)	$\chi^2(6) = 48.3, p \leq .008,$ Cramer's $V = .16$, medium effect Very frequent attenders were significantly more likely to be retired (Residual _{standardised} 4.8) Infrequent attenders were significantly more likely to be employed (Residual _{standardised} - 2.8)
Economically not active (%)	23 (10.1)	47 (10.5)	13 (4.6)	
Retired (%)	30 (13.2)	79 (17.6)	100 (35.1)	
Other (%) **	19 (8.3)	39 (8.7)	22 (7.7)	
Marital status				
Married / Civil Partnership (%)	173 (75.9)	337 (75.1)	204 (71.6)	No significant differences
Divorced / widowed / separated (%)	30 (13.2)	62 (13.8)	42 (14.7)	
Single / other (%) **	25 (11.0)	50 (11.1)	39 (13.7)	
Household size***				
One adult (%)	36 (15.8)	84 (18.7)	57 (20.0)	No significant differences
Two adults (%)	104 (45.6)	220 (49.0)	129 (45.3)	
Three or more adults (%)	31 (13.6)	63 (14.0)	55 (19.3)	

One adult, one or more children (%)	1 (0.4)	4 (0.9)	--	
Two adults, one or more children (%)	52 (22.8)	71 (15.8)	35 (12.3)	
Three or more adults, one or more children (%)	4 (1.8)	7 (1.6)	9 (3.2)	

40 Note. *At the start of the trial, ** Includes missing values, ***Adult \leq 18 years old, Cell values with < 5 values not shown

41